




- **Standing** of the IGO based on either § 6ter of Paris Treaty or on a list produced/updated by the GAC
- In case IGO wins UDRP/URS, **a rehearing mechanism** based on binding arbitration


S

- Tweaking – or attempting to write an IGO-UDRP?
- Are tweaks within the narrow mandate of the WT?
- Should the procedure apply only in cases where someone is impersonating an IGO?
- Should arbitration be an automatic rehearing step or should IGO immunity first be tested in a court?

- 
- The slide features a dark blue background with decorative white circuit-like lines in the corners. These lines consist of straight segments connected by small circles, resembling a network or data flow diagram. The lines are positioned in the top-left, top-right, bottom-left, and bottom-right corners, framing the central text area.
- Our main concern is potential end user confusion, which in case of bad guys impersonating as an IGO (like WHO) could have fatal consequences. Thus, it is in our interest to reach a solution that will enable swift dealing with such cases. We are less interested in the details, as long as they are acceptable to the main actors.

RPM IGO CRWT - Summary Timeline

31 Mar 2021



1 Project Management & Workplan

2 Review prior materials & define scope

3 Deliberate possible policy solutions

4 Construct Initial Report

5 Public Comment on Initial Report

6 Review of Public Comment & Submission of Final Report

7 Council Consideration of Final Report

8 Public Comment during Board Consideration

9 Board Consideration

Complete: 30% Status: ● Health: ■

