
CLAUDIA RUIZ: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. Welcome to LACRALO governance working group call. This is Wednesday, December 9th at 23:000 UTC. Here we have Sergio Salinas Porto, Harold Arcos, Vanda Scartezini, Carlos Raúl Gutiérrez, Laura Margolis and Lilian Ivette de Luque.

From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco and Claudia Ruiz. Our interpreters today are Veronica and Marina. Thank you so much, and I will give the floor now to Sergio.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Claudia. And you are most welcome, all of you. This is the governance working group meeting. I have several things to say. First, let me apologize for the short notice, but there was a need for us to meet. There has been some progress in some areas. We have to discuss some issues, such as the emeritus council. But I think we should first and foremost consider this current framework which is the current moment is prior to ICANN 70. ICANN 70 is in Latin America, so we have to check our schedule for the March activities.

One of the core components of that event will be the rules we have developed throughout the recent times that we have to implement and push forward to be adopted in this virtual meeting. Having said this, I think we might start ... In the list I sent for our agenda, we have the topic of individual members of LACRALO. There are many things that we have to check. I'm sure I have skipped some things and I need Silvia to

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

check the terminology designation in our Wiki. We have to make some corrections.

We speak of observers, observer members, and after the adoption of the rules of procedure, they are now the individual members. on the other hand, there are two colleagues here present in the call, Carlos Raúl and Laura Margolis who are taking part in a global individual users activities. So I think it would be worthwhile hearing from them what the discussions there are about.

Also, considering that this is for Latin America, considering that what is being discussed there is great, it's okay, but it requires a certain adapting to the Latin American context. So based on this, I don't know if Carlos or Laura can give us some update and we start discussing the individual members topic.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: As you wish. Laura, do you want to start?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: I think Laura has dropped and rejoined, but her audio is not with us yet. I think she hasn't heard.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Laura, I think you haven't heard a word I said, right?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: I think she's not here now either.

LAURA MARGOLIS: Hi. Sorry. I have connection problems.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Again, what I said was this introduction, I was sharing that both you and Carlos—Carlos as ALAC member and you as our first individual member—are sharing the work of Roberto Gaetano’s group, the group he leads. So my request was for you to give us an update, because now we have to regulate the election of individual members. We have to give the kickoff and then individual members as any ALS will have their own methodology for working.

One of the things I've said—and I think it should be made very clear—is that activity for all individual members, for all regions as an ALS, as ISOC, [Internauta] or any other. So we have to bear in mind that the discussions there do not involve the region directly. The region will follow some of those things but not all. And this has to be made clear, because we have to have the Latin American view how individual members will be working. So you will be sharing with us and working with the document as well.

So based on this intro, I will invite you to let us know what is being discuss there, major lines for us to move forward with this individual members topic. We want to take to ICANN 70 general assembly, we want to submit this for adoption by the general assembly. This is very relevant for us.

LAURA MARGOLIS: Okay. I think Carlos is also with us, right?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes.

LAURA MARGOLIS: Well, Carlos, I don't know if you want to give us a summary of what is being discussed.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Yes. If you want me to, I will. I will actually refer to the negative side. There is a great concern, actually. In North America, it's absolutely normal to set up a regional ALS for individual members. So there isn't actually much to debate. The concern for our Asian and African friends is that throughout or by taking this road, there might be double dipping, there might be duplicity, so anyone could be influential, bot has a member of an ALS and as an individual member. So discussions have been actually complicated because of this.

They're not checking on alternatives, but they're actually fixed on that. As Sergio very well said, this is something that LACRALO has already accepted. That can be very well defined, and that the same purposes of the member mobilization and Roberto Gaetano's working group will simply need more volunteers for legal and technical matters so that they can get engaged in this increasingly difficult processes.

Today, Justine Chew again referred to subsequent procedures, and it has now become increasingly legal. So I'm totally unable to give an

opinion, and at times, it's difficult to follow the discussion. However, Roberto has successfully managed this. He was to have a draft report or a structure of a report submit before the end of the year to have a document in January or February with the same purpose. A timeline shows that the document should be submitted at the next ICANN meeting. So this is the context.

This needs to have more people involved, less in administrative processes and more able to take the [seats] that ALAC already holds for all policy processes which takes time and requires people who are well informed, well prepared. We need lawyers such as León Sanchez, someone able to go through the two or three years of the transition as he did.

I think that the process is moving very well. What we should discuss, as Sergio has mentioned—and I really like this, because there is already a tradition in Europe for the RALO to offer observers and ALS—I'm not going to say that they are homeless people, but they do not have a homeland, but at least were to participate. And that does away with all the things that have been discussed until now such as double dip. So the only thing we have to decide is to go actually more over the substance than the structure. You are kindly invited to go to the independent ALS. But if you're interested in structure, in leadership decisions, in budgets, outreach and the IETF, which is in ALAC bylaws, so our recommendation is to go for a local structure.

And now I'm speaking on my own behalf, Carlos Raúl Gutiérrez's view, because I want to circumscribe this discussion. So we are going to have a draft document in January, and we have the two extremes here. The

full freedom of NARALO and the [twin] structure of EURALO, having one independent ALS. And I think in LACRALO, we should pose that question once and for all. Either we'd like to have them [loose,] such as Laura, or do we want to make it a bit more formal so that there are no such questions, either people have to vote, and the rest? Which is a concern in Africa and in India mostly. That's what they are discussing, and it's reasonable.

In Africa, this effort of creating ALS is very recent and everyone has taken a defensive stance. They have set up ALS because ALAC has said that that should be done, and now the same people come and say we do not need the ALS. So that is the confusion that I myself feel in these deliberations. And I'll stop here and give the floor to Laura.

LAURA MARGOLIS:

Yes. Everything you've said is absolutely [like this.] Roberto, let me tell you, is leading the group very well, and we've started considering whether individual members should be strictly individual—that is to say, to not belong to any ALS—or whether users who are also members of an ALS could be individual members. And this happened before what Carlos described. There was a heated debate on this at the end. The group follows this methodology of setting up polls to see what people think, and with a few exceptions, people voted for allowing ALS members also being members of individual users groups.

As Roberto said—and this is something that was very much discussed—everyone was there, the Africans, everyone, and the question was, what would be the harm caused by a user of an ALS also being a member of

the individual users group? And actually, the word “harm,” there would be no such harm. So why not let them participate?

But I have this feeling—and I speak here in this small group—that they wanted to take the group to that direction. And this is, again, my perception, my view. I'm not talking on behalf of anyone, not on behalf of Carlos or Pisanty who is also a member. I have this impression that all those who are already members of an ALS have taken the group to that direction to say, okay, if you already belong to an ALS, you can also be an individual member.

And then they started discussing how would leadership be managed. If you are the chair of an ALS, then you have one vote, and then another vote as an individual user. So that's still being discussed, how leadership would be managed. And no conclusion has yet been reached.

So there we are. I haven't read the most recent e-mails after the last meeting, but as Carlos Raúl has said, the intention is to submit a draft with the notes of the discussions and be ready for ICANN 70. So that's basically all I will share with you.

Then we also have talked about the regions. Someone has been breathing heavily, and then there was the issue of regions, whether a user could be a member of an ALS or be an individual user for his or her region, or for other regions as well.

So these are the things that have been discussed until now, and step by step, conclusions are drawn, but I think there's still a lot to be defined.

And there is something else I would like to add regarding the ALSes. Here in Uruguay, let me say that the ISOC, the local chapter of ISOC took three years to create a not-for-profit organization here in Uruguay. So this is just an example. ISOC is an example, but we could have any other example. So if it takes two or three years for a not-for-profit organization to become a formal organization in order for them to later on be an ALS or become an ALS in At-Large, we're in the wrong path when it comes to times. This is just an example. Perhaps in other countries, it is a quicker process.

And here in Uruguay for example, let me give you some examples. The only ALS that we have is another public notary association represented by [inaudible], and the notary publics here have no knowledge of computing and everything is being transferred to them or all the content is being given to them and they're really active, but I'm sure that they don't have a clue of what we're talking about because they're a notary public. And of course, I'm not talking in their detriment, I'm just making a comparison when it comes to the topics that we deal with at ICANN.

So let's think about the interest that they may have regarding ICANN topics. So those are questions that I've asked myself. But this is the only association that we have here in Uruguay. So let's [inaudible].

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sorry for interrupting you.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Sergio, if I may, I was listening to Laura and I remembered that one of the arguments that was used against that issue of voting was that Alan Greenberg mentioned that in the case of Latin America, this would be impossible because we have a system to distribute the ALS burden, and he took that time to explain it to the rest. And I believe this is good to remember, because he said that Latin America has a regional division and there is a [weighted] voting. So Latin America has already solved that issue of voting. So basically, that is an example. That's why I wanted to remind you about this, because that was something that was mentioned in order to reply to some questions in other regions.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you, Carlos. And there is a topic that was mentioned by Laura, if I'm not mistaken. We have created something about this and we have discussed quite a lot so far, and Laura [inaudible] defender of organizations over individual users. But I believe there is a very [fraught] political situation, this issue of having two, or a double vote. To have individual users on the one hand, [or ALSes to] become individual users. What is the sense of having individual users that are representatives of organizations?

So this is the sort of game by ISOC, rather than something related to individual users, and individual users willing to participate.

Secondly, we have discussed something about this, and we already have clear in our minds that [inaudible] working group at ICANN to discuss all [internal] topics within this [Internet users federation] working group. And the same would apply if we had chapters in other continents. We

only have the example of Spain. ISOC could be another example. It might be creating a group to discuss this topic. So to be honest, regions have to overcome or be above this, and we have to defend the ALS model that we have in Europe today, not after the conclusion of this working group.

Today in Europe, you have an ALS. The same applies for the US. You have an ALS and that ALS appoints its leaders and it decides its candidates, and there's a whole process for that. We also have some steps to follow that were discussed and included in the rules of procedure to set forth when an individual user may become a candidate. So we have to review that.

Now, we have to formalize that for an ALS, [inaudible] mentioned by Carlos Raúl today, and I believe that the European one is the most—the better one for that ALS to be working in LACRALO. I don't know if I'm being clear. So this working group may not influence on what the region thinks because otherwise, we will be limited to what Internauta or the ISOC thinks about the region.

So a user ALS is going to be the same as another ALS, but we set some things. For example, what is the weight of an ALS? Is it going to be the same as an Argentinian ALS with 11 members, or is it going to be the same as a Mexican ALS with only one member? So this is the weight of the vote that we have to take into account.

LAURA MARGOLIS:

Well, voting was something that was widely discussed. And sorry for interrupting you, Sergio. Let's say that we have the individual users ALS

with more than 50 members. We don't know the exact amount of members. So let's think that one person would have 1/50th part of the vote. And that is something that is a mistake, I think, but I don't think we have [inaudible] on that. And this is that ALSes do not go beyond or they do not convey all the messages to their members.

So at the end of the day, the representative is the only one participating. The other members do not learn about the things going on and they don't have influence.

So with that, what happens with a member of an ALS that is not a chair or the main representative in LACRALO willing to participate but who is not able to participate? So there, we should take into account the ALS participant or member with no formal position in the ALS, and I believe this was part of the discussion that took place.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: I raised my hand. If I may.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Vanda, I didn't see you. Please go ahead. I'm going to finish with my intervention and I'll give you the floor. I want you to be very clear on the fact that this group is not going to have more power than the region. We need to understand that. If we do so, we will be able to discuss everything. But what we need to be very clear about is that what we're discussing now goes against the regional rules of procedure. Therefore, we cannot take that into account.

For example, there is no organized power or structure for individual users. Alan Greenberg has his own agenda, and he was the ALAC chair. [For me,] this is a mistake. It is just the other way around. But if there is only a single man, only one man in the middle of the winter, alone in his house, is defining the things that should be discussed with many other colleagues in the organization, well, that is an issue. And then he ends up talking alone with no basis on reality. I'm going to give the floor to Vanda.

VANDA SCARTEZINI:

I would like to remind you all that when we started working on the bylaws and the rules of procedure, there was a concern or a doubt, and this was if an ALS with individual members would be a solution. But taking into account our organization and the subregions, we will have to take into account the subregions the candidates belong to, because if we are going to restrict or limit the possibilities for these members to be candidates to positions, they cannot be treated differently from an ALS as a whole.

But since we have the issue of the subregions, what are we going to do? Candidates of this group should be or should belong to the region or a certain region for example. It doesn't matter—let's say that we have Laura, we have—let me say—Alejandro, you name it. But the position has to be covered by the Andean region. And Laura and Alejandro are not from the Andean region, so therefore they cannot be candidates.

So these are questions that we have to address deeply before deciding whether it is possible or not, having an ALS as a solution. These topics

have to be addressed in order to avoid future problems, and everything has to be defined and analyzed, or the conditions that we need to set forth.

I believe these are the topics that we should discuss for the case of LACRALO and individual users in LACRALO. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you, Vanda. I believe that there is something on the rules of procedure. I believe that we should go back and read that information, because I believe that with David Plum, we move forward in that sense, overlapping the region and the candidate of the ALS. But I'm not sure about that. so we will have to reread the information.

Carlos, please go ahead.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: I think Harold would like to take the floor.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Sorry, is it my turn?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: No, it's Harold's turn.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Yes, please, Harold, go ahead.

HAROLD ARCOS:

Hello everyone. Thank you, Sergio. Two things. First of all, rules of procedure. This was already mentioned by Sergio, and this is a key point for us in LACRALO. We have to review these, because otherwise, we will be infringing our rules. And in the chat, I posted a summary that was provided by David Mackey, and I would like to ask Laura if this is a good summary or a correct summary of what took place during those three days, because it was discussed in one of the calls that I was not able to participate, so as to have an overview of the discussion and to be able to discuss this with the region later on. So my question is if this summary is okay.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ:

I did not read this summary, but this [inaudible] is quite complicated. Two things, to reply to Vanda's comment and to give a framework to this discussion. To give a framework to this discussion, I suggest two points of differentiation. The first one is that this ALS is not going to come from one country or from a certain country. The control of this ALS is going to be exercised by the RALO. And this is a basic difference, because the ALSes are created in different countries, they have their own representatives, they have their own Boards, and we have the ISOC chapters disappearing. For example, the Mexican ISOC chapter changed their leadership. And I'm going to be very honest, Alejandro and myself would like to get out of our ALSes. We want to get out of the ISOC system.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: You're very valuable people to become individual users.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Yes, but we do not want to be representing the ISOC. I am one of the ones drafting the letter to the ISOC Board demanding transparency and changes, and now there is an ISOC Board member approving the PIR sale. So we are getting crazy. And I wrote a letter to the ISOC chair and I asked him if he was crazy, and he replied to me that I was crazy and that I could go to hell. Those were his words. So I'm going to go to hell because of that.

But there is a sort of urgency to have another channel. That is the first item or the first point. This ALS group will not have the same power because this goes at the RALO level. So first of all, I suggest that this will depend on the RALO.

The second item that I would like to mention, Vanda, and this is very important, is that we have [opposition against working on policies.] What we want to have is people working on the working groups, not people to replace the RALO leadership or people replacing the RALO members. You can create many restrictions, but since this is not an ALS in the whole sense, this would be a sort of advisor for the RALO leaders, and the RALO leaders would say we need people to go to the PDP, to the SubPro working group, we need people to connect and to participate in the different ICANN activities.

And this can be defined as such. We are not going to work on planning or things like that. So our RALO would be strengthened, if you will, because whenever we say there are two seats for the next PDP and two

alternate positions, then the Latin American RALO, that is a little bit low when it comes to representation, would say, “You from an individual ALS are going to be the alternate and you're going to be a liaison with the GAC. You're going to be—for example—a liaison with the ALAC chair,” etc.

So this will then turn into a benefit for the RALO leadership. Having this full of people willing to participate who are there for the only purpose of the RALO, and these are the purposes defined by ALAC. And we're not going to discuss cybersecurity in Panama or privacy in Guyana. You are here because for everything that ALAC is stating, representing end users and representing ICANN outside [inaudible]. And we have to set that in stone, and I'm going to [inaudible] that.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Carlos, Laura, and all participants, I would like to remind you about a very good intervention in the rules of procedure. And I believe Silvia posted that information. So please read that material, because many of the things that we are discussing in this group have already been solved by us. For example, an individual user is the one that does not belong to any ALS. That is already set forth. So he cannot belong to both organizations. And I believe that you have to be our own voice in this, because otherwise, the region is going to be in a conflict with its own rules.

So let's take this into account. And even how they participate, but what we should do now—and according to what Vanda said before—we need to move forward in terms of defining the format. Silvia Vivanco, I'm

going to ask you a favor, perhaps Claudia. Laura [inaudible] realized that our individual users are considered observers, but they are no longer observers. Since the approval of our rules of procedure, they are now members.

They're individual users, and what we need to discuss from now to March is if we're going to agree the process to create these ALS. And this has to be in compliance with our rules of procedure regardless of what we do in the working group. We have meetings in our working group. We do have meetings with Internet user organizations. I think ISOC does also the same, and individual users are also meeting because they exist only for ICANN. So within that framework, we need to understand that our organizations cannot impact or have an impact on the region.

The issues that we may have regarding participation have to be discussed inside the organization. In this case, when it comes to individual users, this is in the organization led by Roberto Gaetano.

I propose—if you agree—that we start working on this as soon as possible. we have already discussed about creating a small group.

VANDA SCARTEZINI:

We had a meeting, Alex, Laura and myself, regarding these groups.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

If you agree, perhaps together, after the holiday season during our summer, we could work together and formalize what we have. We have some things, but we have to adjust it to have the logic of the region in

operation. So I kindly ask you to set up a meeting, if you agree, for the first fortnight of the month of January, one hour, 90 minutes. We would work in Spanish. If we have anyone for English and Spanish, we should set up a Zoom, but in principle, we could work in Skype, the four, the five of us. If there's some opposition, we will discuss the rest of the agenda. We have one hour, right?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes. We have one hour, so we have still ten minutes left. Before that, Sergio, let me ask you a question about the nomenclature of individual users and observers. Let me explain that the reason why they were noted as observers is because when they register for LACRALO's list and want to join the LACRALO list, at that time there were no rules and there was no process for the screening.

So the staff, none of the staff members have evaluated the requirements these people should meet. So we understood that as soon as the virtual ALS is set up and it has been checked that they meet the requirements of Article 3, they can legally be designated as individual users. Because until then, there has been no screening, no evaluation by anyone. And here, I'm reading, it says permanent residence, etc.

so my question is if the names are changed, they are now called individual users, what happens if they are evaluated and they are not meeting the requirements? I am a lawyer, but I'm not going to give the opinion I have. I see Humberto Carrasco is here with us. He's a lawyer himself. Because if we change the name to be made clear to you that there has been no evaluation made by the staff regarding Article 3.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: Sorry to interrupt. I see no need to change.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: I see no need either.,

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: I think we should draw a letter explaining to Roberto's group, "Listen, we already have them, but we call them observers." The question we should make, taking Silvia's words, recapping what she said, is whether we organize them as an entity or not. But I wouldn't change the name. We already have them, we already discussed and recognized who they are. We could perhaps be a little bit more specific and give them more flexibility so that they can take part in both internal and external ICANN activities and we move forward. So it would be great, Sergio, for us to work in the next few weeks.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Great.

HAROLD ARCOS: Sorry to interrupt, Sergio. I just wanted to say that the word "observer" is used generically both in members of ALS who have requested joining the ALS list to participate. Perhaps you remember that this happened with the people from the school of governance who you contacted and they joined as observers. This is an ALS that applied. It hasn't yet

completed the official accreditation process, but they participate. Actually, they have been in LACRALO monthly meetings. And they are participating both as individual observers and as an ALS undergoing the process of accreditation. Until the whole process is completed, the status will not change. And that is actually the word we use in the welcome e-mail from the secretariat explaining that they will have the status of observer during the application evaluation process, and they are explained as well that they are entitled to participate. Actually, that's what we hope, that they join the LACRALO meetings and until the process is completed, they will not have voting rights. So that's how the word "observer" is used in LACRALO during this waiting time.

VANDA SCARTEZINI:

I think we should leave it as it is. I don't think there is a problem here in keeping the term "observers" because we are close to resolving this issue.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

So if I may suggest, we should continue with this. I will call Silvia tomorrow to continue discussing what are the staff suggestions to move forward. So now, if you agree, I'd like to move forward because we do not have much time. At least we should discuss them briefly.

So let's close the individual members item of the agenda and move to metrics. We will have our GA in March, and March was the month when the secretariat was presumably submitting the status of the organizations participating in LACRALO. So together with that, we have

to include the individual users. So we have to work very quickly with Metrics.

I don't know if you were able to meet, but if you were not, I'm open to work with you. So what is the current status on this? You haven't met, have you? Humberto, I see you're there.

HUMBERTO CARRASCO: Here I am, my friend. Sorry, I have an announcement. In addition to being the vice chair of ISOC, I've just been appointed the dean of legal science of the north catholic university. I was in a meeting. Thank you for your congratulations. I really don't know what I'm getting into. I just left LACRALO.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Well, we will be [inaudible] and if we greet you anymore or not. We'll see. Another issue to discuss—and Humberto, I will call you tomorrow. Please let me know over the chat at what time we can discuss the metrics. And then we have the emeritus council. I know Silvia has been working with Vanda, and they have developed their proposal. Some of us said we should actually listen to what it is about first. And other colleagues actually explicitly expressed their support.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: That's what we expected.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. They were there [inaudible]. I think it 's a good opportunity. I think this document should be sent to the region for approval. It's a good opportunity to work with the new ones, including individual users. I think it's a very good opportunity for that.

The question is, which leaders, which experienced people—if we're going to find anyone. There are some who have left LACRALO who are not participating in LACRALO for some time. So we have to check on that to see how we accompany the process and how we are going to fill in these positions.

Actually, the question is whether we will have sufficient people—

VANDA SCARTEZINI: To maintain the group operational.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, that's my concern.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes. Both Silvia and myself are concerned. The reason why we made the proposal was perhaps give up or abandon this group, not having it, because people are too busy, and there may be no candidates for this position. But eventually, some people found reasons to keep the group. So we might have a final vote and decide. Because doing things that will not continue is just a waste of time. I'd rather see everyone engaged in metrics and produce very good metrics than devote time just how to

mentor someone who doesn't need it. I don't think it's good. But I think we have to vote.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Vote internally, you say?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes, vote. We have to vote and say, do you want, do you not want?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: You mean the governance working group, before sending it out?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes. Within governance, because we can check it out with a formal approval or non-approval.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. There is something I wanted to discuss with you, Silvia, or Claudia. We should have a meeting on January 11th at 23:00 UTC for individual users. [Only] individual users. Do you agree on that time and date? Carlos, Vanda, Laura?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes. The 11th is a Monday.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, at 23:00 UTC. I think we can work on that with no problem. Great metrics, I will work with Humberto, with the Emeritus council. We will provide an explanation. Vanda, I would suggest we should write down an explanation on the reason why it's not necessary, but we would submit this to a vote.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Okay. I will talk to Silvia and we will deliver it.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Sergio, I have a question. The call on the 11th is for the governance working group or an ad hoc call for this topic?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Just for this one topic. This entire group will be working on individual users.

SILVIA VIVANCO: If you're so kind to send us a list of participants, the only ones you want to invite. Or should we send it to the entire governance working group list?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: I think it should be a [little thing among them.]

SILVIA VIVANCO: So please send a list.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: I think it should be informal.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: We already have a group and I always copy Harold and Silvia, so we can add anyone you'd like me to add.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes. And then we will send this call for an informal meeting. So I think this is all for today. I don't know if anyone else wants to talk about ICANN 70.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes. Today, we had a group meeting. Lilian is, or could be, the leader for this group because she's already in ICANN's group. I was there in part because there was the second session of NomCom, so I joined the call once the NomCom was over.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Vanda, I have to chair this meeting. I couldn't be today because of a family issue with my mom. But I will be there as of next time. And that's why I was mentioning this, because Lilian has a leading role here because she is the chair of the communications group. So we were talking today with Lilian, but let me remind you that all of us will be commenting on this.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes. We are all responsible.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, exactly. It's not only me or Lilian. We have a lot of work to do. I take this opportunity that this is a small group. We have many things to do before Mexico, and in Mexico, we will have [dual comment.] We will have the virtual general assembly and in parallel, the ICANN meeting for which we should guarantee as much Latino presence as possible in all meetings. So we have to work in coordination and at the same time, we have to guarantee the ALSes will be there. the ALSes that will not be there are the ones that are never there.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: I can already say to everyone that we will have a meeting. I have requested a slot for Friday after the meeting for the DNS abuse. This is just ... We will provide details, but just want to make a note that we have requested this slot.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. Very well. This is the end of our meeting. Carlos, I see your hand up. Is it an old hand?

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yes, because you didn't give him the floor before.

CARLOS RAÚL GUTIÉRREZ: No, it's an old hand. Sorry for that. It should turn green after five minutes.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: I would like to thank our interpreters working with us during this meeting, to our colleagues in staff, and let's please move forward with all this work. So, thanks for joining. Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]