

Zoom Chat Transcript
SSR2 Plenary #126 – 29 October 2020

09:03:09 From Brenda Brewer : Good day! Welcome to SSR2 Plenary #126 29 October 2020 @ 14:00 UTC. This meeting is recorded. Kindly mute your audio when not speaking. Please state your name for the record before speaking. Thank you.

Please observe the ICANN EXPECTED STANDARDS OF BEHAVIOR found here:

<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

and the ICANN COMMUNITY ANTI-HARASSMENT POLICY found here:

<https://www.icann.org/news/blog/community-anti-harassment-policy>.

09:03:52 From Alain Patrick AINA : nothing changes for me

09:04:25 From Brenda Brewer : will do! Thank you! Next meeting 5 November @ 15:00 UTC. Invites to follow.

09:08:57 From Danko Jevtović : Can we have the link to the document here, please?

09:09:33 From Steve Conte : @Danko:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1PdjkR6i_FdXuFTomxptVpLVVtORRTgrrnVQ-vSpmGGQ/edit#

09:11:07 From Denise : Link also was previously sent to SSR2 email list

09:13:04 From Danko Jevtović : thanks

09:31:01 From Dennis Tan (observer) : Re:DNS abuse portal. By my reading of the proposed text it seems to be characterized as a repository of abuse claims made by end users. The portal will be managed and maintain by ICANN, and presumably, the abuse claims will have some detail such that ICANN will be able to direct the abuse claim to a party e.g. registrar / registry. The text also proposes that registries will be required to use the portal. But other than presumably receiving the abuse claims (fwd'ed by ICANN), what other use does this team suggest registries will be required to? The text is not clear, or actionable enough. IMO.

09:31:42 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Danko, I think some comments in the doc (or via email) would really be helpful here.

09:32:22 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Dennis, I see your point; the language works for the team who has discussed this but might be hard to understand for others. Will make a note.

09:33:15 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Can I quote your chat in the doc?

09:35:50 From Dennis Tan (observer) : re: Updating ICANN IDN guidelines. What is the rationale to specifically call out IDNs in areas that can be applied more broadly. The IDN guidelines is meant as a lightweight document that addresses specific items related to IDN deployment (e.g. script mixing, developing IDN tables, conformance to IETF standards, etc.)

09:36:32 From Dennis Tan (observer) : @Laurin, yes, you can quote me on the doc. Thanks.

09:39:10 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Dennis, re the second one. Our point was that what we talk about as issues in IDNs applies generally. I think some text got dropped here.

09:40:50 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Dennis, are you referring to page 19 top?

09:41:05 From Dennis Tan (observer) : @Laurin, yes.

09:41:58 From Laurin Benedikt Weissinger : Okay, I will add it there, the recommendation was dropped — and you can see I commented questioning the list.

09:44:59 From Dennis Tan (observer) : @Laurin, fair enough. I can't see the live editing or comment boxes on my end (I do see the edits being made in the Zoom screen though). It must a document rights thing. Thank you.

09:46:26 From jabhera@mozillafoundation.org : The sub-group has done wonderful work just need few issues to make it perfect and be there for inclusion in the final report

09:58:55 From Denise : Comments on this doc for the Abuse Subgroup by Wed 1:00 am UTC please

09:59:09 From Ram Krishna Pariyar : Thank you