CLAUDIA RUIZ:	Good morning, good afternoon, good evening to everyone. Welcome to the AFRALO operating principles review working group call on Tuesday the 2nd of March 2021 at 18:00 UTC.
	On the call today, we have Isaac Maposa, Barrack Otieno, Jules Nizeyimana, Emmanuel Mfitumukiza, Sarah Kiden, and Seun Ojedeji.
	We have received apologies from Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong and Aziz Hilali.
	From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management. Our French interpreters for today are Isabelle and Camila. And if I could please remind everyone to state their names when taking the floor for the transcription purposes and also so the interpreters can identify you on the other language channels.
	Thank you very much, and with this, I turn the call over to you, Isaac.
ISAAC MAPOSA:	Thank you. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening, everyone. I will go on to the adoption of the agenda. I'm sure everyone had a view on the agenda items. We are going to discuss on the review of survey results and discussion of issues under review document to discuss on the consolidation of the comments on the document. Then the next steps and Any Other Business. Does anyone have an issue with the agenda?

If there's no one with an issue with the agenda, we take that the agenda is adopted. I'll give time to the staff to recap on the action items from our previous call. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you very much, Isaac. The first action item was the group decided to send two surveys, one for individuals and one for nonmembers, those who were rejected their applications. And as I recall, we sent the same survey to both, and the results, we will discuss later. And then Sarah Kiden will send a final question for members and nonmembers. She did that, the final survey questions. And Sarah, Abdel and Isaac drafted the introductory paragraph for this survey. So that's also complete and done.

And I was supposed to send individual membership to the working group mailing list asking them to review the document and be ready to discuss several options at the meeting, and I also did that. And then all members will study the table on RALO members' individual voting rights, and they did also, that must be checked.

Then Yesim was going to setup the call for August 27. So those action items correspond to the July meeting, and actually, they were carried over to the August meeting. So this group is actually reconvening after a long time to review where to go next. So that's all. Thank you. Over to you, Isaac.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Thank you so much. Now we go on to the next agenda item, which is the review of the survey results. After staff sent out the survey, they gathered their results, and I'm sure everyone received a copy. And also, it's on the agenda. You can actually download the document on the agenda item on survey results. So now I give time to staff to go over the survey results.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Okay. Thank you very much, Isaac. Basically, the survey was sent last year and then resent again about a month ago, but unfortunately, we didn't have many respondents. We only had five respondents, and if you go over the answers, very quickly, you will see one of the questions was, when did you join AFRALO, they completed that. The next—continue going down, Claudia.

I want to highlight the answers that are meaningful. In number four, you have what would you change in the process for accreditation, and they said process is okay so far, nothing really, the process was simple enough and the only setback was the coordination and responses from the RALO staff which delayed the process.

I can comment that sometimes, the staff is doing some sort of due diligence, asking more questions, so perhaps that's why they thought that was maybe delaying the process. Another one said that the process should be more inclusive and the other one said that it was straight forward, though he had to send his application twice as he didn't receive a response from the first one.

Then another meaningful response is, what would you change in number six in the accreditation of non-affiliated members? Said nothing, not applicable. The process is fine, but a brief description of all working groups and committees would be good. Another interesting response is the degree to which unaffiliated members are integrated into AFRALO largely depends on how deliberately they seek to get engaged. One major thing that unaffiliated members aren't able to do is vote for leaders, and I think we should have a larger say in electing the RALO leaders. Not every member would have the ability to be part of an ALS.

So that's an interesting comment. Then the next question, number seven, is how would you evaluate the experience as a nonaffiliated member. I note that all of them said—satisfactory is the most answered question.

And then if you keep scrolling down, we have number eight below. you see the responses are in French, but I am doing a quick translation from what I understand. In the response in the type of collaboration, we have five responses: participated in series of forum activities, delivered lectures in subject matters of cyber diplomacy, collaborated with ISOC Rwanda chapter to create awareness for routing security, lectures and awareness programs. So this is the type of collaboration that they had.

And the other ones are just the charts that you can review. I don't know if we just don't want to go into that level of detail [inaudible] every single question. I would like to go to number 15. Yes, okay. So I read out the responses. Active participation. I would like to improve how active I

can be within the working groups I have joined. I also want to take the ICANN youth training program to get more acquainted with how I can contribute to ICANN work. Okay. Get involved in a working group and ability to participate in elections for leadership.

Indicate three advantages of being a member. Awareness, understanding policy procedures in ICANN, and understanding DNS structure, participating in policy meetings as well as attending ICANN stakeholder meetings, reinforcement of capacity. While I have been able to participate in AFRALO activities, I am not sure there are any benefits that I have enjoyed that an organizational member would not have.

Okay. And then the last question, community awareness policy and assigned committee, improved or active contribution within the working group. I am participating in the address, numbers side of ICANN, elaboration of policy formulations, community programs, institutional reforms, and then perhaps more involvement in [inaudible] working groups. So these are the topics in which they would like more reinforcement, greater participation.

And then the last questions, any other information, thank you for the opportunity. So the people who have responded provided interesting feedback that can be used for your improvement of this process. So that's at a very high level what we have. All of the members didn't respond, unfortunately, as I mentioned, so perhaps that's also an indication of the level of engagement of individuals at the moment. Thank you. Isaac, over to you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you so much. I'm sure I can now give time to the working group members to deliberate on the survey results. Any comments? Staff, can you help me? If there are any hands raised and if there are any comments in the chat room, staff can assist on that since I'm not on the …

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hadia has her hand up and she has a question. Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you so much. If we could go back to the survey, the question in relation to the process where one of the answers was a more inclusive process, and that was in the beginning, I'm not sure about the number of the question—

SILVIA VIVANCO: It's number four.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. Yeah, so it says make process more inclusive, and I wasn't sure what this really means.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yeah, Hadia, if I may, I also was wondering about it. Perhaps they meant more interactive whereby the individual has an opportunity to speak

maybe with someone evaluating the application. I think that's what is meant. That's my guess, that they want this to be more interactive, perhaps.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Yeah, like they would like to have a chance to interact with someone or exchange dialog or conversation with someone in relation to their application.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Exactly. I was thinking perhaps they want a personal interview. That's an idea that answer triggered. And I see Sarah's hand is up.

SARAH KIDEN: It's a different thing from Hadia. My understanding would be maybe they want to participate more and they feel—yeah, they need to be given more opportunity to participate in other activities, which I personally feel is there, but maybe they don't feel [inaudible]. Yeah, so just my comment is, was this sent out in both English and French? Because I'm wondering why we are seeing English responses and French questions.

Then I'm also worried because we have over 15 individual members and only five responded. So maybe as a working group, I don't know if this is for the outreach and engagement to ensure that we can get more people responding. I'm not sure how to handle this.

Then the responses we received are actually very good, and I'm sure they can fit into our process. Other than that, this was a good activity. Thank you, everyone. And Isaac, I see Seun's hand up, so if okay, we can give the floor to Seun.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Yeah, sure, you can give him the floor.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Thank you. I think Sarah has mentioned some of the things I wanted to say in terms of the question regarding the respondent, because the question itself is French and the responses are English. So it would be good to get clarification from staff on why this is so. And perhaps that also may inform the low response, perhaps, but we need to understand why there's a mix up in the responses.

Secondly, I think at this point in time, we've spent significant time on this survey. I think we should just learn from whatever it is that is the lesson that is on this one, take the current responses that have been received, and then move on to the next steps. I don't think that we need to have outreach and engagement reach out for more responses. I think we've spent so much time on this activity.

[inaudible] we have the individual membership finishing their work already. I think this work party can just continue once that report is out. We have an idea of the direction of that report, so that is one of the major things that this group has been waiting for anyway.

So the other thing is what Hadia was saying in terms of the inclusiveness. I don't know what is question four. Is this English interpretation of what this question four is? I think that this respondent may have misinterpreted the question. Maybe the person was referring to the process itself within AFRALO, that is, like Sarah was saying, maybe wants to be able to vote, be able to do some other things in terms of participation.

So the person may have actually misinterpreted the question. If the question was about the application process, then the person may have thought it was about the participation process. So I think that's probably where the inclusivity will be more applicable, but I don't think the application can be more inclusive than it has been in that it allows anybody to apply.

Perhaps the other thing I should mention is that maybe the form needs to be reviewed to allow for [inaudible] activity for instance, those are the ones who indicate their gender or those who want to—perhaps that is also the inclusivity aspect, to allow people to have an opinion whether they want to indicate male or female or otherwise, or they would even prefer not to mention. Maybe that application form should be much more inclusive in that regard when it comes to gender or when it comes to demographics. I think that's all from my side for now, and I think we have something to work with and then we should just try to move on with it. Thanks.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Seun, and thank you, Sarah, and thank you, Hadia, for the comments. I think my response is to also the comments that have come through. In terms of the issue of low responses, I'm sure we have given ample time for people to respond, but it seems that the response rate is very low. I'm not sure why. I'm not sure the reason behind or what may be happening, are they getting their e-mails or it's just that people don't have access to Internet or ... I'm not sure why the responses are so low considering the number of individual members. But what we have decided is if we continued trying to get more responses, our working group may prolong for so long, so we just thought it may be more ideal for us to take those responses that have come through since they are going to fit into what we have been working on as working group members. the comments that are coming from the working group members. so work on the responses that have come from the survey and the work that we have worked on as working group members and consolidate that to come up with a position as a working group.

I agree with Seun, there is no need for us to engage the outreach and engagement, but maybe, probably, as a way to [try] and get more engagement from the individual members in the near future, maybe to try and understand if we get the opportunity to tell the outreach and engagement team that they may need to understand why do we get less responses. I'm not sure, other surveys, how people respond, but I think that we need to actually understand why do we get so little responses when we have a number of individual members. I think that's all for me. Are there any hands raised or any other comments in the chat room?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Isaac, I want to read out the comment from Fatimata and also address it. And Fatimata says, good point, Seun, the questions are in French, but the answers are in English and in French too. Should we have two versions, one in French and one in English?

And I can address that, Fatimata. Last year, the survey was sent in two links, the English and the French, but as you may recall, my colleague, Alperen was helping this working group with that task, and when he produced the report, consolidated both English and French into one single report as there were only five respondent, very few answers. So that's why the answers came in both, it's a mix of both languages. So that's the status.

And I also wanted to address the topic of the lack of response. As you may recall, the idea was to give the indivudals their own mailing list, AFRALO individual members mailing list, the 15, but out of the 15, only four completed their GDPR form, which you know is needed in order to subscribe members to each mailing list.

So at the moment, there is no such mailing list. So it was really hard [inaudible] staff sent several times since last year reminders to complete the GDPR, but they didn't. So that's also an indication, perhaps, of that maybe a greater effort is needed to get them more engaged. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Silvia. Are there any hands raised or any comments in the chat? Okay, if there are no hands raised ... So I'm not sure what we would need to do in terms of this survey, because for myself, some of

the data, I may not be able to deduce it since it's in French, so would need some help in getting this data consolidated with the other comments from the working group members.

I don't know what the working group members think about the responses that we got since [inaudible] report, how do we go forward, since some of it is in French and some of it is in English.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Fatimata is raising her hand.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Sure. She can go on.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Sorry, it's Sarah. Thank you.

SARAH KIDEN: I would like to suggest—I don't know if you remember how we did, when we did the survey at the start of the pandemic and we sent it out to members, then we just summarized it, I think a one-page or a two-page document saying these were the issues that were raised by the members, so I would like to suggest, to propose that we summarize it. I think we have people who speak both French and English on our team, so we can just create a one-page document summarizing that these are the issues that came out of this report, and then we send it to the mailing list eventually, to the wider mailing list for people to see the

issues that were raised, as we wait for the work of the unaffiliated individual members, and then we can consolidate and see how to take it forward from there. Thank you. And I'm also happy to contribute to this process of summarizing it into one page. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Sarah. That would be great if you could help us with that. I think if there's someone who can also help, Sarah, on summarizing the document [inaudible]

SILVIA VIVANCO: I am taking that action item, Sarah. Thank you very much for that kind offer.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you so much. So, we will work with the summarized document to get the final result from the responses to get what will fit into the work of the working group.

So now, we can move on to the next items. If there are no any other issues on the survey report, we can move on to our next agenda item, which is the discussion of the issues under reviews comments consolidation. I'm sure the link is on the agenda so you can actually see the document which was worked on on Google Docs.

There have been comments on the document. People commented on the document, but now, we need to finalize this document so that we come up with the final position of the working group. We no longer

need to prolong the work, so we need to consolidate the comments that came through and [inaudible] out the issues that we raised within the comments, discuss those issues and come up with a final position of the working group.

So I give the floor to everyone on the working group to make a contribution on what you think is the way forward on the document. I give the floor to the working group members. Staff, can you assist if there are any comments or hands raised?

SILVIA VIVANCO: I do not see any hands raised or comments about this document yet on the chat. I see on the Google doc there are some comments from Sarah, Isaac, Hadia, [inaudible]. So perhaps they wish to make those remarks at this time.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: If not, I can read them out if you want, the ones posted on the Google doc. I see Seun's hand. Seun.

SEUN OJEDEJI: I just wanted to suggest a process idea here. the document that is in front of us is a working document, so what I would suggest is that as we make comments, it would be good, instead of comments, that we

suggest text so that if we suggest text, we can also then perhaps make comments on the text or any supports or make comment on the edit in support or against the suggested edit. That will help us also to make some progress in terms of seeing the text or the document take shape. So the wordings of what we need to change also would be very useful. So I think apart from the comments, [since some comments have been made here,] I think we need to also turn them to actual edits, word edits to introduce it so that we can then also have a sense of what the document, what the content now looks like. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Seun, for the valuable feedback. I think we will go that route. We'll consolidate the comments that have been put forward on the document and come up with a final document and share it on the mailing list and to the group so that we can move forward. I think that will be okay, if that's okay with everyone in the working group. Any other comments or suggestions?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Isaac, I don't see any hands up. I briefly saw Jules, but now the hand is down. So I have noted the action item, working group members to include actual suggestions into edits, edits into the document.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. So we will l share—

SEUN OJEDEJI: Pardon me, my hand is raised. Can you hear me?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Seun has a new hand.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Yeah, what I said was [inaudible] suggestion, something—the staff has noted it rightly to actually have the text, but that is something that can happen [inaudible] it doesn't mean that we should not still go through, cover things. Silvia was trying to go through each of the comments so that at least that will also inform the leadership of this work party on the edits that will be made.

So I think since the comments are there already, we can still go through it, and if time permits, we can still go through the ones that have been made and so that at least the edits that will be done will also be as swift as possible if we can agree to some of these comments that have already been made. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Seun. So I think we should go through the comments right now, right? Since [our time permits.]

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, and before that, you have Jules with his hand up.

JULES NIZEYIMANA: [inaudible].

SILVIA VIVANCO: We cannot hear you. Please, Jules, could you speak up?

JULES NIZEYIMANA: Hello, can you hear me now?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, now we hear you.

JULES NIZEYIMANA: Good. I have the same suggestion as Seun, of passing through these comments since they're there, because I think some need some clarification, and so then we can [take them] together.

Then [we allow additions,] maybe some will bring confusions. For example, from my suggestion, if you allow, I can go through it and clarify it and show how it may bring [confusion] to allow everyone to edit. I was suggesting to also allow members, consider members from [inactive] ALSes, because for example, for the case of chapters, there are some chapters which [inaudible] who are not really active but they are still considered as [inaudible] ALSes in AFRALO.

So one way we need to check to have an evaluation of being active, being satisfied, but also being active, so that at least if someone is from inactive ALS, doesn't limit him or her to apply on himself. So there are two things. Either we can allow people regardless their ALSes, or either

EN

we first check how our ALSes have been conducting [their] activities or how they are active. This was my concern.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Jules. Okay, thank you for the contribution. I think the best way is let's go through the comments, just a quick one through the comments without wasting much time. Can Sarah or staff member assist in going through the document?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. I do see three hands up, Seun, Sarah and Fatimata. So perhaps I'll give them the floor first. I don't see the order, but maybe Seun can go. Seun, you can take the floor.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I will go first.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Oh, Fatimata.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Yes. I just wanted to make a comment for Jules's idea of considering applications for members from inactive ALSes. When I am a member of an ALS and my ALS is not active, what do I do? I think ... if that member is conscious about ICANN, conscious about AFRALO activities and wants to be an individual member, while being in an ALS and the ALS is not active, how come he can't be active? How come he can't make the ALS

active by participating? I don't know. I'm just asking that question because it seems a bit weird to me.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. Isaac, as you don't have visibility of hands up, if you'll allow me, I can note Fatimata's concern and then go to the next hand, who is Seun.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Sure, go ahead.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Okay, thank you. I just wanted to also comment on the suggestion as well, and perhaps—those who [are also in the] ALAC individual mobilization work party can also chime in, because I'm not up to date on the status of that report, but I understand that at the moment, based on the current progress of the report, members of ALSes can actually apply to be an individual member so long as the person is not the ALS contact or representative and is not the officers of the ALS.

So if that is the case, I think what Jules was suggesting then means that it's not just to the nonparticipating ALS, it also means that any individual can actually, within the ALS or any other—irrespective of the participation level of that ALS, any individual member from that ALS can actually apply as an individual member.

So on that note—and I think we need to also [really confirm that,] because one way or the other, our rules need to conform to the global one, which is the ALAC one, even though we know that's not been

approved yet, it has not gotten through the stage of approval, but at least we have an idea of what the final document will look like. So I think unless there's going to be a significant change—which I don't think so—we can tailor our rules to also ensure that it is in respect to the global one.

So on that regard is why I'm saying that it would be [inaudible] for us to—the matter or the suggestion that Jules was mentioning is already taken care of by the global rule itself. So the question would be whether we need to still mention it in our rules explicitly or we just need to—when that rule from the ALAC is available, we just need to refer to the URL, and then say these are the global rules which by so doing are applicable to us. However, these are the other rules that were expected for any new application in our region.

So I think we also need to get to that stage where we also need to discuss how to incorporate the rules on the global and the ones within our RALO. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. If you'll allow me, Isaac, the next hand is Sarah. Thank you for your remarks, Seun. Sarah, and then Hadia.

SARAH KIDEN: Thank you. I'm a member of the unaffiliated individual members work party, and I can confirm that as of yesterday when we had the call, the recommendation that is being made is that anyone can apply to be an individual member, even members of an ALS, because it's hard to know

EN

who is a member of an ALS or not. But there is a restriction that if you are an ALS representative, primary or secondary representative or if you're an ALS leader, I think for now, there are restrictions on individual memberships for people who hold leadership positions in their ALSes, which I think will cover us.

And to respond to Seun, I think we should also mention it in ours since the rules will be changing anyway, it will be important to show that it's changing to allow this.

And of course, I think at the end of the day, the rules are subject to approval from ALAC, though—and RALOs are going to receive a copy of the report as well. So I don't know, maybe they'll approve or not. I hope they do. If they do, I think it will be very easy for us. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you for those remarks, Sarah. And then Hadia is next.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you. So I would also like to respond to Seun's comments in relation to, do we mention the specific definitions in our document or just refer to the ALAC operating principles, and I would say that for sure, we need to refer to the ALAC document. But still those terms that we have need to be also defined in our documents. Even if we say per ALAC document, this is how we define an unaffiliated person or this is how we define [so,] but for ease of reference, it should be also mentioned in our document. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO:	Okay. Thank you very much, Hadia, for those remarks, and then the floor is back to you, Isaac.
ISAAC MAPOSA:	Thank you so much. Thank you, Seun. Thank you, Sarah, and thank you, Hadia. Just a quick one. When do you think the report will be out after it's reviewed by ALAC?
SARAH KIDEN:	I can respond to that. Maybe staff can confirm, but I know they're trying to work as fast as possible to ensure that by the next ICANN meeting—which is in March—at least the report is with ALAC, and then voting can happen shortly after that. So I'm guessing in the next maybe month, or maximum two months. Thank you.
ISAAC MAPOSA:	Thank you, Sarah. Why I was asking this is I'm sure that report will really affect our work, and that means we have to actually take issues on that report into consideration on our work. That means we'll have to wait for the final report for us to—
SILVIA VIVANCO:	Okay. Isaac, I also see Hadia's hand is up.
HADIA ELMINIAWI:	That's an old hand.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. She lowered the hand. Continue, Isaac. Yes. Isaac is still on the line. Perhaps he's muted. Claudia, did we lose Isaac on the bridge?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: I'm checking with the operator. It says he's not muted, but he's not coming through. Perhaps I can dial out to him again.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. It looks like he dropped and they're going to dial him back, so let's just wait for a few moments.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Is there anything else you wanted to go over while we wait for them to reconnect Isaac?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Perhaps Sarah or Seun would like to continue while we try to get Isaac on the phone.

SARAH KIDEN: As we wait for Isaac, I would like to suggest that maybe since the other report is coming out shortly by the next ICANN meeting, maybe we can pause our rules a little bit so that we ensure that we are in line with a lot of the recommendations that come out of that report. But it's just a

EN

suggestion. Or we could go through ours and then when that one comes, we just try to synchronize.

SILVIA VIVANCO: And perhaps, if I may, Sarah, perhaps it would be a good exercise for this working group while this report is approved to go over the report already and read it through and see how this report would affect the current rules, so start ahead of time checking the report. Perhaps the working group could decide some time to review it in advance of the approval. Thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Silvia, it looks like the operator is having trouble connecting Isaac, so not sure how long it's going to be. We only have about five minutes left for the call.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. With five minutes left, I don't know, Sarah and Seun, if you would like to recap the next steps. I don't know if it's to stop the work or to continue, perhaps reviewing the ALAC working group report.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Yes, I think Sarah [inaudible] suggested a way forward, and I'm seeing some plus ones. Okay, [I think the plus one] was actually for a different comment. But I think Sarah was suggesting something earlier which we could also work on in terms of next steps. I'll suggest that at least the work of the RALO, of the ALAC work party for individual membership is

EN

almost rounding up, and I think that to some extent—we can [inaudible] wait for that work to get to a final stage, even though I think we already have a pretty good idea of what the document or the content looks like already, and I don't think it'll change significantly. Even if it comes to the RALOs, I doubt there will be a significant change to it. So what I would suggest in terms of a way forward would be to continue on the edits of the current document, the current draft which is the one that in the Google docs, and then based on the feedback that we have in the reports of the ALAC work party—so maybe somewhere in the document, the reference to the ALAC work party report can be included, [maybe in the heading] so that at least people who are editing the current Google doc can also refer to that document and to also better inform us on what we are editing. So I think the action item which I believe has been captured before would be for the work party to continue to edit, update the document [our group,] the one about individual membership.

In reference to the work of the work party of ALAC, I think we can do that. Maybe an action item would be for staff to include a link to the current draft of the ALAC work party on individual membership on the Google docs of AFRALO work party on individual member ship so that at least our members can refer to it.

All right, I think it would be good that the staff also can of course not full edit rights but editing with comments rights for members so that people can actually comment on the draft that we have at the moment.

In terms of whether there's going to be a next meeting, I'm not in a position to determine that. I think that would be the co-chairs that

EN

would determine when the next meeting for this [committee] will be held. I would suggest that that one could happen on the mailing list and co-chairs would communicate to us. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. I don't think we have Isaac back. But thank you very much for the summary and the action items, Seun, which I have captured on the chat and will be posted for your review and edits.

So I think with that, it's the top of the hour and the working group has wrap up with this excellent summary and way forward. So, thank you. If you'll allow me to close the call, I will do so now, and thank you very much for your active participation and work, Seun and Sarah and everybody else. Thank you all. Have a nice—

SEUN OJEDEJI: Thanks a lot. Bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thank you all very much. Please enjoy the rest of the day. This meeting is adjourned.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]