

EPDP Phase 2 Final Report

ALAC Statement Addendum

Alan Greenberg, Hadia El Miniawi

CPWG – 19 August 2020

ALAC Position

- SSAD must be able to increase automated use cases that meet the recommended policy **WITHOUT** further policy development.
- Phase 1 Issues must be addressed in a timely manner.
 - Legal vs Natural
 - Accuracy and ARS
 - Anonymized e-mail addresses
- Financial model and affordability/accessibility
- Consumer protection priority

Possible ALAC Actions

- Revise Statement
- Add additional comment
- Do nothing more

Draft Statement Addendum

The ALAC appreciates the opportunity to submit this addendum to the statement that I submitted on 29 September 2020.

Since that time, the ALAC along with its EPDP team has had the opportunity to review and discuss the statements submitted by the BC/IPC and the consensus positions submitted by the GAC and SSAC, along with the those submitted by the other EPDP Member groups.

Although the ALAC and the BC, IPC, GAC and SSAC each took a somewhat different approach to addressing our positions in respect to the report, the ALAC is in general agreement with the positions taken in the GAC, SSAC and BC/IPC statements ~~and consensus positions.~~

Dissenting on the outcomes of what has been over a year of extremely difficult debate is not something that the ALAC has taken lightly, but proceeding without identifying **and addressing** the issues that we believe are critical to the success of an SSAD will put in place a system that will not meet needs of the users of the SSAD with little opportunity to significantly correct those problems going forward. We hope that the GNSO and if applicable the Board will take this into consideration as this process moves forward.

Discussion & Questions?