

## At-Large Areas of Concern

The full list of SubPro areas or topics, with corresponding concern levels to At-Large, is as follows:

<b>PRIORITY</b>	<b>SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS</b>	<b>Sub-Areas / Related Areas</b>
	<b>CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES</b>	
High	0-1. <b>DNS Abuse Mitigation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CCT-RT Rec. #14, #15, #16</li> <li>• Contractual Compliance</li> <li>• Base Registry Agreement</li> </ul>
High	0-2. <b>CCT Recommendations</b> Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's remit contained in the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust Review Final Report of 8 September 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #9 - Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1]</li> <li>• #12, #25 – PICs &amp; RVCs</li> <li>• #14, #15, #16 - DNS Abuse</li> <li>• #29, #30, #31, #32 – Applicant Support [2.5.4]</li> <li>• #33 – GAC Consensus Advice [2.8.1]</li> <li>• #34 – Community Applications [2.9.1]</li> <li>• #35 - String Similarity Evaluations [2.7.4]</li> </ul>
	<b>OVERARCHING ISSUES</b>	
High	1. Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] - <b>Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program</b> → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Metrics &amp; Monitoring [2.2.7]</li> </ul>
Medium	2. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Predictability Framework [NEW]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <b>Standing Predictability Implementation Review Team (SPIRT)</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Medium	3. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Different TLD Types [2.2.4]</li> <li>• Feedback to Neustar's proposal for a 3-phased application model</li> </ul>
Medium	4. Different Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community Applications [2.9.1]</li> <li>• Feedback to Neustar's proposal for a 3-phased application model</li> <li>• Priority for application types</li> </ul>
Low	5. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]	
Low	6. RSP Pre-Evaluation (Accreditation Programs) [2.2.6]	
High	7. <b>Metrics and Monitoring</b>	
High	8. <b>Conflicts of Interest</b>	
	<b>FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES</b>	
High	9. <b>Registry commitments / Public Interest Commitments &amp; Other Safeguards</b> [Global Public Interest, 2.3.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandatory PICs</li> <li>• Voluntary RVCs/PICs – Systems [2.4.3]</li> </ul>

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GAC Advice – Verified TLDs</li> </ul>
None	10. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]	
High	11. <b>Universal Acceptance (UA)</b> [2.3.4] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systems [2.4.3]</li> </ul>
	PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES	
Medium	12. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Translations, timing of release vs program communication/outreach</li> </ul>
Medium	13. Communications [2.4.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outreach to Middle/Global South candidates – Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]</li> </ul>
Medium	14. Systems [2.4.3]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implementation of PICs submission – Global Public Interest [2.3.2]</li> </ul>
	APPLICATION SUBMISSION	
Low	15. Application Fees [2.5.1] & Variable Fees [2.5.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cost Recovery Principle</li> <li>Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]</li> </ul>
None	16. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]	
High	17. <b>Applicant Support Program (ASP)</b> [2.5.4] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Funding source</li> <li>Outreach – Communication [2.4.2]</li> <li>Criteria – Metrics</li> <li>Accreditation Programs [2.2.6]</li> <li>Application Fees [2.5.1]</li> <li>Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> </ul>
Low	18. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> <li>Name Collisions [2.7.8]</li> </ul>
	APPLICATION PROCESSING	
Medium	19. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IDNs [2.7.5]</li> </ul>
Medium	20. Application Change Requests [S2.4] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Role of Application Comment [S2.3]</li> <li>Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) [2.3.2]</li> <li>Private Resolution of Contention Sets [S2.2]</li> </ul>
	APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA	
High	21. <b>Reserved Names</b> [2.7.1] → <b>Draft Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(ISO 4217 Currency Codes)</li> </ul>
High	21.1 <b>Geographic Names at the Top Level</b> [WT5, 2.7.1.1] → <b>Indicative Input</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Definition of geographic names, geographic indicators etc</li> <li>Geographic Names Panel</li> <li>Preventive vs. Curative protections</li> <li>Translations</li> <li>Non-AGB Terms</li> </ul>
Medium	22. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EBERO, COI</li> <li>Applicant background screening</li> </ul>

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
High	23. <b>Closed Generics</b> [2.7.3]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generic terms as TLDs</li> </ul>
High	24. <b>String Similarity Evaluations</b> [2.7.4] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>String Similarity Review</li> <li>String Confusion Objection (under Objection [2.8.1])</li> <li>Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> </ul>
High	25. <b>Internationalized Domain Names (IDN)</b> [2.7.5] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IDN Variant TLD Implementation</li> <li>RZ-LGRs</li> <li>Risk of DNS Abuse, end-user confusion</li> </ul>
High	26. <b>Security and Stability</b> [2.7.6] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delegation Rates</li> <li>Banning of emojis as TLDs</li> <li>DNS Abuse mitigation</li> <li>Algorithmic checking - Systems [2.4.3]</li> </ul>
Low	27. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	
Medium	28. Role of Application Comment [S2.3] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community Applications [2.9.1]</li> <li>Systems [2.4.3]</li> <li>Application Change Request [S2.4]</li> </ul>
High	29. <b>Name Collisions</b> [2.7.8] → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NCAP Study 1 (~ Studies 2 and 3)</li> </ul>
	DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	
Medium	30. <b>GAC Consensus Advice and GAC Early Warning</b> → <b>Settled Scorecard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CCT-RT #33</li> </ul>
High	31. <b>Objections</b> [2.8.1]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community Objections</li> <li>Public Interest Objections</li> <li>Independent Objector</li> </ul>
High	32. <b>Limited Challenge/Appeal Mechanism</b> (formerly Accountability Mechanism) [2.8.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Challenge/Appeals Framework [NEW]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <b>Against evaluation, determinations, objections</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
High	33. <b>Dispute Resolution Procedures After Delegation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RVCs and PICDRP</li> </ul>
	STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	
High	34. <b>Community Applications</b> [2.9.1] → <b>Draft Scorecard</b> → <b>At-Large Interventions on CBA and CPE</b> → <b>Revised CPE Guidelines – A Proposal by At-Large</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community Priority Evaluation (CPE)</li> <li>Community Objections distinct from CPE – Objections [2.8.1]</li> <li>Challenge against evaluation [2.8.2]</li> </ul>
High	35. <b>Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions)</b> [S2.1, S2.2]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>String Contention Mechanism of Last Resort [NEW]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <b>Private resolution</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
		➤ Sealed bid auction
	CONTRACTING	
High	36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	• DNS Abuse mitigation
None	37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]	
None	38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]	
	PRE-DELEGATION	
None	39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]	
	POST-DELEGATION	
Medium	40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	• “Squatting” or “warehousing” defined
High	41. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	• DNS Abuse mitigation

## Status of Scorecard

This Scorecard is updated from time to time, as and when new information becomes available.

## AT-LARGE SCORECARD VERSION TRACKING

Legend: +SubPro\_Draft\_Rec Pending Up for Re-review Positioning Settled High Priority Medium Priority Low Priority No Priority

<b>SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS</b>							
<b>CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES</b>	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	v7
0-1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	17 Mar						
0-2. CCT Recommendations	Pending						
<b>OVERARCHING ISSUES</b>	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	
1. Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] - <b>Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program</b>	17 Feb	04 Mar	8 Jul				
2. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	17 Feb						
3. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	-	19 Feb	04 Mar	06 Mar			
4. Different Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	17 Feb	04 Mar	06 Mar				
5. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]							
6. RSP Pre-Evaluation [2.2.6]							
7. <b>Metrics &amp; Monitoring</b> [2.7.2]	Pending						
8. <b>Conflicts of Interest</b>	03 Sep						
<b>FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES</b>	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	
9. <b>Registry commitments / Public Interest Commitments &amp; Other Safeguards</b> -- Global Public Interest [2.3.2]	-	-	4 Feb	Pending			
10. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]							
11. <b>Universal Acceptance (UA)</b> [2.3.4]	21 Jan	27 Jan	16 Feb	27 May			
<b>PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES</b>	v1						
12. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	7 Sep						
13. Communications [2.4.2]	7 Sep						
14. Systems [2.4.3]	7 Sep						
<b>APPLICATION SUBMISSION</b>	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5	v6	v7
15. Application Fees [2.5.1] and Variable Fees [2.5.2]							
16. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]							
17. <b>Applicant Support Program (ASP)</b> [2.5.4]	-	01 Jan	13 Jan	16 Jan	27 Jan	22 Apr	5 May
18. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]							
<b>APPLICATION PROCESSING</b>	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
19. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	Pending						

<b>SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS</b>							
20. Application Change Requests [S2.4]	7 May						
APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
21. Reserved Names [2.7.1]	11 Feb	8 Jun					
21.1 Geographic Names at the Top Level [WT5, 2.7.1.1]	-	19 Aug					
22. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	11 Feb						
23. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	11 Feb	-	23 Feb	17 Mar			
24. String Similarity Evaluations [2.7.4]	11 Feb	7 May					
25. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5]	11 Feb	16 Feb	06 Mar	28 May			
26. Security and Stability [2.7.6]	11 Feb	28 May					
27. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]							
28. Role of Application Comment [S2.3]	7 May						
29. Name Collisions [2.7.8]	28 May						
DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
30. Objections [GAC Advice & GAC Early Warning] [2.8.1]	2 Sep						
31. Objections [2.8.1]	7 Sep						
32. Limited Challenge/Appeal Mechanism - Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]	Pending						
33. Dispute Resolution Procedures After Delegation	Pending						
STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
34. Community Applications [2.9.1]	-	02 Mar	04 Mar	10 Jun			
35. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]	Pending						
CONTRACTING	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	Pending						
37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]							
38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]							
PRE-DELEGATION							
39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]							
POST-DELEGATION	v1	v2	v3	v4	v5		
40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	Pending						
41. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	Pending						