

Chat Transcript – Joint Discussion

Meeting #86 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) with the
GNSO SG/Cs – Rebalancing the NomCom Discussion
Thursday, 9 September 2021 @ 19:00 UTC!

Agenda

Joint Discussion with the GNSO SG/Cs (19:00-19:30 UTC)

Roll Call + SOI Updates (2 min)

1. Review implementation steps remaining for NomComRIWG
 2. Review Draft metrics for assessing trainings (related to Recs, 2, 3, and 4) for RIWG consideration (see attached)
 3. Discuss Standing Committee decision-making process to inform final round of revisions to Standing Committee charter
 4. AOB
 5. Next Steps
 6. Adjourn
-

Agenda

The NomComRIWG Plenary Call - (19:30-20:00 UTC)

- Debrief from discussions (both CEO and GNSO meetings)
 - Review and decide on the proposal for 'implementation complete' on Recs. 11 and 12
 - Review and discuss draft approach to Rec. 23 (candidate pool data) - **to be discussed next call**
 - AOB
 - Adjourn
-

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

11:56:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

bergamont is good

11:59:12 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Hello everyone

11:59:24 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

Hello Dave and all, welcome

12:00:03 From Patricio Poblete to Everyone:

Hello from Santiago de Chile

12:00:25 From Larisa Gurnick, ICANN org to Everyone:

Hello everyone! Greetings!

12:02:55 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

and Vanda should be in the Nom Com RIWG call but his has clashed again

12:03:01 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

I am in both calls

12:04:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Yes Vanda needs to move ASAP

12:07:55 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

I am in both calls as well

12:12:53 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

Good evening all from Lagos

12:13:42 From Raoul Plommer to Everyone:

CSG has only 3 constituencies but has four seats whereas the NCSG only has one. That's as obvious unfair advantage as it gets.

12:13:50 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Yes we can hear you

12:14:11 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

'the processes are not clashing we understand that is a concern to some

12:15:19 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

Yes, @Raoul, and will not be out of place restructuring the seats for at least NCSG to get additional or even advancing it per constituencies

12:16:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

We had planned for a full Holistic review as early as possible. The Board has put into place a Polit plan as opposed to that at this stage.

12:16:40 From Raoul Plommer to Yvette Guigneaux(Direct Message):

plommer@gmail.com

12:16:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

We there is ATRT3 Team

12:17:13 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

+1 @Cheryl

12:17:28 From Raphael Beauregard-Lacroix to Everyone:

Wolf-Ulrich, we can actually agree that we none of us, either CSG or NCSG, believe the status quo is the best option. The question, I think, we are facing, now is a question of which forum is the best: this RIWG process, or the GNSO? Maybe neither are "good," but I think the RIWG is definitely worse...

12:18:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

We fully recognise that a rebalancing of the NomCom may also be an outcome of the future Holistic Review.

12:18:56 From Raoul Plommer to Everyone:

The holistic review is kicking the immediate rebalancing can down the road.

12:19:43 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

@Raphael, I think that RIWG can make appropriate recommendations and follow through.

12:19:45 From Mason Cole to Everyone:

Same in the BC, Sam. We've conducted our elections already.

12:19:57 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

AND the other recommendation out of our Review also recommended that the total number of seats (size) of the NomCom does not change (at this stage/result of the review).

12:20:05 From Wolf-Ulrich Knoben to Everyone:

@Sam: very true

12:20:27 From Raoul Plommer to Everyone:

we can do that after the GNSO representation is rebalanced first. That is much more imbalanced than the rest of the NomCom.

12:20:53 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

Specific recommendation may help since our earlier stand is that the number should be sustained.

12:22:18 From Raphael Beauregard-Lacroix to Everyone:

I don't think waiting is either useful or necessary

12:22:34 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

Sorry Tom, I did not get that

12:23:04 From Raoul Plommer to Everyone:

We've waited this immediacy long enough as it is.

12:24:58 From Sam Demetriou to Everyone:

In theory, future reviews (like of the GNSO) that lead to restructuring could also cover NomCom representation? And then any necessary Bylaw changes could come from the implementation of those?

12:25:33 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Yes a VERY important point @Tom those voices are meant to bring in optimal (possible) diversity to benefit the NomCom processes and outcomes

12:25:47 From Larisa Gurnick, ICANN org to Everyone:

Tom and all - I'd like to clarify the process of implementation of certain recommendations that would require changes to the Bylaws.

12:25:58 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

outcomes being the quality and suitability OF the appointments made by any NomCom

12:28:11 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Thanks @Larisa for giving information on the process

12:28:14 From Raphael Beauregard-Lacroix to Everyone:

@Sam yes, in theory, that is indeed possible... but at least the language on the table now appears to me to be the one which is most likely to survive any upheaval within the GNSO , except maybe its complete disappearance ;)

12:28:16 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Thx Larrisa, yes important for people to understand the various parts

12:28:31 From Yvette Guigneaux to Raoul Plommer(Direct Message):

Understood.

12:32:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

This scenario was the one that the RIWG agreed was optimal (as opposed for example equal seats for all AC/SO's which radically reduces the GNSO seats

12:34:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

remember that the ALAC allocation is not hard coded they choose to currently appoint by Geo Region

12:35:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

they could choose another perhaps rotation method

12:35:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Thanks everyone, we appreciate your time

12:35:40 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

i am sorry to enter late was presenting in another ICANN conflicted event

12:35:42 From Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen to Everyone:

thanks all for "Hearing" us

12:35:58 From Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen to Everyone:

good bye

12:36:03 From Raphael Beauregard-Lacroix to Everyone:

thanks all bye

12:36:05 From Remmy Nweke @ITREALMS Media to Everyone:

ok thanks all

12:36:27 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

ok thanks

12:37:16 From Patricio Poblete to Everyone:

I will stay for a short while, before I need to jump to another meeting

12:37:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Your welcome Patricio

12:39:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

so his delegated authority still allows this to be suitably 'implemented'

12:41:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Thx Vanda the system of course needs to be agile for effectiveness, as I think you are stating

12:42:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Budget of course comes in under other new Recs and the role of the Standing Committee of course

12:43:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

and the Standing Committee and its Charter is indeed critical to several identified "issues"

12:43:15 From Vanda Scartezini - Brazil to Everyone:

yes, once SC is in place I believe will be much better...

12:46:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Exactly and ATRT3 pushes Continuous Improvement as a critical aspect hand in hand with periodic Holistic Review

12:47:43 From Patricio Poblete to Everyone:

Need to leave the call now. Thanks again for the invitation.

12:50:03 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

Thank you Patricio.

12:50:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

it will all come out again if and when things go to Public Comment

12:52:03 From Larisa Gurnick, ICANN org to Everyone:

Noted Cheryl and good point

12:54:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

I think we can @Kristy AGREE

12:55:27 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

Here's a link to the spreadsheet on screen -

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/13vVSiDjtuP81tyUK4xTvPbQf2V0gP0A8/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=111904672924784157676&rtpof=true&sd=true>

12:56:11 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

Thanks Yvette for the link

12:56:20 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

You're welcome

12:56:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Yes the cross check in the SC Charter is critical @Kristy

12:56:51 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

tick or cross

12:57:26 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Yeah!!

12:57:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Got to go Bye SOrry

12:58:17 From Yvette Guigneaux to Everyone:

Bye Cheryl

12:58:39 From Dave Kissoondoyal to Everyone:

OK great work team.. Thanks and bye to all