7 APRIL 2021 MEETING

Agenda

- Welcome to the team
- Our work in 5 tasks
 - Root cause analysis
 - Additional data collection
 - Answering board questions
 - o Case study of .corp, .home, .mail, .lan, .local, .internal
 - o Name collision analysis
- Next steps

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTIONS			
SLIDES ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF NCAP DISCUSSION GROUP'S WORK:	2		
SLIDE 1: Root Cause Analysis	2		
Slide 2: Data Collection	3		
		Slide 5: Name Collision Analysis	4
		QUESTIONS	4
ACTION ITEMS	1		

INTRODUCTIONS

- Heather introduced as new Tech Writer.
- John introduced as tech writer who did some meeting write-ups and the first list of questions brought up by the team

Amy will be project managing and providing the Board Technical Committee (BTC) who
is overseeing the NCAP Study with whatever progress reports they require.

SLIDES ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF NCAP DISCUSSION GROUP'S WORK: SLIDE 1: Root Cause Analysis

Root Cause Analysis

- Work to be done by Technical Investigator
- There are 40+ reports that ICANN has received since 2021 round
- ICANN will approach reporters to confirm participation
- Work product to be delivered to discussion group
- Work product is needed in advance of Study 3

(mistake on slide: 2012 not 2021)

These reports are people who filled out the web form to report a collision. About 47 reports since 2012.

Slide 2: Data Collection

Reach out to friendly route server operators. we want to create a document which has the list of questions that will get us similar data to the case studies Matt T has already done, with data from other routes server operators and other data sources, in particular the global resolve or operators.

we need to understand going forward what the differences are in what the data looks like from different sources.

we have to give some consideration to what's going to be enough data and what's the best way to get what we think we need in terms of evaluating name conditions.

critical for us is to consider what data is going to be available in the future for evaluating name collisions.

we're going to start first with trying to get as much data as we can, so we did with a nj route, this time around. And then we're going to have to think about what happens when we can, if we can't get all that data in the future there's a limit to what we think we're going to be able to get, we need to talk about that and then figure out how to use what we can get.

Slide 3: Answering Board Questions

Answering Board Questions

- Template for answering each question
- Separate draft document for each question
- Shared drive for members
 - EXTERNAL NCAP DISCUSSION GROUP
 - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0ABPqh2KPMuBEUk9PVA
- Folder on drive with templates
 - o Board questions working documents
 - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1gHuQP08gelDgZ5jG2r8B-gXnAfg2K9PN
- VOLUNTEER TO DRAFT TEXT

Slide 4: Case Studies

Case Study of .corp, .home, .mail, .lan, .local, .internal

Research fellow with Steve Sheng will be creating first draft

Heather: what are interdependencies between the case study and the final report. Will case studies need to be appendices?

Slide 5: Name Collision Analysis

Name Collision Analysis

- An essential deliverable from us
 - What process will we recommend the board use for considering the presence of name collisions when evaluating future applications?
 - Leadership is developing a framework as a starting point for discussion group
- Data sensitivity analysis is part of this work
 - o No decision yet on whether this is part of the final work product or a separate document
- Research fellow currently reviewing prior meetings to ensure we have captured all open questions
- Focused work will wait until we have our case study work product

that the leadership team as part of our workshop we began the process of creating a framework as a starting point for this decision tree.

Were there dissenting opinions in Study 1? It's a bibliography of what could be found related to name collisions.

QUESTIONS

None

ACTION ITEMS

None