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DNS Abuse Framework at 3.

## DNS Abuse Framework

When should a registrar or registry act on website content abuse?

Specifically, even without a court order, we believe a registry or registrar should act to disrupt the following forms of website content abuse:
(1) child sexual abuse materials ("CSAM");
(2) illegal distribution of opioids online;
(3) human trafficking; and
(4) specific and credible incitements to violence.
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- How can we still guarantee fundamental rights e. g. freedom of speech, if we agree on such DNS remedies?
- How can we tackle the problem of end-user DNS abuse realistically but still having safeguards implemented that prevent potential misuse and censorship by decision-makers?
- Should there be a supervisory board /body?
- What should the supervisory board /body look like that reviews an initial decision?
- Who will concretely decide at a registry or registrar level, and on what capacity?
- How will the decision-makers gain the respective skillset if we agree on such DNS remedies?

