At-Large Areas of Concern

The full list of SubPro areas or topics, with corresponding concern levels to At-Large, is as follows:

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas				
	CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES					
High	0-1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	 CCT-RT Rec. #14, #15, #16 Contractual Compliance Base Registry Agreement 				
High	O-2. CCT Recommendations Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's remit contained in the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust Review Final Report of 8 September 2018	 #9 - Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] #12, #25 - PICs & RVCs #14, #15, #16 - DNS Abuse #29, #30, #31, #32 - Applicant Support [2.5.4] #33 - GAC Consensus Advice [2.8.1] #34 - Community Applications [2.9.1] #35 - String Similarity Evaluations [2.7.4] 				
	OVERARCHING ISSUES					
High	 Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] - Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program → Settled Scorecard 	Metrics & Monitoring [2.2.7]				
Medium	2. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	 Predictability Framework [NEW] Standing Predictability Implementation Review Team (SPIRT) 				
Medium	3. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] → Draft Scorecard	 Different TLD Types [2.2.4] Feedback to Neustar's proposal for a 3-phased application model 				
Medium	4. Different Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	 Community Applications [2.9.1] Feedback to Neustar's proposal for a 3-phased application model Priority for application types 				
Low	5. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]					
No opinion	6. RSP Pre-Evaluation (Accreditation Programs) [2.2.6]					
High	7. Metrics and Monitoring					
High	8. Conflicts of Interest → Settled Scorecard					
	FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES					
High	9. Registry commitments / Public Interest Commitments & Other Safeguards [Global Public Interest, 2.3.2]	Mandatory PICs				

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas				
		Voluntary RVCs/PICs – Systems [2.4.3]				
		GAC Advice – Verified TLDs				
No opinion	10. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]					
High	11. Universal Acceptance (UA) [2.3.4] → Settled Scorecard	• Systems [2.4.3]				
	PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES					
Medium	12. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1] → Draft Scorecard	Translations, timing of release vs program communication/outreach				
Medium	13. Communications [2.4.2] → Draft Scorecard	Outreach to Middle/Global South candidates – Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]				
Medium	14. Systems [2.4.3] → Draft Scorecard	Implementation of PICs submission – Global Public Interest [2.3.2]				
	APPLICATION SUBMISSION					
Low	15. Application Fees [2.5.1] & Variable Fees	Cost Recovery Principle				
	[2.5.2] → Draft Scorecard	Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]				
No opinion	16. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]					
High	17. Applicant Support Program (ASP) [2.5.4] → Revised Settled Scorecard	 Funding source Outreach – Communication [2.4.2] Criteria – Metrics Accreditation Programs [2.2.6] Application Fees [2.5.1] Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2] 				
No opinion	18. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]	Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]Name Collisions [2.7.8]				
	APPLICATION PROCESSING					
Medium	19. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	• IDNs [2.7.5]				
Medium	20. Application Change Requests [S2.4] → Revised Settled Scorecard	 Role of Application Comment [S2.3] Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) [2.3.2] Private Resolution of Contention Sets [S2.2] 				
	APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA					
High	21. Reserved Names [2.7.1] → Settled Scorecard	(ISO 4217 Currency Codes)				
High	21.1 Geographic Names at the Top Level [WT5, 2.7.1.1] → Draft Scorecard based on Indicative Input	 Definition of geographic names, geographic indicators etc Geographic Names Panel Preventive vs. Curative protections Translations 				

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
		Non-AGB Terms
Medium	22. Registrant Protections [2.7.2] → Draft Scorecard	EBERO, COIApplicant background screening
High	23. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	Generic terms as TLDs
High	24. String Similarity Evaluations [2.7.4] → Settled Scorecard	 String Similarity Review String Confusion Objection (under Objection [2.8.1] Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]
High	25. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5] → Settled Scorecard	 IDN Variant TLD Implementation RZ-LGRs Risk of DNS Abuse, end-user confusion
High	26. Security and Stability [2.7.6] → Settled Scorecard	 Delegation Rates Banning of emojis as TLDs DNS Abuse mitigation Algorithmic checking - Systems [2.4.3]
Low	27. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	
Medium	28. Role of Application Comment [S2.3] → Settled Scorecard	 Community Applications [2.9.1] Systems [2.4.3] Application Change Request [S2.4]
High	29. Name Collisions [2.7.8] → Settled Scorecard	NCAP Study 1 (~ Studies 2 and 3)
	DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	
Medium	30. GAC Consensus Advice and GAC Early Warning [2.8.1] → Draft Scorecard	• CCT-RT #33
High	31. Objections [2.8.1] → Draft Scorecard	Community ObjectionsPublic Interest ObjectionsIndependent Objector
High	32. Limited Challenge/Appeal Mechanism (formerly Accountability Mechanism) [2.8.2]	 Challenge/Appeals Framework [NEW] Against evaluation, determinations, objections
High	33. Dispute Resolution Procedures After Delegation	RVCs and PICDRP
	STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	
High	34. Community Applications [2.9.1] → Draft Scorecard → At-Large Interventions on CBA and CPE → Revised CPE Guidelines – A Proposal by At-Large	 Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Community Objections distinct from CPE – Objections [2.8.1] Challenge against evaluation [2.8.2]

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
High	35. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]	 String Contention Mechanism of Last Resort [NEW] ➢ Private resolution ➢ Sealed bid auction
	CONTRACTING	
High	36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	DNS Abuse mitigation
No opinion	37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]	
No opinion	38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]	
	PRE-DELEGATION	
No opinion	39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]	
	POST-DELEGATION	
Medium	40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	"Squatting" or "warehousing" defined
High	41. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	DNS Abuse mitigation

Status of Scorecard

This Scorecard is updated from time to time, as and when new information becomes available.

AT-LARGE SCORECARD VERSION TRACKING

Legend: +SubPro_Draft_Rec Pending Up for Re-review Positioning	Settled	H	ligh Priority	Medium Pric	rity Low F	Priority 1	No Opinion	
SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS								
CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	v7	v8
0-1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	17 Mar							
0-2. CCT Recommendations	Pending							
OVERARCHING ISSUES	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6		
1. Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] - Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program	17 Feb	04 Mar	8 Jul					
2. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	17 Feb							
3. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	-	19 Feb	04 Mar	06 Mar	14 Sep			
4. Different Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	17 Feb	04 Mar	06 Mar	(13 Sep)				
5. Application Submission Limits [2.2.5]	(14 Sep)							
6. RSP Pre-Evaluation [2.2.6]								
7. Metrics & Monitoring [2.7.2]	Pending							
8. Conflicts of Interest	03 Sep							
FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6		
9. Registry commitments / Public Interest Commitments & Other Safeguards Global Public Interest [2.3.2]		-	4 Feb	Pending				
10. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]								
11. Universal Acceptance (UA) [2.3.4]	21 Jan	27 Jan	16 Feb	27 May				
PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES	v1							
12. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	7 Sep							
13. Communications [2.4.2]	7 Sep							
14. Systems [2.4.3]	7 Sep							
APPLICATION SUBMISSION	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	v7	
15. Application Fees [2.5.1] and Variable Fees [2.5.2]	-	-	13 Sep					
16. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]								
17. Applicant Support Program (ASP) [2.5.4]	-	01 Jan	13 Jan	16 Jan	27 Jan	22 Apr	5 May	13 Sep
18. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]								
APPLICATION PROCESSING		v2	v3	v4	v5			
19. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	(11 Sep)							

SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS							
20. Application Change Requests [S2.4]	7 May	15 Sep					
APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA		v2	v3	v4	v5		
21. Reserved Names [2.7.1]	11 Feb	8 Jun					
21.1 Geographic Names at the Top Level [WT5, 2.7.1.1]	-	15 Sep					
22. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	11 Feb	15 Sep					
23. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	11 Feb	-	23 Feb	17 Mar			
24. String Similarity Evaluations [2.7.4]	11 Feb	7 May					
25. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5]	11 Feb	16 Feb	06 Mar	28 May			
26. Security and Stability [2.7.6]	11 Feb	28 May					
27. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	(16 Sep)						
28. Role of Application Comment [S2.3]	7 May						
29. Name Collisions [2.7.8]	28 May						
DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
30. GAC Advice & GAC Early Warning [2.8.1]	14 Sep						
31. Objections [2.8.1]	14 Sep						
32. Limited Challenge/Appeal Mechanism - Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]	(16 Sep)						
33. Dispute Resolution Procedures After Delegation	(16 Sep)						
STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
34. Community Applications [2.9.1]	-	02 Mar	04 Mar	10 Jun	22 Sep		
35. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]	Pending						
CONTRACTING	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	(17 Sep)						
37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]							
38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]							
PRE-DELEGATION							
39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]							
POST-DELEGATION	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	(16 Sep)						
41. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	(16 Sep)						