

Zoom Chat Transcript – IRP-IOT Call #70 | 11 May 2021

00:17:56 Flip Petillion: Ok for me

00:17:58 David McAuley (Verisign): No objection here

00:18:20 Kurt Pritz: I don't object to moving for the week but then do not change the call rotation afterward

00:18:28 Kurt Pritz: Well, never mind then

00:19:01 Flip Petillion: Or twice 3 weeks

00:19:57 David McAuley (Verisign): I want Malcolm'

00:20:15 David McAuley (Verisign): s recipe for buttercream spun in sugar

00:24:07 Kristina Rosette: apologies for joining late. just got back from my son's 2nd covid shot.

00:24:57 becky: wait, how many UDRPs are filed each year?

00:25:42 Kristina Rosette: best guess - at least 500

00:25:43 Flip Petillion: A couple of thousands

00:25:46 becky: lots.

00:26:43 Flip Petillion: <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/statistics/>

00:27:43 Flip Petillion: 4204 at WIPO in 2020 alone

00:33:13 Greg Shatan: Material harm = harshing the mellow of Get Baked....

00:39:06 Sam Eisner: That's what the EC powers are for - if there is a belief in a "lawless" ICANN

00:42:04 Sam Eisner: ICANN is still "challengeable", even if the IRP might not be available in an individual instance

00:43:38 Sam Eisner: The CCWG Report confirmed "the limited right to appeal is further balanced by the seven Community Powers, relevant policy development processes, and advice from Acs, each as set forth in the Bylaws." Annex 7, page 6

00:45:27 Malcolm Hutty: I would love to hear Sam's view of 4.3(p). It appears to me to offer forms of relief on an interim basis that are not available on a final basis.

00:50:48 Greg Shatan: Hand

00:52:08 Susan Payne: @Greg, yes it's not an improvement to move the hand button

01:00:55 Scott R. Austin: Hand

01:03:22 Malcolm Hutty: It would help Scott

01:07:06 Greg Shatan: Scott makes good points.

01:11:34 Kurt Pritz: Came from Kurt and helpfully amended by David

01:22:19 David McAuley (Verisign): Not necessarily IMO - a dispute concerns an action or inaction that violates the bylaw or article

01:22:36 David McAuley (Verisign): right, board or staff

01:34:20 Scott R. Austin: Is the reference to minutes publication as the source of a start date for the running of the time period clear in the applicable rules and/or bylaws as the start date so a claimant not familiar with the bylaws would know where to look (or even its counsel) to determine timing of the continued viability of the claim?

01:35:35 Sam Eisner: There is no longer a reference to the minutes publication; that was in prior versions

01:37:55 Scott R. Austin: Do we need a substitute as a clear marker for a start date to look to? That 3rd parties could find relatively quickly.

01:39:08 Flip Petillion: Yes, Thx Susan

01:42:36 David McAuley (Verisign): Thanks, Susan and all

01:42:41 Bernard Turcotte: bye all