

We understand and that as the IFO, we adjudicate a retirement much like we would a transfer request, the procedure for validating it with the lawful representative of the ccTLD manager is used, as opposed to the admin contact or any other specific contact.

[...]

“The WG believes the applicability of the policy for existing situations is out of scope for its mandate. For situations prior to this policy coming into force, responsibility lies with the IFO to create a suitable procedure. Such a procedure could be based on this policy.”

[...]

From Naela

---

Naela,

I read new terminology here:

"lawful representative of the ccTLD M[m]anager"

how and where is this defined? We have struggled already in the FoI work with this, and I find this (new) concept helpful.

As I might not be able to take part in the call (I will be in the Lounge in FRA or boarding LH514 as I most definitively intend to break the spring :-)-O) I would propose to change in your below language the word "could" to "should" and it would hence read

"... Such a procedure should be based on this policy.”

I am not going to break consensus on this, however, which I wish to register herewith for the first reading (in my above absence).

el

---

Hi Eberhard, Hi all,

We probably used the wrong terminology there. While there is probably is a definition for "lawful representative" who can act for a company (and would undoubtedly vary depending on jurisdiction and legal structure), the point is that if we have procedure for recording the correct party for corresponding with for a material change of control in the case of a transfer, it would seem appropriate to apply that same procedure to the case of a retirement.

Kim

---

Kim,

I fully agree.

And it would seem to be appropriate to publish (or define) the procedure for recording the correct party.

There will be many ccTLD Managers which would be willing to assist in the procedure. NA-NiC for one, would.

El

---

Staff Note:

Such a procedure with respect to transfers was discussed in the context of implementation of the FoI.

Suggest that such a procedure and others for that matter are deferred to implementation.