
MICHELLE DESMYTER: Welcome, everyone. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening and welcome to the RALO monthly call on Tuesday the 22nd of September 2020.

On today's call, we have Sébastien Bachollet, Bastiaan Goslings, Glenn McKnight, Joan Francesco, Joanna Kulesza, León Sanchez, Mate Mester, Mikhail Anisimov, Ricardo Holmquist, Roberto Gaetano, Steinar Grotterod, Wale Bakare, and Yrjö Lansipuro.

We have received apologies from Oksana Prykhodko. From staff, we will have Heidi Ullrich and Gisella Gruber shortly as they're still on the ALAC monthly call, and myself, Michelle DeSmyter on call management. As a friendly reminder, if you would please keep your lines muted when not speaking to prevent any background noise.

With this, I will turn the meeting over to Sébastien Bachollet. Please begin.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Hello. Thank you very much, and welcome to all the participants. I hope that Natalia will join quickly, and Olivier Crépin-Leblond also. We need to be short, as I said before the meeting started, as there is another meeting in one hour, therefore we will try to do it as quickly as possible. And I know that there were other topics that we wanted to talk about, but we have to choose which one we can do in one hour as we have to be ready for ICANN 69 and we have to be ready for the next general assembly in November, that's in a little less than one month.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

You all get the agenda. I will not read it, because I think we will lose time. But if you have any other topics, we will add them at the end if time permits, and I hope that we will be able to do some part shorter than it was written or it is written here.

And I would like to thank some people from outside of Europe who are participating. I see that there is Glenn, and thank you, León, the one we select to be a board member and now is the vice chair of the board. Thank you for coming. We didn't put some time specific for you, León, therefore, I will wish, if you want to speak, just take the floor and do it even if it's not in one specific time.

With that, yes, please look at what Glenn is putting in the chat. It's an important topic and the time is so short that I didn't add it specifically as an item.

Let's go to the next item, which is the next ICANN meeting. Why it's important is because we have time scheduled during the ICANN meeting, two sessions, and those sessions must be finalized or is in a way to be finalized, and it will be discussed in one hour at the prep meeting for the ICANN.

What I will do, if I am able to do it like that, is to go through to share my screen and to show you. Here it is. I give you a short brief on where we are with the two sessions on the ICANN 69 meeting with two sessions about European perspective on ICANN and Internet governance, stakeholder roundtable hosted by EURALO. It'll be the 15th of October.

There'll be two parts. The first one will be more focused on internal topic and the second one on more Internet governance and ICANN

topics. As you can see, we have invited eight or nine people to talk. It's a little bit too much, but we want to be, as much as possible, multi-stakeholder. We have received a lot of confirmation and still waiting for some final confirmation in the first item. The green are confirmed and yellow are still to be finalized. They say yes but they have to look after their schedule. But I guess they will say yes.

The second part, more on Internet governance, we are waiting just for the answer of the European Commission. It was a last-moment addition, and we are working with GSE and Adam Peake more particularly on building that with the ICANN At-Large staff. That's where we are, and if there are any questions or suggestions, I will stop to share my screen, and Claudia, you can take the lead again on the screen. Thank you. Is there any question?

I know, Gisella, that you were supposed to be in two places at the same time. Now that you are with us—and thank you—can you give a very short image of the main items that will be discussed during ICANN 69 in addition to the EURALO session I already talked about, please?

GISELLA GRUBER:

Absolutely. And again, apologies for [inaudible]. During ICANN [inaudible] on the ALAC call for those who left before—and absolutely rightly so—to join this session, going to put [inaudible] in the Zoom room. I'll put the links in the chat. I'll make sure you receive these links by e-mail, because it's also very important to please bookmark these pages.

But [inaudible] we have prep week starting on the 5th of October, and in order to be able to access the webinars and to register, you need to register for ICANN 69. That way, you will also receive all the updates with regards to the schedule with regards to the schedule and important information. so please do go to page [inaudible] and again, I will send to the list [inaudible] as well as any useful information on ICANN 69.

Now, note that the main ICANN schedule [on the 28th of September] is not yet posted. We're still fine tuning, and we're also fine tuning [inaudible] as we have the ICANN 69 planning committee call straight after our EURALO call. [inaudible] marathon calls today.

Then we will go on to the ICANN 69 At-Large. Again, I'm going to put an important link into the chat. This link is what we call the one-stop shop, and this will have all the At-Large meetings with all the agendas. And please note for now the [sessions] are all posted, and we have finalized those, but we have not yet finalized the agendas. As Sébastien was saying, we're still working on the agendas, inviting speakers, maybe moving speakers around or amending speakers. So some of the agendas may be posted. Others are still work in progress and will be posted shortly. We do have a deadline for next Tuesday, so by next Tuesday, the Wiki pages should be complete.

What we've done this time around when we've been working on the schedule is working along three tracks, and we have the [inaudible] side and the outreach side, and then [inaudible]. We have a very well balanced schedule coming up as we have six operational meetings, six policy meetings, and six outreach meetings. they're not labeled as such

on the schedule [inaudible] which are which. And Sébastien, I'm not sure if you'd like for me to add anything else at this stage.

[I'll come back to the six] policy sessions. You will be able to identify them fairly easily on the schedule. We have labeled them all as At-Large policy sessions [inaudible] title of the session. So I'm hoping you find this helpful. Please do look at the prep week webinars, please do register, choose all the prep week webinars that are of interest to you and that could also complement ICANN 69, and you'll see that some of the sessions that you may usually have seen during an ICANN meeting may have been brought to the prep week to allow us to have a lighter schedule during ICANN 69 seeing that it's going over two weeks with a third week. I'm not sure [inaudible] in there, but I hope you find it useful. Thank you. Back to you, Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you, Gisella. I think it's a good summary of where we are with the ICANN 69, if there are any question. If not, I just want to underline that everybody is waiting for the participation of European people because it's in our time zone. Therefore, I hope that you will be able to join. And I understand that as we are not traveling, you may still have your day work to do and it will be not very easy for some of you. But I hope that you will do your best to be in some part of the meeting, and I hope that you will be on the two specific meetings that we are organizing as EURALO in ICANN 69.

Okay. Let's go to the next item, and I wanted to be more prepared, but the next item is about the future ICANN meeting strategy. As you know,

we have started some discussion at different level, and we just heard during the ALAC meeting that it's a question that will be more and more at the center of the discussion when we have exchange with Göran.

I have started to put some idea together. What I will try to do in the next few days is to put some ideas on paper and to have an exchange through Wiki page or e-mail about the subject.

But we are saying that there are big differences between ICANN 67, which was the first one that was virtual, 69 which was more a webinar than a meeting, and this 69 with three weeks of meeting. We need to decide in which direction we want to go. Do we still need—[inaudible]

Sorry. My Internet is bad, it seems to be. I don't know why.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Would you like us to dial out to you, Sébastien, to have a backup phone line?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yeah, it may be a good idea.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Okay. We'll have Adigo dial out to you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay. Hello. I hope it's better.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: It is. Thank you, Sébastien. Please continue.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay. I'm sorry for that. Sometimes, [inaudible].

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Sébastien, your line is [inaudible]. Sébastien, [inaudible] mute your speaker of your computer. There we go.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: My apologies. Okay, I have just one mic open now. It's funny that at 20:00 in the nighttime, everybody is going to Internet and my Internet starts to be weaker.

Thank you. I will be short again. We need to have a discussion about how we want to see the evolution of the ICANN meeting if we stay in a virtual environment, and even when we will start back to have meetings, will we have meeting like before, or do we want something different? I think we need to start some exchange, and I will try to write something in the next few days and start some discussion with you. And if there are people who want to take part specifically this discussion, I will be happy to have a specific group if you are happy to participate to this discussion. Any comments, question, addition to that? Christopher, please go ahead.

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: Good evening, everybody. Thank you, Sébastien, for your introduction.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: [inaudible] if you could please mute your second [inaudible].

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: I'll try again. My main point is that the general proposition of the [balance of power within] the ICANN community has to be shifted, otherwise the At-Large interests and user interests will go completely [inaudible]. My experience with the PDP recently has [confirmed the] extraordinary abuse that arises from the [inaudible] in favor of basically a historical solution that predates the current ICANN community and produces some [indefensible] results.

EURALO, At-Large and ALAC has to have a serious discussion about how to redress the balance of power within ICANN, otherwise, the voluntary participation of At-Large members is largely wasted. Thank you.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Thank you, Christopher. One moment. We are actually speaking with Sébastien on his phone line. Sébastien, when you're back in conference, if you can please go ahead. Sébastien, if you could please mute your second speaker.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay, I guess I don't need my phone and you can hear me okay.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Yes.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay. Strange. Thank you, Christopher, and let's have this bigger discussion and start specific discussion on what is the evolution of the ICANN meeting, and the question you raised, what is the power of At-Large and end user? But it's give immediately some discussion about what we have done with ATRT3 and some proposal there, and discussion about Work Stream 2 also, and how we want to see the evolution of the multi-stakeholder model. I think if you feel that European voice can be useful and that you want to join in a working group on those specific topics, I will be happy to start a group on that. Maybe as an action item, I will send a mail to EURALO to see if they have candidates or people willing to join a small group to discuss this specific issue and to work on that. Thank you.

Okay, let's go to the next item, it's EURALO and Internet governance. Of course, we will have a lot of things. I hope that the ALSes and the individual users present in the different country where there is a national IGF are participating and are also giving the voice of EURALO and end user in those specific meetings. We don't have time to have feedback, but maybe during the general assembly, a short one- or two-minute feedback on what's happened at the specific IGF in each of the countries listed here, and others, if there are, will be a good place to discuss that. And of course, we will have to be ready for the global IGF just at the end of the ICANN 69 meeting, will take place virtually in Katowice in Poland.

Anyone who want to say something about that?

NATALIA FILINA: Sébastien?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yeah, go ahead.

NATALIA FILINA: Thank you very much. I would like just to say that it will be very welcomed to have some updates from regional IGF meetings, and I invite our members to send us maybe short feedback about it, and we will put it not just in our discussion but our newsletter too. Thank you very much.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Natalia. Yes, definitely. And as you have the floor, can you keep it and go ahead with the next item, number six, to talk about outreach and engagement activity? And of course the other member of EURALO who wants to talk about this topic can jump into your presentation. Natalia, please.

NATALIA FILINA: Thank you very much. Let me start with outreach and engagement work item, and our social media work as item. Then I think I can give the floor to my colleagues regarding our working groups, regarding activities of our members.

So now, if I need to start with our communication, now I'm preparing a quarterly newsletter which will be released in early October, and now we are gathering all of the EURALO news. And I'm working on it, but I again invite our members to participate in this work to send us some feedback, and I have some important things for our newsletter, but I think we need to put more information, and I would like to say that our members, our ALSes still have a chance to have some room on the page of our newsletter. There is a good chance to be promoted.

So if we can talk about our upcoming IGF activities, I need to tell you about At-Large social media webinar. Okay, so during ICANN 69, we will have social media webinar. During this webinar, Glenn and Shreedeeep will tell about how we can be more effective in social media, and I will be [inaudible] speaker too, and I will add some interesting points and we'll present our social media plan for ICANN 69 shortly, and now we are ready to work in social media, we invite community to support our work, because now we had a plan for ICANN 69. And if we have in our agenda the link to the social media plan, you may have a look, and I would like to know that I suggested not to have two separate plans for upcoming autumn. We will work with our plan for ICANN 69 and IGF 2020, and we'll bring the best practices to the second big event for us.

So the main tasks for our social media work are to inform, to explain our topics and to introduce our leaders and to invite our ICANN community and outside community to give us feedback. And we will encourage people to read our short conclusions from each ICANN 69 session, and as we discussed with Glenn, with our colleagues, which should be very simple way, understandable in our each message, and we will show our leaders and we will have a Twitter competition again. Important note,

we will use a set of simple metrics which will allow us to evaluate our efforts.

And all of us should remember that, okay, we are going to engage of new members, but our work on social media haven't the key goal to engage people but with social media, we have a great chance to show people the way to us.

So regarding IGF, I would like to say that we have now a Wiki page where Heidi—Heidi, thanks for this—started to gather the information about sessions and roundtables in which our members will be speakers, and we will actively promote this fact and focus the attention of our community to participate, to join the session.

And as I understand, we will have the ICANN booth during IGF 2020, and we have an idea to organize an At-Large session. We still remember that was a great idea from last IGF a year ago. I think in a week, we will have Social Media Working Group call, and I invite you to join this call and to discuss with us our activities and maybe to put new ideas to our work.

So I think I can give the floor to Yrjö, and Yrjö can say about the final stage of our work in ALS mobilization work party. Thank you very much.

YRJÖ LANSIPURO:

Thank you, Natalia. The ALS Mobilization work party, which was led by Alan, has concluded its work after about 27 or 28 sessions. Alan just presented the work at the ALAC session this evening a few minutes ago.

Basically, the report on ALS expectations, what is expected from ALSes, and about the accreditation procedure. These are the two main topics.

That report will go to the ALAC, and if approved by ALAC—as I think—it will come to RALOs for comment.

And that's where our work starts in the sense that I think perhaps ALS engagement taskforce in EURALO, we could take it and take the first look at it, and then of course, it should be discussed—I really hope that it's discussed—by the full EURALO, because these expectations, after they have been finally approved, they will concern every ALS, and we should of course have our say about that. Thank you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you, Yrjö. Any question, comment on that? If not, I guess we can go to IETF 108 meeting, if Matthias is online, and then please be short, and if you can give us feedback as you participate to this IETF meeting.

MATTHIAS HUDOBNIK:

Thank you, Sébastien, for the opportunity. I just want to give you a brief update. I participated in the IETF meeting. As a newcomer, it was a very nice experience for me to get some more technical insights, and it was also major contrast to the various previous participations in ICANN, RIPE and IGF events. And honestly, it also gave me a little bit of a taste of the differences and similarities of the various very important fora in the Internet ecosystem.

I in particular focused on the session about privacy enhancement and assessment research group, then in the domain space messaging, authentication, reporting and conformance, and there was also a domain name system operations working group. This was very strongly

a focus on technology and very hard to follow, but I think it was definitely a very good experience.

The whole meeting was also maintained through the Meetecho platform, which is quite good, and also, it worked very well, actually. I think it was even maybe better than Zoom, so it was quite good. And the main debate about finding a solution regarding the encrypted DNS service for DoH and DoT which is not yet standardized, so there were different proposals, one regarding a protocol which is [for the own] resolver, then there was one which was directly used to record the DNS resolver, then there was another proposal by Google and Cloudflare which proposed to put an HTTP header in the server, and another one which was about involvement over security transport. So it was very technical, but very interested, and yeah, finally, as an At-Large Advisory Committee member, also tried to strengthen the connection between IETF and Internet governance community to maybe build further connections and synergies. I think it's useful. So it's always good to get a little better understanding of the different communities who help to do better. Over to you. Thank you very much. And of course, if you have some questions, feel free to ask.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you very much, Matthias. If there is no question, let's go to the next item, and if I can give the floor to Olivier Crépin-Leblond to talk about the Consolidated Policy Working Group.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sébastien. I hope you can hear me clearly. I'm here to make policy sound exciting. It certainly is at ICANN. As you know, there is a lot of policy work going on. There are unfortunately not enough Europeans taking part in this work, but I'm hoping that with the excitement I'll share with you today, that there'll be suddenly a surge of new people involved in policy.

The work has been really sustained in past months. Since the last EURALO meeting, you will have noticed, on the agenda, you'll see a lot of statements that have been filed. You'll see here—I'm not going to read through each one of these, because of course, you can read it for yourself. But there was an addendum to the ALAC statement on the expedited policy development process. There was an additional document that was added to the comments that the ALAC made on the first section of the expedited policy development process. and this is the WHOIS system, the follow-up to WHOIS with a single access system for being able to find out to whom a domain name belongs, so a registration data directory system.

Anyway, that's one. Then there was one comment on enhancing the effectiveness of the ICANN multi-stakeholder model. That's of course, again, a multi-year series of—it wasn't even webinars, it was face-to-face meetings when the community was still able to meet face-to-face. So the ALAC sent a statement on this.

Then we had one on the final report of the cross-community working group on the new gTLD auction proceeds. Again, you can imagine the last round of new generic top-level domains was around 2012, and then it started in 2012, then of course, you had the round, and you had a

huge amount of money that ended up in an account, and it's been several years for the community to work out how to get the auction proceeds allocated, or a process by which these will be allocated.

The third accountability and transparency review team final report was also released. That was a one-year process. I don't know if Sébastien—who was one of the people on this committee—wants to say a few words about this. He's very welcome to do so. Then there's of course the ALAC statement on the expedited PDP itself which predated the additional statement that the ALAC filed.

There was a statement filed by LACRALO itself on the Latin America and Caribbean regional strategic plan, and a statement also filed regarding a Country Code Name Supporting Organization policy development process, which are things that don't happen that often, actually, since as you could now—or should know—policy work in country code top-level domains usually takes place at national level. But on this occasion, it was looking at proposals to process retiring country code top-level domains.

Giving you an example, the .su top-level domain for Soviet Union still exists even though the Soviet Union has not existed for quite a number of years, and of course, as we know, it's now called Russia and has got its own top-level domain, .ru. So, there are quantities of other top-level domains like this one, and finally, they've worked on a process to retire these officially.

And then there was also a statement on the name collision analysis project, otherwise known as NCAP, and that's to do with .home and

.corp that were top-level domains that were applied for in the previous round but were found to clash with already existing uses of these domains, often as default in computers that were sold or systems that were implemented. Sometimes, examples are given in manuals and these had, “Set up your home computer with something.home” and then everyone set it up as .home rather than setting it up to their own name that they wanted to put in there.

So that was all the work that we had over the summer. In the meantime, you can see underneath that, there are a number of upcoming public comment proceedings. They're upcoming, they haven't opened yet, and I'm a little surprised that there's so many of them since there are only eight days left until the end of the month for September, but as you can see, there are seven that are remaining to be covered. We'll have to talk about them when they open up.

But then the ones that are open right now are the ones further down your agenda page. We have current statements. There are two current statements. One is to do with the new gTLDs, so new generic top-level domain subsequent procedures. That's the next round of new generic top-level domains. The work that has gone on in this policy development process has taken several years, and thankfully, our colleague, Justine Chew from APRALO has had a small team helping her working on the scorecards on each one of the topics to be touched on by this working group. And of course, what they're looking at is setting the rules or reviewing the rules for the previous round of new top-level domains and setting the rules for the next round.

Bearing in mind the applicant guidebook was in excess of 360 pages— I remember this, it was a very big chunk of paper—of course, very little fluff in there, all of it being real rules on how the applications would work and the different regulation and so on. They had to look at each one of these pages, each one of these topics. We're talking about dozens of topics in turn and spend some time on each one of the topics, find out if what happened last time in 2012 was suitable. If it didn't, then change it and agree to a new consensus and then publish it and propose it in this report, which also is a very large report.

So thankfully, the score cards are really helpful. I invite you to look at these on this At-Large workspace. There is a link to these somewhere, I'm hoping. There you go, At-Large SubPro updates workspace. And this will effectively ... That's really interesting, so you've got the workspace itself, and then there's another workspace that goes underneath that that's got all the scorecards.

The scorecards are explained at the top of the page and then you'll have each one of the topics will have a specific point as to where we are, what was the default, what is the proposal now, has the ALAC agreed to it, has the ALAC not agreed to it during the discussion? And also, all the background, any background statements that the ALAC might have made on this topic in the past. So it's quite impressive. It's also got a link to the Consolidated Policy Working Group call in which that topic was discussed. So if you really feel inclined to go deeply into things, you can even listen to the source of the discussion and see what the different ideas were at the time that it was shared, how it was shared, and which one of the arguments won the day.

So that's an enormous chunk of work, and it's quite exciting. So I would really recommend that you have a look at it in your own time. It's not the last time that the ALAC has to comment on this, but it certainly is good, at the moment, to comment. Right now, what we're looking at is effectively just to carry out—draft a statement that will follow the advice that has been reached over those months and months of work, and so we should have a final statement before our eyes in the next few days, I think. So watch out for this one.

The second process right now is a much smaller one, that's the reference label generation rulesets, the LGRs for the second level. Now, this is all to do with internationalized domain names, IDNs. In Europe, we do have some internationalized domain names. We have some in Greek, we have some in the Cyrillic character sets. I understand that even a bit further east, we have some in Georgian and Armenian scripts. So there are some character sets that would be involved in this.

The ones that are listed in the root label generation zone comment are not particularly European in scope, a lot of them around Bangla, Ethiopian—well, Georgian is there.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Olivier, we have eight minutes to go.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sorry. I just thought I'd mention this to you because a lot of people don't really know much about IDNs. I thought I'd mention this. Anyway, there's a statement that is being drafted there that should be up there

very soon, and I invite you to have a look at it. The deadline for this is 15th of October, so there's plenty of time. That's it, thank you, and apologies for the time I'm taking.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: No, that's okay, Olivier. The time you take is also linked with a number of issues we'll have to deal with, and that's part of the problem. You are part of the solution. Thank you. If there is no question or—yes, Christopher, please.

CHRISTOPHER WILKINSON: Good evening again. Thank you, staff, for sorting out the problems we had with this call. It seems to work fine now. Thank you. Olivier, I just want to refer [inaudible] concerning the PDP on new gTLDs. I fully support and I agree with 95% of what the small group is producing, and admire Justine's extraordinary facility with the media and the context, particularly as I've written a lot of this stuff. But let me say quite frankly that we should have been doing this two or three years ago.

As things stand at present, I don't really understand who is the audience for the results of this work. The PDP thinks it's nearly finished its work and has no interest whatsoever in additional comments or input. Are we going to address this to public comment, or are we going to address it—because what Justine's group will produce is quite voluminous, are we going to address it to the board?

In short, we're doing a very detailed job which needed to be done, but to have the necessary influence over the PDP and over GNSO, I still

maintain that this should have been done two or three years ago, where incidentally in San Juan and in Panama, I did actually suggest it, but nothing came of that.

The other point is that as long as GNSO is allowed to declare a consensus on the basis of the contracted parties in the meetings, then at present, At-Large has really a marginal influence. We don't need two people in some of these meetings. As long as that's a rule that the board accepts from GNSO as to what is a consensus, we need 12 or 20 people in the meeting, because at present, At-Large points of view which are often quite important are just ignored. And the co-chair appointed by ALAC has not been any help at all to the point of view and the input from the At-Large members.

I just wanted to qualify your enthusiasm, because it'll take vast amounts of work, and I think at this stage, it'll have to be vis-à-vis the board [inaudible] work to get ICANN to accept that there are things that need to be changed. Thank you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Christopher. Olivier, short answer, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes. Thank you very much, Sébastien. I'll do a very quick answer. So the current process is a public consultation that is run by the GNSO council, and that will close in eight days' time on the 30th of September. A staff report is due for the 21st of October, because it's likely that there will be a lot of input from a lot of different parts of ICANN.

That will then feed into the GNSO council who will have to vote on acceptance of the report or asking the working group to change some aspects of the report based on the feedback that it has received in the consultation.

Anyway, after a certain number of iterations or if it decides that this time around it can go through, that report will then be sent to the ICANN board, and the ICANN board will put it through another consultation. So that's why I'm saying—it's not the last consultation, but it's the second to last consultation. So this is why it's still very important. One might think it's very late, but it's still very important to comment on this right now. It's all part of the standard ICANN process.

Now, I understand the weakness of the ALAC when it comes down to having control over the process since it's all controlled by the Generic Name Supporting Organization council, the GNSO council, and unfortunately, this is not something that we're able to change right now. Thank you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Thank you, Olivier. Thank you, everybody. I will be short on the next item as Ricardo writes what happened with the OFB working group. I guess it's on Friday, the next meeting, not next week. NomCom, we have no more representative in EURALO, but work is still going on and they have a few weeks to make a final choice.

You have the date of the next meeting, both EURALO board meeting, EURALO monthly call, and the two GAs. One this year will be the 10th of November and it'll be at the same time as a normal monthly call, and

we are planning to have the EURALO GA face-to-face hopefully next year during the EuroDIG and we're waiting for the date of this meeting.

And I am really sorry, we have to finish this meeting and another one is starting in one minute. I want to thank again, and sorry not to give the floor to León to participate. We appreciate a lot your commitment and your willingness to follow the discussion. I hope that you will be participating to the general assembly, and I will give you the floor during the general assembly for sure. And thank you again. Glenn, thank you for your participation. Herb, thank you also for coming to this meeting, and I want once again to thank you. And please, everybody who needs to be on the next meeting about ICANN 69 organization, please move and change room. Bye, take care, and talk to you soon.

NATALIA FILINA: Thank you very much. Bye all.

MICHELLE DESMYTER: Thank you, everyone. Meeting adjourned.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]