

Your name: Justine Chew

Issue	Applicable text (please quote directly)	Number and name of applicable report section	Cannot live with rationale	Proposed changes (taking into account whether others would be able to live with them)
1.	<p><b>a. Recommendations and/or implementation guidelines</b></p> <p>Afirmation xx: ....</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implementation Guideline C: "ICANN will provide frequent communications with applicants and the public including <u>comment forums which will be used to inform evaluation panels.</u>"</li> </ul>	2.4.2 Communications	Proposed change does not impact rationale but instead adds necessary clarity by addressing omission of a dependency to the Role of Application Comment section.	To add footnote: Usage to inform evaluation panels is addressed more specifically in section xx Role of Application Comment.
2.	<p><b>d. Dependencies/relationships with other areas of this report or external efforts</b></p>	2.4.2 Communications	Proposed change does not impact rationale but instead adds necessary clarity by addressing omission of a dependency to the Role of Application Comment section.	To add a 3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The impact of comments collected through the comment forums referred to in Implementation Guideline C is addressed separately (see xx Role of Application Comment).</li> </ul>

Issue	Applicable text (please quote directly)	Number and name of applicable report section	Cannot live with rationale	Proposed changes (taking into account whether others would be able to live with them)
3.	<p><b>a. Recommendations and/or implementation guidelines</b></p> <p>Implementation Guidance xx: For <b>timeliness</b>, the Working Group believes that for the next subsequent round, the Communications Period <u>should</u> begin at least six (6) months prior to the beginning of the application submission period. ....</p>	2.4.2 Communications	<p>1. Since insufficient awareness of the Program prior to the last round is well acknowledged, this Implementation Guidance ought to prescribe – not merely suggest – a minimum time period for the next round’s Communications Period.</p> <p>2. Prior WG discussion on the distinction between the terms “must” and “should” and when either ought to be used, applies.</p>	To replace “should” with “must”.
4.	<p><b>a. Recommendations and/or implementation guidelines</b></p> <p>Implementation Guidance xx (rationale 4): In the event that an application fee floor is used to determine the application fee, excess fees received by ICANN <u>should</u> be used to</p>	2.5.1 Application Fees & 2.5.2 Variable Fees	<p>1. The word “should” used here suggests that there is an option to use excess fees to benefit one or more of the 4 stated elements (a) to (d). There should be no such option in event there is excess fees</p> <p>2. Unlike the Implementation Guidance xx (rationale 4) relating to application fee floor not being implemented where returning of any excess fee to applicants <u>may not be possible</u>, no such limitation is</p>	To replace “should” with “must”.

Issue	Applicable text (please quote directly)	Number and name of applicable report section	Cannot live with rationale	Proposed changes (taking into account whether others would be able to live with them)
	benefit one or more of the following elements of the New gTLD Program: (a) a global communication and awareness campaign about the introduction and availability of new gTLDs .....		envisaged in respect of excess fees – collected in the event of application fee floor being used – being applied towards the 4 stated elements of the Program.	
5.				
6.				