

Chat Transcript

Meeting #87 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –
Thursday, 23 September 2021 @ 19:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

1. Rec. 23 Candidate Pool Data
 2. Discussion on outstanding charter questions for RIWG
 3. Update from the OEC Meeting Discussion regarding Rebalancing
 4. Overview of draft timeline for remaining RIWG meetings/areas of work
 5. AOB
 6. Adjourn
-

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

12:02:42 From Jay Sudowski to Everyone:

I will be right back

12:03:03 From Jia-Juh Kimoto to Everyone:

Recommendation 23: The NomCom should publish additional data on the candidate pool and the recruiting source of candidates.

12:04:14 From Jia-Juh Kimoto to Everyone:

Data points (from the Final Report from the Independent Examiner) to include in reporting.

- Summary of Total Applications
- Deep-dive shortlist
- Selected for interviews
- Selected by the NomCom

12:08:23 From Jay Sudowski to Everyone:

To me a metric is like a KPI. Data is just the data.

12:10:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

thank you Kristy that is crystal clear

12:13:37 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Hello everyone ..I am sorry for being late

12:14:32 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

No worries, welcome Dave and all

12:14:52 From michaelgraham to Everyone:

Hello — unfortunately I have a competing meeting.

12:15:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

exactly!!!

12:16:29 From Tracy F. Hackshaw to Everyone:

“Data” is different to information. Information adds context and meaning(fullness) to “data”. At least that’s how the data science/data analytics professionals have made the distinction

12:17:31 From Kristy Buckley to Everyone:

@Tracy, yes that's correct. Just used the term information to help distinguish from metrics a bit more clearly, but yes, information is also distinct :)

12:17:43 From Tracy F. Hackshaw to Everyone:

And as Teresa has said, metrics, measures (indicators) are an entirely different matter altogether

12:18:05 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

yes the data differentiation is clear Teresa ... thx

12:18:35 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

all good!

12:18:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

yes please 😊

12:18:58 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

say it's so!

12:19:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

yeah!

12:22:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

sorry I dropped briefly

12:24:09 From Betsy Andrews to Everyone:

The WS2 diversity categories are as follows:

12:24:10 From Betsy Andrews to Everyone:

Geographic/regional representation

- Language
- Gender
- Age
- Physical Disability
- Diverse Skills
- Stakeholder group or constituency

12:26:23 From Betsy Andrews to Everyone:

(Remember that geography is tricky because you must clarify whether you mean where they live, where they work, where they were born, or with which passports they are associated)

12:28:02 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

the SC MAY provide guidance.....this does not mean that the SC is obliged to provide guidance.... if the wording SHALL instead of MAY was used, then the SC is obliged to provide guidance

12:29:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

totally up to the sending body

12:30:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

and diversity within a sending body will vary as I hopefully described

12:32:54 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

might add some 'to the extent possible' language in that last matter re diversity

12:33:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

agreed

12:33:40 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

agree

12:35:20 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

no preclusion after they are no longer serving as Assoc Chair

12:37:09 From Kristy Buckley to Everyone:

Thanks @Cheryl, I think that was the challenge--that it may be read to preclude them later

12:38:21 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

understood and hopefully clarified

12:40:34 From Jay Sudowski to Everyone:

Did I gather that OEC is suggesting we carve out rec 10 from a package deal of bylaw revisions?

12:42:02 From Jay Sudowski to Everyone:

Sorry for the potentially daft question, my 5 year old is crying in the next room

12:45:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

no one actually goes into the details unless forced @Larisa

12:45:47 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

the IE recognized 1 part of GNSO was not seated

12:46:20 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

that status quo it appears is all can be expected at this stage

12:47:11 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

however, when this rec was made a wholesale GNSO or Holistic ICANN review was indeed not on the table

12:47:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

yep just tell us nope

12:47:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

remove it and we move on...

12:47:56 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Agree with Tom..

12:48:00 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

agree Tom

12:49:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

there is not any majority GNSO support don't say Community

12:55:23 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

agree

13:01:58 From Betsy Andrews to Everyone:

Take good care, all!

13:02:01 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Thanks and bye to all