ICANN71 | Virtual Policy Forum – ccNSO: Council meeting
Thursday, June 17, 2021 – 14:30 to 16:00 CEST

KIMBERLY CARLSON: All right. Thank you. Welcome to the ccNSO Council session at ICANN71. My name is Kim Carlson. Along with Claudia and Bart, we will monitor the chat and the speaking queue.

Please note that this session is being recorded and follows the ICANN Expect Standards of Behavior. During the session, questions or comments will be submitted in chat, will be read aloud if put in the proper format, as noted in chat. If you would like to ask a question or make your comment verbally, please raise your hand when called upon.

This session includes automated real-time transcription. The transcript is not official or authoritative. To view the real-time transcript, click on the Closed Caption button on the Zoom toolbar.

With that, I'll hand the call over to our chair, Alejandra Reynoso.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Kim. For the record, this is Alejandra Reynoso. Welcome all to our ccNSO Council meeting 174. And you can see now our agenda displayed on screen. I want to put in the chat a link where you can find all the documents related to today's meeting. May I ask Kim or Bart whether we are quorum?

KIMBERLY CARLSON: We are quorum. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. I will ask also councilors to rename your Zoom name and add "councilor" just to help see you easier.

Okay. I want to note that I will have an item for Any Other Business on the role of the ccNSO, if any, on DNS abuse discussions and initiatives. I would like to know if any other councilor as of now has any other business that they would like to add. If not, I will ask again at the end, but just to ask in advance. If not, let's move on.

So you can see that we have now a new item on the agenda, it's called Relevant Correspondence. This is an addition to be an aid for us to refer to correspondence that is relevant for the items that are being discussed in the agenda. I would like to know later if this is something useful. And of course, it's not the full correspondence that we get. For that, we have the ccNSO website that has the correspondence. But still, I wanted to let you know that it's a new thing.

May I ask a really quick by use of green ticks if you like this item to be in the agenda for next agendas? I see green ticks. Thank you. Okay. Thank you very much. Okay. Let's move on.

Now, on minutes and action items, all action items for this meeting are completed. Please do note that we have one that is ongoing and will come to fruition at the next meeting. Are there any questions or comments? I see no hands and nothing on the chat. Okay. So let's move on.

Item 4, Intermeeting Decisions since May 2021. As you can see, we have done quite some things. We've been fairly active. We have the separation of the ccPDP3 Part 1 and Part 2, we adopted the meeting guideline, we extended the CSC Membership Selection process, we launched the call for volunteers for the NomCom 2022, and we adopted the revised Terms of Reference for the ccNSO Outreach and Involvement Standing Committee.

Regarding the CSC Membership, it is not included in the agenda, but I want to let you know that Frederico Neves from .br has been selected as my replacement on the CSC. And we will revisit this when we discuss item 13b on the agenda.

Now let's move on to item 5: Adoption of ccPDP3 Retirement Final Report and Support Recommendation. Although we all may be by now very aware of this, it is an important milestone in the history of the ccNSO. Last time we had to take a similar decision—it was in April 2013—on the IDN overall policy. Hopefully, this proposed policy will be able to get into implementation phase.

For this item, in particular, I propose that we carry on in the following order. As this is a historic milestone, we have asked Stephen and Eberhard in their capacity as chair and vice chair of the Retirement Working Group to introduce the policy. After they complete their introduction, I will open the floor for questions for Stephen and Eberhard, and the policy and the more general comments and questions.

EN

When we finish that, I'll ask for a mover and a seconder on the draft resolution that has been circulated, and we'll open the floor to councilors for questions and comments on the resolution itself. If there are no more questions or comments, we'll take a vote. And given the importance, I will ask each councilor individually how their vote is on the proposed resolution. Are there any comments on the proposed manner to discuss and take this position? Yes, Stephen?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I think in the interest of time, rather than to review the review of the review of the review of the policy, given that we went through it in depth in the Policy Session a couple days ago, I'm assuming Council is pretty familiar with it. I just want to express my sincere appreciation for the ICANN staff that worked with us on its development, as well as my appreciation for the working group members that worked diligently in contributing to its development. I'd like to thank my vice chair. We just encourage Council members, if you have questions, now is the time to ask them. Ask either me or Dr. Lisse or any other working group members present on the call, and I see that there are some. I do hope we have your trust and support on this going forward. We've got quite a community [inaudible] after assuming Council approves it to meet our statutory thresholds, both in voter turnout and supermajority approval. So that's it for me. Any questions? I'm happy to take them. Thank you.

I C A N N | 7 1
VIRTUAL POLICY FORUM

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Well, thank you very much, Stephen. You got one step ahead of me because I was asking if this was the best way forward. I think you all agree and I didn't see any other hands. So, thank you, Stephen, and thank you, Eberhard, for your hard work in this working group, and all of the other members of the working group. Since Stephen has already made a summary, I don't know if Eberhard would like to say anything else.
EBERHARD LISSE:	Not really. I have a one-minute summary, if it was necessary, but everybody is, in particular, Council members have read the briefing materials and it's not the first time this is being discussed. The important issue is one, if and when Council approves it of votes in favor, then it has to go to the membership. And there we have to have a certain quorum, and of this quorum two-thirds plus one have to approve it. In case somebody wants to have a one-minute review, I can do this as well.
ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Thank you very much, Eberhard. Then I think it's time to open questions for our councilors, if they have any comments to Stephen and Eberhard or more general questions. Yes, Pablo?
DR. PABLO RODRIGUEZ:	Thank you. Thank you, Ale. I just have one more comment. I just want to thank Stephen and Dr. Lisse for the tremendous amount of work that they have put into this and for bringing this to good fruition. So

thank you both, and thank you for the supporting staff and the rest of the participants who were involved in this. Thank you very much.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Pablo. Nick?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: I'm obviously quite well aware of what the proposals are. I suppose my question is assuming that we approve it and assuming that we get the correct supermajority and minimum 13 turnouts of the ccNSO members, then that then becomes effective. I was wondering is there a way or is it envisaged that the policy, given that this is new, is there going to be a review process for this in, say, three years time or five years time? Do we need to diarize that now? Because obviously the people who've been involved with may not be on the Council at that time or may not be in the community at all, and should we then lay the ground for checking back in the assumptions that we've necessarily had to make as part of this policy? Have they been proven to be correct, or should we review what it is we've come up with? Has it been successful in practice, in operation, and to have that sort of feedback and checking that we have actually come up with the best policy that we could have done or would there be experiences from the operation, the policy in practice, which would cause us to maybe make small changes or completely reverse it or do something more radical? But how do we keep it evergreen, I guess, is my question. Thanks.

EN

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Nick. I saw Eberhard raise his hand and I'm guessing it's to answer your question, right?

EBERHARD LISSE: It's not to answer the question because I'm not a Council member. By the way, a very good point. I think we should diarize that after the next retirement, if ever when it happens, that we then after the process is completed, review the process.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Eberhard. Stephen?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Thank you, Alejandra. My apologies for stealing your thunder earlier.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: No problem.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I want to echo what Eberhard just said. Given the built-in length of process of a minimum of 5 years and as much as 10 years, I'm not sure picking a specific, we need to review it by date X would work, but I think the good doctor is correct. Once we actually see it in practice through a retirement from A to Z that then I think would make sense for Council GRC or whoever is responsible at that point in time in the

I C A N N | 7 1
VIRTUAL POLICY FORUM

future—I can't say certainly know who that would be or how that would be yet—to have that review process take place to say, "Okay. Did this really work or not the way we thought it should work?" Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Stephen. Bernard or Bernie?

BERNARD TURCOTTE: Can you hear me?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Now I can. Yes.

BERNARD TURCOTTE: Okay. Great. Just a little note to remind everyone the ATRT3 Review that completed last year proposes to replace the SO and AC Reviews with the continuous improvement program which would, I believe, have built in the requirement for these kinds of reviews on a regular basis. So, given the timeframes that Stephen was mentioning, I think that will probably be in place in there and therefore automatically create an opportunity for such a review. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Bernie. Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: I was on mute. Just to echo what Eberhard and Stephen said, at the same time, if necessary, the Council can always initiate another PDP and this policy probably needs to be reviewed through a PDP and everything that is necessary and adjust the Council 10% of the members or 10 Members as well. So as such it's possible.

Also, in addition to what Stephen said about the 5 or 10 years timeframe, you also will need a removal or a trigger event to occur. And currently I don't see a trigger event happening. So it's not just the duration of the retirement process itself but also you need one or two trigger events, and that's probably another reason for not including it in the policy proposal as such because you don't know when it will happen. It's completely out of control of everybody. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Bart. Nick?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Thanks. Those are really good points. And thanks for thinking about the question and answering. I suppose given that potentially talking about a 5- to 10-year process and there isn't even a triggering event on the horizon, it might be 15 years, right? Sorry. I suppose in my opinion, that's too long. And even if there isn't a retirement, there ought to be a process whereby—by the way, not just this policy but all of the policies do get to the dust down and version control and reviewed on X date by the Council every couple of years or something. I don't know. Anyway, I think it's an interesting point but I'll shut up now. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Nick. But from what we have heard, it is evident that for it to be reviewed, at least it must be used once so we will need to wait for that to happen.

Are there any other comments or questions? I don't see any hands. I just see a comment in the chat from Jordan that "Keeping our policies under continuous review is a new and interesting idea, and thanks for raising it, Nick." Agree. Okay. So may I ask for a mover?

JORDAN CARTER: I'd like to move that.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Was it Jordan? I see Jordan and Pablo. So Jordan moves and Pablo seconded. Am I right? Yes. Okay. I see Pablo saying yes. Jordan, do you have your hand up for another reason?

JORDAN CARTER: It was for that reason, yeah.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. Thank you. Okay. So we have a decision in front of us. And I will ask now each and every one of the councilors for their vote and the resolution.

BART BOSWINKEL:	Alejandra?
ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Yes?
BART BOSWINKEL:	Sorry. Maybe there are some questions regarding the resolution itself.
ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Oh yes. Sorry. Are there any questions on the resolution? I don't see any hands or anything in the chat just yet. Okay, then I'll move to call each and every one of you. Ali Hadji?
ALI HADJI:	Yes. I support it.
ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Thank you very much. Biyi?
BIYI OLADIPO:	Yes. I support. And I also thank the members of the committee for a great job done.
ALEJANDRA REYNOSO:	Thank you very much. Souleymane?

SOULEYMANE OUMTANAGA: I support also. Thank you very much.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Souleymane. Ai-Chin?

AI-CHIN LU: Yeah, I support too.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Jiankang?

JIANKANG YAO: I support.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much. Jordan?

JORDAN CARTER: Yeah. I support as well.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Giovanni?

GIOVANNI SEPPIA: I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Irina?

IRINA DANELIA: I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Nick?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: I support. Thanks very much to all the staff for their great work on this.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Pablo?

DR. PABLO RODRIGUEZ: I support. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much. Sean?

SEAN COPELAND: Yeah. I support.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Stephen?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Javier?

JAVIER RÚA-JOVET: I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Laura?

LAURA MARGOLIS: I support as well. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Marie-Noemie?

MARIE-NOEMIE MARQUES: Yes. I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much. Demi?

DEMI GETSCHKO: In favor also. I support, and congrats to the group and to the staff.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Demi. Jenifer?

JENIFER LOPEZ: I support. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Jenifer. I am the last and I support. So this is unanimous. Thank you very much. Again, thank you for all the hard work from everyone. Big, big applause there. And I see Stephen has his hand up.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I just want to thank Council for their support.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: You're welcome. Javier?

JAVIER RÚA-JOVET: Again, just to express my thanks and just an honor to be part of this group. Great work.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Javier. So with that, we are moving now to the next item of the agenda. It's on GRC-related items.

BART BOSWINKEL: Alejandra?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Yes, Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: May I make one more comment on the process? It's not included in the resolution but be aware that over the next week or so, I will circulate a Members Report, in my capacity as issue manager, for your approval. So that needs to be approved according to Annex B by the Council. The Members Report will include the resolution and the minutes, so the voting of the Council. And after you've approved it, then it will be circulated to the members. So that will happen early next week. Thanks. Just to alert you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Bart. We shall keep an eye on that. Okay. Now, moving on to item 6 and the GRC-related items. We have item A, appointment of Sean Copeland as vice chair of the GRC. So the GRC has proposed to appoint a vice chair who in time could take over the role of Katrina by ICANN72. And Sean, as we know, was willing to put his name forward with the support of the GRC. Are there any questions or comments? Yes, Pablo?

DR. PABLO RODRIGUEZ: Just a comment to thank Sean for stepping forward in for his service.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Pablo, and thank you, Sean. Sean, yes, please.

SEAN COPELAND: You're welcome, Pablo. Had I the one that so much was going to go on after my arm was rubber band. I don't know. I'm looking forward to it, in all honesty. Thanks so much you guys.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Sean. Any other comments or questions? Sean, is that old hand? Yes, it was. Okay. If no more questions, then may I have a mover?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I'll move.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. I saw Pablo's hand but Stephen seconded. Is that okay?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: That's fine.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: We have our victim.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. Are there any questions on the resolution itself? I see none. Okay. If no more questions or comments then let's vote. And I will ask you to use your green ticks if you are in favor or your red Xs if not. I see many ticks. That's for councilors, please. Okay. Thank you very much. And just for good measure, because I know that some ticks tend to disappear with certain time, are there any objections? Okay. I see none. So this has been approved. Thank you very much. Welcome, Sean, to the vice chair of the GRC.

Eberhard, you have your hand up?

EBERHARD LISSE: Yes. Thank you. May I be excused? I have day job issues coming up. If our work for our working group is done, I would like to be excused.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Yes, of course. Thank you very much for joining us.

EBERHARD LISSE: Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. Moving on to 6b. We've talked about this on changing the mandate of the GRC in previous meetings and we've been touching a bit during our prep meeting. So our ultimate goal here is to have three committees in place that will assist us and keep the ccNSO community relevant and vibrant. Those three committees are the Triage

Committee that will be looking at the workload on the ccNSO plan and overseeing the execution. We will have the OIC, the Outreach and Involvement Committee, looking at how to keep the community informed about what we do, how to engage, and recruit more volunteers. And now the GRC could morph into looking at the overall governance and working methods of the ccNSO. So as a result, the GRC has asked for some guidance on how to move forward. What we are suggesting now is for them to review their own charter.

Are there any questions or comments regarding this topic? I don't see any hands. Okay. We have a decision then in front of us, and I would like mover, please. I see Pablo's hand in camera as a mover, and as seconder, I see Giovanni's raised hand. I hope it's for seconding, right, Giovanni? Yes? And I see Biyi's hand and I'm not sure if he wanted to second but got beaten by Giovanni. Biyi?

BIYI OLADIPO: Giovanni beat me again.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: So now you need to be quicker next time. Thank you all. Are there any questions on the resolution itself? I see no hands and nothing on the chat. Thank you very much. Okay. Then we can move to voting.

So may I please ask you to use your green tick if you agree or your red X if you do not or if you abstain, just let me know. I see green ticks, lots of them. Thank you very much. Again for good measure—you can put

EN

your green ticks off now. Thank you. Is anyone against? I see none. So thank you very much. This has been approved. Thank you.

So now we will move forward, ccNSO work plan and the adoption of the ccNSO Annual Work Plan 2021-2023. So we have discussed these activities before. Bart will share with us a little bit more today. Isn't it, Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: Yes. It's been circulated already, but I can imagine that you haven't looked at your e-mails yet. It's an update from the version that was circulated before. Now, the starting date is today. The e-mail itself includes three views on the activities of the ccNSO as you were used to. The starting point is the mind map which maps all the activity against I would say the core values or the core points of the ccNSO that's a platform function, the ccNSO as a Decisional Participant and ccNSO as Supporting Organization in the areas of improvement.

The second one and building on that one detailing the activities listed in mind map demand is the description, which is a 12-page document, so I can imagine that you don't want to read it unless you want to fall asleep right away.

And then the third one is a Gantt chart showing the schedule, but also showing the working groups involved. Based on that one, it's a starting point for the role of the Triage Committee as well. So it is the baseline for the work of the activities leading up for the next two years. Thanks. Back to you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Bart. Are there any comments or questions? I'm looking at the hands. Yes, Jordan?

JORDAN CARTER: Thanks, Alejandra. Just really briefly, as we move to the quarterly model and the Triage Committee for this new role, my hope is that we'll be able to present something that's a little bit easier to get to grips with than this. So that'll be one of the tests I ask you to hold us to account for as we come into the quarterly cycle. This two-year plan has all the baseline material in it but it's quite hard to follow. So as we do that quarterly prioritization thing, we will get it a little bit more digestible for you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: And we are going to hold you in that promise. Thank you very much, Jordan. Any other comments or questions? Jordan, you still have your hand up. No? Okay. So if you have no more questions then I'll need a mover, please.

JAVIER RÚA-JOVET: I can move.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Javier moves. Thank you. And seconder, please?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I'll second.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: I saw Jenifer put her hand up first. Sorry.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: That's fine.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: So thank you, Jenifer. Are there any questions relating to the resolution itself? No? Okay. Then it's time to take a vote. So again, please do use your green ticks if you're in favor or your red ones if you're against. And for abstentions, we will use the slow down thingy. That's a gray color. I see lots of green ticks. Thank you, thank you very much. But, as usual, for good measure, I will ask also. Thank you for the green ticks. Thank you. Is there anyone that objects? Okay. Is there anyone that abstains? No? Then it is approved. Thank you very much.

Now we're moving to 7b. So it's the progress and expanded role in the Triage Committee. Jordan just gave us a preview of their work but I want to know if there's anything else that he wants to let for the record now on the way forward.

JORDAN CARTER: Just very briefly, that part of this will be involving the committee having regular meetings to drive the quarterly plan. So we'll have the first meeting on the 6th of July of the Triage Committee, and that's in

diaries now after a well-known break from the staff after this ICANN meeting and [inaudible] too, no doubt. We will report back to the Council after that and on the Progress page.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Jordan. And then moving along. Now we have item 8. It's confirmation overview status implementation of recommendations on second ccNSO Review.

Well, we've discussed this during our prep call. There is a document that's been shared and referred too also in our correspondence. We had some comments that the table was not up to date with some things that have already been done but they were said that they would be done in a date in the past. But the important thing is that the answer to if any further action is required to the ccNSO is no, that we will focus on that rather than updating the deadlines that have already been met.

We do have one item that's the ccNSO website that it's in ICANN Org's [privy]. So there is no direct role in implementation for the ccNSO. And there is another recommendation to subscribe to future reviewers to the list but it's deferred until we have a new ccNSO Review. Other than that, we are done. So, are there any comments or questions? I see none and I see some heads saying no.

Okay. May I have a mover? I see Jenifer and I see Pablo. Thank you, Demi. Pablo beat you with his hand. Thank you very much. Are there

any questions on the decision that we have in front of us? Okay. I see none. I'm in the chat now.

Okay. So let's move to the vote. May ask you again to use your green ticks or your red Xs or the slow down gray? Okay. Lots of green ticks. Thank you. Thank you very much. And for good measure, I will ask are there any objections? I don't see anyone raising their hand for that. Okay. Are there any abstentions? No hands raised for that. So thank you very much. This has been approved. Thank you all.

Moving to our next item, it's Council Election 2021. We have discussed this on our previous Council meeting. It is just to lunch the Council Election well in advance by establishing the timeline and appointing the election process manager. The timeline was distributed again and we have now a draft resolution. Are there any questions or comments? Nope. And I see heads say no on camera.

Okay. May I have a mover? I see Stephen—is that a move?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Yes.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. And in order of appearance of the hands, I see Irina. So Irina seconds. Thank you very much. Any questions on the resolution itself? I don't see any.

So let's go for the vote. Again, please your green ticks, red Xs or slow down thingy. Okay. I see lots of green ticks. Thank you very much. Thank you. Okay.

If you can now please remove the green tick. And I will ask are there any objections? I see none. Any abstentions? No hands. Okay. Thank you. This has been approved. Thank you very much.

Now, moving to the next item, and this is a hot one. It's ICANN Hybrid Meeting. As I told you, there was the roundtable where we discussed our opinions on what an ICANN Hybrid Meeting would be for us, if that would be a good idea, bad idea, or what. I did explain in that roundtable that we had a split opinion.

I've seen many were very hopeful to restart the face-to-face meeting. But even if we wouldn't be able to travel, again, this is only what we discussed as Council. That's what I told them. Others believe it's too soon, that we should wait to be more fair with the community, that some travel budgets for the year were cancelled and there were some concerns about the drop off of people in the U.S. taking vaccines. And some thought that if ICANN was able to a certain degree of confidence when they make the decision that more than 50% of the usual participants will be able to attend the session, maybe they should consider it. So that's what I told them, that we had a split opinion.

And now after this call, there will be an ICANN session regarding post pandemic ICANN meetings in the future, and I've been asked to share again what are our views on this. So I want to ask you if you have looked at the blog post that was published where they had the survey

results and the survey that ICANN launched. Are there any other comments or anything has changed in your view, or should I stress something in particular? I would like your input on that, please. Yes, Javier?

JAVIER RÚA-JOVET: Hi to all. I think your view is very fair and reflective of the sense of the group. I am one that's more in the world of moving as fast as possible and that's fair but, of course, I'm in an unfairly advantageous position. Puerto Rico is part of the United States, and there's good vaccine flow so I understand that. But I think that's a fair assessment. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Javier. I don't know if maybe Jordan or Pablo have any additional points.

DR. PABLO RODRIGUEZ: I was about to put in the chat that I agree with Javier and I understand that this is a complicated situation and that there are some who are more ahead of the curve than others regarding vaccination, but I do support moving ahead as soon as possible and I do support the hybrid meetings.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Nick, I see your hand up.

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: I'm not against to moving as fast as possible. I suppose the difficult judgment is what does that mean "as fast as possible"? I suppose my only further thought was that you need to have—apart from saying previously that a substantial proportion of attendees ought to be able to attend is that would this hybrid question be advancing so much if it wasn't for the fact that the meeting is in North America, which is also obviously where ICANN is headquartered? And if this meeting was to be held in Europe, it probably would be illegal. I mean, it's no way that they will allow it to go ahead. So I just wonder whether part of the fact that the schedule was determining some of the questions around the type of meeting as opposed to a more principal approach around the sort of type of meeting that we ought to be having in the abstract. That was just my only additional perspective. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Nick. Jordan?

JORDAN CARTER: Thanks, Alejandra. I'm opposed to this meeting going ahead in a hybrid way. I think it sends a terrible signal that rich countries or people who are lucky enough to have had the vaccine being able to go ahead and making ICANN's inside a problem even worse, the whole additional layer of division between the countries that are lucky enough to have vaccine supplies and those that aren't. I say that from a country that won't have had vaccine, so people in my age group by the time of the meeting, so there's absolutely no way that I would be able to travel to this. I just think it's too soon. That's my view.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Jordan. Irina?

IRINA DANELIA: Thank you, Alejandra. Also, I could foresee many circumstances that might prevent me personally from traveling to the next meeting if it happens in hybrid manner. I would, anyway, support doing this because I agree with Pablo and Javier that it's time to make the first step. We can't postpone it forever. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. Thank you very much, Irina. We do have a couple more minutes. And I would like to extend this question—yes, Jenifer, did you raise your hand?

JENIFER LOPEZ: Yes, Alejandra. I got a question, if there's any possibility that I can talk with the government of the United States and allow people to get the vaccine there?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Well, I don't know but I can raise it for you. Thank you for the suggestion. And I see Pablo raise his hand right up.

DR. PABLO RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Ale. Just for common knowledge for all of you, in Puerto Rico, when you fly in the airport, you're asked if you would like to get vaccinated and you can get vaccinated in the airport. There are a number of different places in Puerto Rico where you can get vaccinated as well. You're given the opportunity to get vaccinated with Janssen, the Johnson & Johnson, which is the one shot because it doesn't make much sense to take the others, right? Nevertheless, as a future host of ICANN73, one of the things that I would like to do is to work with the local government to have a vaccination station at ICANN73. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Pablo. Hopefully, in Seattle, something similar could happen. We don't know but we can ask. Thank you.

I do have two more minutes and I would like to extend these questions to any other participants at this Council meeting, I'm saying non-councilors, if they have anything else that they would like to express regarding this topic. Okay. I see no hands raised.

Thank you very much, everyone, for the discussion. I will convey the message that we still have a split opinion and I will indeed take all your comments to the table. Thank you very much. Also, definitely this will not be a one-time only that we will be discussing this. It will be continuously discussing various levels within the ccNSO in broader community so stay tuned.

Okay. Moving on. We have updates from the PDP3 part in the Review Mechanism, but we will take them from the Policy Session. IDN ccPDP4 update, also it's taken from the Policy Session. Unless there's anything else to be added, just raise your hand and let me know. I see no hands. Yes, Stephen, the upcoming plenary on the previous topic on hybrid meetings will be interesting and they would encourage you to attend.

Then update on ECA and CSC. Well, ECA, the update is in written form. And from the CSC, we do have a decision to make. I told you when we started the meeting that there was already a person to replace me in the CSC, but that is a replacement for the remainder of my period there which ends in October. Now, we do need to have another call for volunteers for the new term that it's starting then, and that's why we have this timely. And of course, it is for the sake of transparency and accountability that we need to run the selection process for the full term that is starting in that month in October. I would like to ask if there are any questions or comments regarding this item. No? Yes, Irina?

IRINA DANELIA: I see a date, September 2019. Is it mistyped?

BART BOSWINKEL: That's a typo. Consider it a typo. There is another one as well which you haven't noticed, which I like, that was in a previous resolution. But

when it's published, we'll update it and make it correctly. Thanks for noting, Irina.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you for that, Irina. It is a typo.

Okay. If no more comments or questions, may I ask for a mover? I see Laura mover, and seconder, Marie-Noemie. Okay. Thank you. Unless it's a hand. Yes, Marie-Noemie?

MARIE-NOEMIE MARQUES: Yes. Sure.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. So it was seconded. Thank you. I have a wonky Zoom here that is freezing. So if I'm missing anything, just unmute your microphone, please. Are there any questions on the resolution itself? I see Marie-Noemie has her hand up.

MARIE-NOEMIE MARQUES: No, it's an old one. Sorry.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: An old hand. Okay. No problem. Questions, comments on the resolution? If not, then we go to the vote. So please, do use your green ticks or your red Xs to be in favor or object the resolution. And use the

gray slow down for abstention. I see many green ticks. Thank you very much.

I will now please ask you to remove your green ticks and old hands, and I will ask you if there are any objections? I see none. Are there any abstentions? I see none. Thank you very much. This has been approved.

Okay. The next item, update from chair, vice chairs, councilors, regional organizations, and secretariat. Is there any update that you would like to share with us right now? I see none. Okay. Then I will move with the next one.

Update from the working groups is taken on a written update and it's been shared with all. Are there any additional comments from any Council liaison that they would like to share that maybe was not included there, some recent developments? If not, it's okay. I see Giovanni. Yes, please.

GIOVANNI SEPPIA: Hi, Alejandra. Thank you for this opportunity. Just to say that this morning, European time, we had an interesting SOPC meeting and we had an exchange of views with ICANN Planning which is about to start what they call this Prioritization project, which looks quite promising in terms of enabling the ICANN Planning to give priorities to the future course of action that will help them to shape their Strategic and Operating Plan. So they will reach out to the SOPC in the coming weeks to, let's say, have a common understanding of the next steps

and that we, the SOPC, can support ICANN plan in this effort. So I'll continue to keep the ccNSO Council updated. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Giovanni. Okay. I saw now the hand down. Well, we do have a liaison with an update and we will have a GNSO liaison written update.

Then you can see the dates and times for our next meetings. So our next meeting will be on July 22nd at 12:00 UTC.

Now I will move to Any Other Business. Does anyone have any other business before I go with mine?

What I wanted to raise with you is it's on the topic of DNS abuse, to see if the ccNSO has any role regarding that. Because I've been listening while participating in Tech Day, in ccTLD News, and the IGLC and many other sessions, this topic coming over and over and over again. Also, I've been approached by several parties asking for the ccNSO view on this or if there is any channel of communication for the ccNSO regarding this topic.

So what I would like to suggest is we have a discussion on the possible role of the ccNSO in this area on the agenda of the next meeting, and I wanted to let you know beforehand so you can think about it because it's something that it's been recurring a lot. We've discussed this previously, but now I would like to bring this back to the table, if possible. So please do think about it, what the ccNSO could do in this regard, and we will discuss it on our next Council meeting.

I will ask again, any other business? If not, I just want to have some few words before closure and that is that I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of our volunteers for their contributions, to all the ccNSO committees and the working groups, as well as to all the liaisons and their representatives in all external positions scattered through ICANN that the ccNSO has, because it is through their commitment and effort that the work of the ccNSO moves forward. Thank you very much. And I also would like to thank our fantastic secretariat, Kim, Joke, Bart, and Claudia, without whom none of the activities we carry on would be possible. Thank you for all your support and your patience. Thank you very much.

With this, this meeting is adjourned. Thank you all for joining and see you in the next one.

GIOVANNI SEPPIA: Thank you.

KIMBERLY CARLSON: Thank you all. Please stop the recording.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you. Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]