



APRALO Webinar on Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs)

Advancing the IDN Agenda for a More inclusive Internet

Subsequent Procedures policy recommendations for the next round of IDN New gTLD applications

Justine Chew

27 July 2021

Overview of Subsequent Procedures

- New Generic Top Level Domains (New gTLDs) Program
- "Rules and procedures" for future applications for New gTLDs only
 - Not applicable to <u>all</u> existing gTLDs
- Final Report of the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP Working Group, 2 Feb 2021
 - "SubPro Outputs": Policy affirmations, recommendations & implementation guidance on 41 topics, including IDNs
 - Currently being considered by ICANN Board
 - Expected to be implemented by ICANN Org



SubPro Outputs on Topic 25: IDNs

Final Report of the GNSO SubPro PDP Working Group, 2 Feb 2021, pages 114 -118

- IDN New gTLDs to continue to be an integral part of New gTLD Program Aff 25.1
- Generation of TLDs & variant labels subject to compliance & checking Rec 25.2
 - All RZ-LGRs: determine which label is blocked or allocatable
 - IDNA2008 (RFCs 5890-5895 or successor(s))
 - Where feasible, use of algorithmic checking IG 26.10
 - Where RZ-LGR not yet integrated, allow application, processing but not contracting or delegation subject to further evaluation – IG 25.3
- Single character (U-label) gTLDs allowed for limited script/language combos Rec 25.4
 - Ideograph or ideogram
 - Must not introduce risk of confusion from commonplace similarities
 - Conservative approach consistent with SAC052, Joint ccNSO-GNSO-IDN Workgroup (JIG) reports



SubPro Outputs on Topic 25: IDNs (cont'd)



- Practice of "keeping label and variants together" in support of security & stability
 - <u>TLD Labels at the Top Level Rec 25.5</u>, IDN gTLDs identified as variant TLDs of existing gTLDs allowed if:
 - Labels allocated to same entity/registry
 - When delegated, have same back-end registry service provider
 - Provision in relevant Registry Agreements
 - <u>Labels at the Second Level Rec 25.6</u>, a given SL label under any allocated variant TLD must be either allocated to same entity/registrant or withheld for possible allocation to same entity
 - Variant Labels at the Second Level Rec 25.7, SL variant labels that arise from a registration based on a SL IDN table, all allocatable variant labels in the set must only be allocated to same entity or withheld for possible allocation to same entity
 - SL labels derived from Rec 25.6 or Rec 25.7 not required to act, behave or be perceived as identical – Rec 25.8



Engage with the At-Large & APRALO





This Webinar was brought to you by



