

# Consensus Designations for Input

EPDP Chair's consensus designation level for each final recommendation

Recommendation #	Chair Proposed Designation	Groups not supporting recommendation or part thereof
#1 - Definition of " <u>IGO Complainant</u> "	Full Consensus	
#2 - Exemption from Submission to " <u>Mutual Jurisdiction</u> "	Full Consensus	
#3 - <u>Arbitral Review following a UDRP Proceeding</u>	Full Consensus	
#4 - <u>Arbitral Review following a URS Proceeding</u>	Full Consensus	
#5 - <u>Applicable Law for Arbitration Proceedings</u>	Full Consensus	
<u>Metrics for Policy Impact Analysis</u>	Full Consensus	
<u>Principles for Arbitral Rules</u>	TBA	

We recommend that CPWG & ALAC:-

- Support the final recommendations #1 to #5
- Support the inclusion of the questions and metrics for Policy Impact Analysis as important future data points to review these recommendations post implementation \*\*
- Acknowledge that Annex A - Principles for Arbitral Rules \*\* are being finalized with input from IGOs and that unless it is amended substantively, to support the same

Do you agree with us?

\*\* These are found in the draft final report as at 18 Mar 2022:

[https://community.icann.org/display/GNSO/WT/Final+Report?preview=/180027711/192218118/EPDP\\_SCRP\\_IGO\\_Final\\_Report\\_20220318.docx](https://community.icann.org/display/GNSO/WT/Final+Report?preview=/180027711/192218118/EPDP_SCRP_IGO_Final_Report_20220318.docx)