CPWG

PRIORITY 2 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FROM EPDP PHASE 2 PUBLIC COMMENT

WEDNESDAY 13 JANUARY 2021

Alan Greenberg

Hadia ElMiniawi

AGENDA

❑Introduction

❑Recommendation 19

❑Recommendation 20

❑Recommendation 21

❑Recommendations 22

❑Suggested ALAC Comment

INTRODUCTION

❑EPDP Phase 2 Team agreed on dividing its work into priority 1 and priority 2 items

❑Priority 1 items address SSAD

❑Priority 2 items address unconcluded topics from phase1

❑Priority 2 items are recommendations 19, 20, 21and 22 of Phase 2 report

❑The public comment seeks input on Priority 2 items

❑The pubic comment period closes on 21 January 2021

RECOMMENDATION #19

❑In the case of a domain name registration where an affiliated and/or accredited privacy/proxy service is used, e.g., where data associated with a natural person is masked, Registrar (and Registry, where applicable) MUST include the full RDDS data of the applicable privacy/proxy service in response to an RDDS query. The full privacy/proxy RDDS data may also include a pseudonymized email.

RECOMMENDATION #20:

❑The EPDP Team recommends that the EPDP Phase 1 recommendation #11 is updated to state that redaction MAY be applied to the city field in reference to the registrant's contact information, instead of MUST.

RECOMMENDATION #21:

❑The EPDP Team confirms its recommendation from phase 1 that registrars MUST retain only those data elements deemed necessary for the purposes of the TDRP, for a period of fifteen months following the life of the registration plus three months to implement deletion, i.e., 18 months.

RECOMMENDATION #22:

❏The EPDP Team recommends the following purpose be added to the EPDP Team
 Phase 1 purposes, which form the basis of the new ICANN policy:
 Contribute to the maintenance of the security, stability, and resiliency of the Domain
 Name System in accordance with ICANN's mission.

SUGGESTED ALAC COMMENT

The ALAC thanks ICANN org for putting forward priority two policy recommendations for public comments, and takes this opportunity to provide its comments and support to all four recommendations.

Recommendation number 19 is of particular importance since the implementation of the privacy and proxy service provider accreditation program is currently on hold, pending this recommendation. The recommendation is updated to include affiliated privacy proxy service providers. Recommendation number 22 is also of special importance because it addresses ICANN purposes for processing the registration data. We note here that without all identified ICANN purposes, the processing of the registration data as defined and envisioned by the EPDP team will not be possible. Recommendations number 20 and 21 address the city field and the retention period respectively, and are both necessary for the policy implementation to proceed.

Therefore, the ALAC alongside its support to all four policy recommendations, recommends that the priority 2 policy recommendations from EPDP phase 2 are adopted as soon as possible, so that the implementation of phase one of the registration data policy could move forward as well as the implementation of other dependent policies, like the privacy/proxy service provider accreditation program.

THANK YOU - QUESTIONS?