

A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide, consisting of a network of light blue lines and circles resembling a circuit board or data flow diagram. The lines are vertical and horizontal, with small circles at various points, creating a grid-like structure that tapers towards the right.

EPDP ON SPECIFIC CURATIVE RIGHTS PROTECTIONS FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (IGOS)

- Where an IGO has prevailed in a UDRP or URS proceeding
- The losing registrant files suit in a court of competent jurisdiction
- The IGO asserts immunity from the jurisdiction of that court

PDP on IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms recommended (Rec #5): the original UDRP or URS panel decision is to be set aside, as if the UDRP or URS proceeding had never happened.

GNSO Council rejected Rec #5 and set up an IGO Work Track, now upgraded to an EPDP

ELEMENTS OF

- In order to enable IGOs to use UDRP/URS, a description of “IGO Complainant”, exempt from the requirement to agree to submit to Mutual Jurisdiction when filing a complaint
- Arbitration as the main avenue for post-UDRP/URS appeal

- Is arbitration option still available to a registrant who has attempted to initiate court action but been thwarted by IGO immunity?
- Choice of law for arbitration proceedings?
- *These options will be put to respondents in the Public Comment round starting 7 September*

- The main end-user interest is to avoid confusion about IGO acronyms, which can be exploited by bad actors to perpetrate harm. Thus, ALAC/At-Large finally wants to see in place a functioning, reliable and equitable mechanism for swiftly solving cases where confusability and risk of harm are complained of.
- Other?