


Q1 Kindly specify your role. I am ...


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a ccPDP3-ret WG member	66.67%	10
a ccPDP3-ret WG observer	0.00%	0
a ccPDP-ret WG participant	20.00%	3
ICANN org staff	6.67%	1
a ccPDP3-ret WG expert	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

Q2 Please select your timezone range


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Between UTC-12 and UTC-8	6.67% 1
Between UTC-8 and UTC-4	0.00% 0
Between UTC-4 and UTC+0	26.67% 4
Between UTC+0 and UTC+4	60.00% 9
Between UTC+4 and UTC+8	6.67% 1
Between UTC+8 and UTC+12	0.00% 0
TOTAL	15

Q3 How often did you participate in the ccPDP3-ret conference calls over the past year?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequently	60.00%	9
frequently	20.00%	3
occasionally	6.67%	1
rarely	6.67%	1
very rarely	0.00%	0
never	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

Q4 In your view, how often did others attend ccPDP3-ret WG conference calls over the past year?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequently	6.67%	1
frequently	86.67%	13
occasionally	6.67%	1
rarely	0.00%	0
very rarely	0.00%	0
never	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

Q5 Over the past year, how often did you attend ccPDP3-ret WG conference calls, that were scheduled during your (late) evening, or your (early) morning?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequently	21.43%	3
frequently	42.86%	6
occasionally	14.29%	2
rarely	7.14%	1
very rarely	7.14%	1
never	7.14%	1
TOTAL		14

Q6 If we schedule meetings on a rotational basis, what is your preferred rotation schedule?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
rotate every 12 hours	6.67% 1
rotate every 8 hours	53.33% 8
rotate every 6 hours	40.00% 6
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
TOTAL	15

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q7 How do you rate your level of participation in the discussions, during the ccPDP3-ret WG meetings held over the past year?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequent	13.33%	2
frequent	40.00%	6
occasional	26.67%	4
rare	6.67%	1
very rare	6.67%	1
never	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

Q8 How do you rate the level of participation by others in the discussions, during the ccPDP3-ret WG meetings held over the past year?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequent	0.00%	0
frequent	64.29%	9
occasional	21.43%	3
rare	0.00%	0
very rare	7.14%	1
never	7.14%	1
TOTAL		14

Q9 I found participating in the discussions

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very easy	33.33%	5
Easy	46.67%	7
Neither easy nor difficult	20.00%	3
Difficult	0.00%	0
Very difficult	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15


Q10 Do you have any suggestions on how to improve the participation in the discussions?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Not at the moment	4/9/2020 10:46 PM
2	members and participants sent by AC/SOs shall in my view participate . others will mostly , like in my case in the last year how involved one are with ICANN other calls/ meetings	4/8/2020 8:17 PM
3	Other than adopting a socratic method and calling upon people, I don't have any magic solution.	4/8/2020 8:14 PM
4	needs more on-list work; slow down speed while going thru draft text; keep the line numbering!;	4/8/2020 8:13 PM
5	Having webinars in between the sessions to explain the issues at stake	4/8/2020 8:08 PM
6	It would be very helpful to have a platform where documents can be shared more easily among the group to gather input. For example, use of google docs. It's very hard to locate most recent materials, comment on them and see that your comment is addressed during dicussions.	4/8/2020 4:52 PM
7	No	4/8/2020 11:27 AM
8	NO	4/7/2020 2:01 PM
9	Just one comment, given time commitments for the day jobs we all have if we do need to review updated drafts and redlines etc, especially where some care and thought is needed (and also bearing in mind many members of the WG are not native English speakers) it is really important to have documents circulated well in advance of meetings and potentially also attached to the meeting invite so that they can be found easily amongst all the email in everyone's inboxes. Some meetings were effectively wasted because nobody had really had the time to read the docs and prepare.	4/6/2020 1:51 PM
10	1) conduct surveys on various issues 2) more intensive use of the mailing list 3) time to time conduct webinars/presentation (for the group members) on policy development process on the whole, status of the current document, what is already done by the group, what are we working on now, how it will be implemented in ICANN's documents and how it will be put into practice. Policy development is quite a long process and it's useful to take a broader view of the subject.	4/6/2020 1:43 PM

Q11 How often did you attend in-person ccPDP3-ret meetings, held at the occasion of ICANN public meetings?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very frequently	46.67%	7
frequently	26.67%	4
occasionally	6.67%	1
rarely	0.00%	0
very rarely	0.00%	0
never	20.00%	3
TOTAL		15

Q12 When having face-to-face WG meetings, what is their ideal duration?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
1 session, 90 minutes	14.29% 2
1 session, 180 minutes	21.43% 3
2 sessions, each of them with a 90 min duration	50.00% 7
2 sessions, the first one 180 minutes, the second one 90 minutes	14.29% 2
2 sessions, each of them 180 minutes	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
TOTAL	14

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q13 I found participating in the discussions at face-to-face meetings


Answered: 14 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very easy	42.86%	6
Easy	28.57%	4
Neither easy nor difficult	28.57%	4
Difficult	0.00%	0
Very difficult	0.00%	0
TOTAL		14

Q14 Documents were circulated in time, ahead of the next WG meeting


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Always	73.33%	11
Sometimes	26.67%	4
Never	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

Q15 I prefer documents in the following format

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
word	13.33% 2
pdf	60.00% 9
google	20.00% 3
Other (please specify)	6.67% 1
TOTAL	15

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	all above , no matter - the best for the majority of members	4/8/2020 8:17 PM

Q16 Assuming documents are circulated in a timely manner, I will read them and provide feedback


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Always	20.00%	3
Usually	40.00%	6
Sometimes	33.33%	5
Rarely	6.67%	1
Never	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

Q17 How much time do you spend on average in preparing for a conference call?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
no preparation at all	6.67%	1
around 1 to 2 hours	86.67%	13
around 3 to 4 hours	0.00%	0
more than 4 hours	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

Q18 The working method of the ccPDP3-ret WG was as follows: focus on a new topic during the face-to-face meetings, refine the discussion during the meetings after that and then produce text. This working method worked well. (please select)

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
strongly agree	33.33% 5
agree	40.00% 6
undecided	26.67% 4
disagree	0.00% 0
strongly disagree	0.00% 0
TOTAL	15

#	IF ANY, KINDLY INCLUDE SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO IMPROVE THE WORKING METHOD	DATE
1	if we have a writer expert helping with our group can also work some previous draft with mains points to direct the discussion	4/8/2020 8:17 PM
2	I believe that more progress could be made were Bart and staff to prepare one or more 'straw men' proposals for discussion by the WG. It is always better to see how the pieces would fit together. Strawman proposals would of course be position just at that - specific working examples designed to facilitate discussion and draw out the varying points of view.to stimulate	4/8/2020 7:35 PM

Q19 I consult the high-level notes, circulated after every meeting,


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
often	60.00% 9
sometimes	26.67% 4
hardly ever	13.33% 2
TOTAL	15

Q20 I consult the meeting recordings


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
often	13.33% 2
sometimes	40.00% 6
hardly ever	46.67% 7
TOTAL	15

Q21 I consult the ccPDP3-ret working space (wiki)


Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
often	20.00% 3
sometimes	60.00% 9
hardly ever	20.00% 3
TOTAL	15

Q22 I consult the ccPDP3-ret WG meeting transcripts


Answered: 14 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
often	14.29%	2
sometimes	50.00%	7
hardly ever	35.71%	5
TOTAL		14

Q23 Zoom offers some functionalities that the ccPDP3-WG hardly used. For future Working Groups, I recommend using the following functionalities:

Answered: 14 Skipped: 1



	YES	NO	DO NOT KNOW	TOTAL RESPONDENTS
polling	78.57% 11	0.00% 0	21.43% 3	14
break-out rooms	38.46% 5	46.15% 6	15.38% 2	13
whiteboarding	42.86% 6	0.00% 0	57.14% 8	14
video conferencing	50.00% 7	21.43% 3	28.57% 4	14

Q24 Any other comments?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 9

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Have a look at Perusall (perusall.com). It allows for socially reading and annotating documents. It is designed for having students come better prepared to a class, but it could work for WG members before meetings as well. It is free if you use it with your own PDFs.	4/10/2020 1:05 AM
2	It is obvious that with f2f meetings gone for a while, adequate replacement should be found. I would even propose 2 meetings with video per month, with more active email participation DEMANDED.	4/9/2020 10:46 PM
3	zoom work well but due the not equal internet access facilities around the world always better not to have videos open to save bandwidth	4/8/2020 8:17 PM
4	judging "others" is difficult, given that there are heterogeneous participation patterns	4/8/2020 8:13 PM
5	no	4/7/2020 2:01 PM
6	Thanks to the Chair for conducting the meetings. And my special thanks to Joke and Kimberly for excellent preparation and summaries	4/6/2020 1:43 PM