## EPDP ON SPECIFIC CURATIVE RIGHTS PROTECTIONS FOR IGOS

- Definition of an "IGO Complainant"
- IGO Complainant may show rights in a mark by demonstrating that it uses the identifier to conduct public activities in accordance with its stated mission.

• Original Rec. #5 by the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protections PDP to be rejected.

R

- An IGO Complainant be <u>exempt</u> from the requirement to <u>state that it</u> will "submit, with respect to any challenges to a decision in the <u>administrative proceeding canceling or transferring the domain name, to the jurisdiction of the courts in at least one specified Mutual Jurisdiction" <u>under the UDRP</u>.</u>
- See Section 3(b)(xii) of the UDRP Rules.
   <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/udrp-rules-2015-03-11-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/udrp-rules-2015-03-11-en</a>.

- Binding Arbitration Following a UDRP Panel Decision. Details of the process.
- If the Registrant choses to go to court which is unable to take the case due to IGO immunity, two options:
- Option 1: Arbitration <u>still open</u> for the Registrant
- Option 2: Arbitration not open for the Registrant

Replicate #3A and #3B in case of URS

Choice of law by mutual agreement. If no agreement,
EITHER in accordance with the law of the relevant registrar's principal office or where the respondent is resident, at the election of the IGO Complainant,
OR the arbitral tribunal may request submissions from the parties

- The arbitration shall be conducted as a de novo review
- The arbitral tribunal should consist of one or [three] neutral and independent decision makers, who cannot be the panelist(s) who rendered the initial UDRP [or URS] decision
- Both parties should be able to present their case in a complete manner