
CLAUDIA RUIZ: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening to everyone. Welcome to the AFRALO operating principles review working group call on Thursday the 30th of July 2020 at 16:00 UTC.

On the call today on the English channel we have Isaac Maposa, Barrack Otieno, Vernatius Ezeama, Seun Ojedeji, Hadia Elminiawi, Abdel Ouro, Sarah Kiden. We also have Jacob Odame-Baiden on the English channel.

On the French channel, we have Michel Tchonang, and Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong.

From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, Alperen Eken and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management. Our interpreters for today are Jacques and Isabella.

And before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription record and also so the interpreters can identify you on the other language channels.

Thank you very much, and with this, I turn the call over to you, Abdeldjalil.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Hello everyone. Thank you very much. Welcome to our call to talk about the review of operating principles of AFRALO in July. You can see on the screen that we have our agenda, and without further ado, you see our agenda, the welcome, roll call, number two, the adoption of the agenda,

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

recap on action items, number four, presentation of AFRALO individual membership survey, presentation on voting rights for individual members in all RALO, six, discussion and finalization on voting rights in AFRALO, seven, next steps, and Any Other Business, number eight.

Let's go to point number three, recap on action items. At-Large staff, please, you have the floor.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Thank you very much. First action item was Sarah, Isaac and Abdeldjalil to do the final edit to the survey and to send it to Silvia who will put it in Google survey format. The survey will be sent out by staff to all individuals and those participants who were rejected applications.

On this point, we are still reviewing the survey as there are some concerns about whether or not to send it to the rejected applicants in the same survey. I think this would be discussed later on as well. So hopefully, today we will finalize the survey and be able to send it to whoever is going to receive this survey.

The second is Silvia Vivanco will send information on voting rights from other RALOs, and I did the research and I will present it today to all of you for your consideration.

The other action item is all members are requested to contribute to the Google document issues under review. I think that was completed or is in progress. Perhaps Abdeldjalil and Isaac can update us on that document. And then the last one was to set up the call for today. Thank you, and over to you, Abdel.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you very much, Silvia, for giving this summary. We're going to go now to number four, the presentation of the AFRALO individual membership survey. We're going to chair Isaac and Sarah Kiden that are going to take the floor and let us know about this survey.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Abdel. Hello everyone. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. I will let Sarah Kiden take the floor to present on the individual membership survey. She has been the one doing the final edits on the survey. Thank you.

SARAH KIDEN: Hi everyone. I was asked to [go through] the survey and see if there are any edits to be made or just reading through to make sure it makes sense, and I did that.

There were a few things that we discussed in the last meeting I think that were a bit unclear, so I had captured them in the survey. But please feel free to let us know if you think we don't need to have them. So we had discussed—and I think it was something that was suggested by Ore, about reaching out to people who were not accepted. So they applied but we did not accept their application.

I think what was agreed was it was important to find out if the message was clear of why their applications were not accepted. So I had edited the question and number two where it says, "Are you a current AFRALO individual member, yes or no?" And then if yes, they'll tell us when they

joined. So previously, it was only the part B of the question but now I added that part and if no, the only thing they have to answer is if they understood the application process and the reason why their membership was not approved.

And I think this is because for people who are already members of At-Large structures, they don't necessarily have to apply as individual members. so I don't know if we need to make the question more clear, but that was the rationale for this particular question.

I think the other question which was just basically editing them to see if there are other things to change or add here and there, there was not so much, and I think we got another suggestion that we captured, so on number eight, we added a note to tell people what a penholder is, because maybe they've done it and they actually don't know that they've been doing that. So that was a change on question number eight.

And we also got comments on question number nine. So yeah, I'm looking at the Google doc and not the Zoom room. Okay, so let me just look at Zoom, that is easier. So question nine, the question previously was just asking if they've attended an ICANN meeting in person, so we edited it to ask if they attended in person or remotely, or both, or none of the above.

So I think those were mostly the only questions that were edited from the previous round, and I don't know if Isaac has any other things to add, but those are the questions that I changed. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Sarah. I think you've touched all points. Only one more thing that was suggested on the e-mail, but drafting the introduction to the survey, that's the only thing that actually needs to be done so that people know, the respondents know what the survey is all about, what is it trying to achieve. So there's need to write an introduction to the survey. Thank you.

If there are any contributions or questions—unfortunately, my Internet is slow so I'm not in the Zoom room. So if there are any suggestions, questions ...

SARAH KIDEN: Isaac, sorry, just one more thing to find out from the people who have attended this call and were on the call last time, if it's agreeable that we send it to both, because I think there was a bit of a misunderstanding, of if we need to send it only to current individual members or if we should send it to current individual members and anyone who has applied for individual membership before. Yeah, thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Sarah. I'm sure we had agreed on the previous call that we'll send to both. If there's any change or if there are any suggestions now, we can hear, but I think the general comment we had on our last call was to send to both rejected and those who are actually individual members.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hi Isaac. May I ask a question?

ISAAC MAPOSA: Sure. You can go on.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. With regards to sending to non-individuals, persons who were rejected and are not members of AFRALO at the moment, as they were rejected, we should take into account that they may not be willing or able to reply. So I was wondering if the introductory note—because for the members, they will be reachable. We have a list of e-mails and they will be requested to comply, to supply this information.

For the rejected nonmembers, it will be asking them a favor. So I think the introductory note should somehow address these two modes of asking the question. And then when we receive the results, staff will filter out the results from the nonmembers so we have accuracy in terms of the feedback from the members is different from the nonmembers. So I just wanted to make sure that we have that in mind as, I don't know, some rejected may be willing to reply, some not. We should be attentive to that and they may have changed e-mails or maybe they don't want to reply. So just wanted to raise that.

And also, I have invited my colleague, Alperen Eken who is also working on this issue on a larger level in the working group that has been formed at the ALAC level to deal with the individual membership. So he will be working with us on the survey, helping us to put it in a Google form and also helping us to tabulate the results.

Thank you, and I see two hands up, Seun and Hadia.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Silvia. That's quite very important contribution you have made there, and I'm sure those who have been rejected may not be willing to, so we actually have to ask for that favor for them to respond. Now I give the floor to Seun and then after Seun, Hadia.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Thank you. I think one of the questions already asked, whether the person is a member or not, that one will help to separate the individual membership and the unaffiliated since I guess we understand that this is going to go through those set of people.

I just wanted to also ask, I think it would be good to indicate which of the questions is going to be a compulsory question and which one is going to be optional. Are all the questions going to be optional? I think there should be indication on that, on which one, so that when they are creating the form, the person creating them will know how to configure each of the questions accordingly. Thanks.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Seun. Hadia, you can take the floor now.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you so much. So I do agree with Silvia that we do actually need a couple of lines in the beginning for those who have not been accepted as members. So I think it should be exactly the same survey but with the difference of adding a couple of introductory lines to those not

accepted, explaining what this is and asking them to fill in the surveys. And I think those lines would be different from the introductory lines meant for the actual members.

And then to Seun's point, yes, it is definitely necessary to indicate which are optional and which are mandatory, but I was wondering, why do we have any optional? Because all of them are a multiple choice. So it's nothing really to fill in.

So I was wondering, do we need to have some of them as optional? And why not all of them are mandatory? Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Thank you, Hadia. Yeah, I do think the introductory sections should be separate, should be different for those who are members and those who were rejected, their applications. Thank you on that. And on Seun's contribution, I think all questions should be mandatory, but what we can have is [few tough] questions like for example the ones that are pointed out whereby if you are not currently an individual member, your application was rejected, go to question number, the next question. That's what I think. I don't know if someone has a different opinion.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

We have Abdeldjalil with his hand up.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Okay. Abdel, you can take the floor.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you very much, Isaac. In terms of the survey, the [NomCom] had accepted to do it for individual members and for applicants who were rejected. If we go to number five, then it talks about assessing your experience as an individual member, and this is something that only applies to individual members, so if you ask an applicant that was rejected, I am not quite sure how that person can answer. That's a bit difficult. So what we need is to make sure that we have reliable data, and in that sense, we need to make sure that we know what we need to change.

In terms of the survey, I think that we can also add a point at the end with requiring that people comment whatever comments they have if they are individual members who are within AFRALO who came as individual members but then ended up with their own ALS. There is someone who did that, became an individual member and then joined an ALS. So as an ALS member, he cannot remain an individual member. He's a member of an ALS. So I think that this is something that we can add. Perhaps the member can say, "I created an ALS" or "I joined an ALS" and so forth. That's what I wanted to say.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Abdel. I think on the issue of questions that do not apply to those whose applications were rejected, they can opt to put the non-applicable options where we say you can just put N/A for the questions that do not apply to you if you're not an individual member. That's what

I think. I don't know if there's any different views on that. I think that would help.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Isaac, we have two hands up, Sarah and then Seun.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. I give the floor to Sarah, then after Sarah, Seun can take the floor.

SARAH KIDEN: Thank you, Isaac. I have a question to Alp. I don't think I've done a lot of Google forms so I'm not sure how it works. Is it possible to actually have them, if they respond to question two and they said no, so the only question they would have to fill in is, did they understand the application process? And after that, they click "submit."

Then for people who click yes for question two, they fill in when they joined and then fill in the rest of the questions. Is that a possibility within Google forms? Thank you.

ALPEREN EKEN: Thank you for your question, Sarah. This is not a possibility [inaudible].

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Alp, please—I'm going to ask Alp, my colleague, who knows about the Google forms very well who will be able to reply to us, and I think that, Sarah, is a good point because if the person is not a member, he's

unable to reply to the others. By mistake, they might answer the other questions and that will affect the results of the survey. So I think it's safer to just let them reply the questions that they are able to reply as nonmembers. So Alp, could you please address that question on Google forms?

ALPEREN EKEN: Can you hear me now?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Alp, go ahead.

ALPEREN EKEN: Okay, great. Thanks Sarah, for your question. As Seun said in the chat, it is possible with Google forms, but it's not possible with the Google Enterprise that ICANN use. We need some addons or this and addons take time because information security team must work on them. But we can do this manually. I can filter them and then we will have the results. So unfortunately, yeah, we cannot do this decision tree model on our version of Google forms.

SARAH KIDEN: Thank you, Alp. I see Silvia is saying that maybe we run two surveys, the first one is to check the process and it would only have two questions for the people who applied but were not accepted, and then we do the rest of the questions for the other members. Thank you. Sorry for talking too much.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you, Sarah. I see Seun has his hand up, Isaac.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. Thank you, Sarah, and thank you, Alp. Okay, Seun, you can take the floor.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Okay. Thank you. Sorry. I had raised my hand, lowered it, raised it again, because I think I can even just lower it now because I think the point has been mentioned by Silvia. Since we can't get that feature in the ICANN's form, then I think the only option we have left is to just separate the forms. So we have two, and then staff can merge later when they put it out and of course, it can be done that way.

I just hope that then the heading of each of the forms would then need to be more descriptive to focus on each of ... So the first question which was asking about what [inaudible] need to be modified accordingly so that the person that is filling it knows that this is for me and not necessarily for other person. Thanks.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Seun. Yeah, I think the idea of separating the survey is actually the best. It sounds the best idea for me. Since staff also have respondents or the list of their e-mails separately, they can send the survey that applies to those who were rejected and to the individual members. Thank you. Is there any hand up?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Alp.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay.

ALPEREN EKEN: Thank you. I think creating two surveys in two different languages, it is okay, we can do that. But is there an important reason we are trying to know nonmembers, the rejected people? We can just disseminate survey by saying that this survey is for just the members, individual members. Is there a specific reason to know that who is rejected? And what would follow for those who were rejected previously?

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Alp. The goal of sending the question to those who were rejected was to actually see if the individual application process was clear and also to get their feedback if they understood why they were rejected. I'm not sure if I got your question right and if I'm answering right, but that is [inaudible].

ALPEREN EKEN: Yes.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay, so that is the goal of sending to those who were rejected. So if separating the surveys would result in more work or if it involves more, I think since you have the experience, you actually can advise us what it takes to have that done. I think your advice on that would be crucial. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Isaac, I have a question. I'm looking at the survey. Could we review the questions and identify which ones are to be sent to the non-members so that we know what are appropriate for them? Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. Sure. We can just identify the questions. Sarah, can you take over on that? Thank you.

SARAH KIDEN: Thank you. I think the only question to nonmembers, to the people whose applications were not accepted, is around the process, so only question two. So first, we will ask them how they learned about AFRALO, and then of course, now we know they're not members, the only other question is if they understood the application process and the reason why their membership was not approved, and perhaps then maybe they can give us recommendations of how to explain it better or improve around that area. But yeah, so it would just be that question two, but would have to be worded. Thank you. Sorry, question one and part B of question two.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Sarah. I think question three may also apply to them since they went through the application process.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay, so if I may, Sarah, if you can send us after the call the questions, I believe that number three, how did you find individual membership process, might be also appropriate, and I am not sure, "What would you change about the individual membership application process," I am not sure if you also want to do four. So maybe three and four, if all agree, maybe we can already agree on that and you can send me the questions, to me and to Alp and we will do the Google forms accordingly.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Hi Isaac, we have Abdeldjalil with his hand up.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you very much. I have a question regarding the applications that were rejected. Do we have the exact number of people that got rejected at the AFRALO level? Those people that applied for being individual members and got their application rejected, do we know how many of them? Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA: I think that we can ask from staff since they have the information on that, that we can get that information from staff.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hello. Yes. I have asked my colleague, Evin Erdogan, who is handling the application, and she will let me know as soon as possible. So maybe the next half an hour or so, but hopefully today. Maybe, Sarah, you also have an idea as you also collaborated in the process of processing applications. I don't believe there's too many.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. Thank you, Silvia. I think because of time, we can move to the next item on the agenda, which is the presentation on voting rights for individual members, and I do give the floor to Silvia to take over on that item on the agenda.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. Thank you very much. So just to wrap up, Alp and I will be waiting for this team to send us the question for nonmembers, the questions for members, and the introductory paragraph for both surveys. And then we will create a Google form, we will send it back to you all for your final okay, and then we will send it out.

So next, I'm going to talk about the individual membership in all the RALOs. Claudia, could you please put the table linked to the agenda on the screen please? It's the document in item four. If you want to click, all of you, you can open up the document so you can follow my presentation.

Okay, great. Thank you. So conscious of the time, I am not going to read out every single article, but I want to give you an overview of what the

other RALOs are doing in terms of voting rights. So APRALO, the rules were drafted in 2014 and the vote is by one person. This person is the representative of all unaffiliated individuals. This member is elected by all individual members from time to time. So when it's that election, they usually do it at the APRALO annual general assembly or when an ALAC member is selected by the regional membership or leadership elections are held.

So that means that basically, every year when ALAC elections are called, the APRALO individuals all together designate a representative and this representative will [inaudible] vote on behalf of all individuals.

Then going down, on AFRALO, the operating principles that we are analyzing are from 2017. As we all know, we don't have voting rights for individuals at the moment, and I highlighted there the paragraph that they don't participate in any decision making of AFRALO. And this is what we are concerned about at the moment.

Then we have the EURALO, the European RALO. What they do is the European RALO says that natural persons may apply through the individual users association. This is one ALS. So all of them are grouped together under the individual user association. And this ALS has the same vote and the same rights as any other ALS. If you want more information, it's on article 4 of [rules of] organization, they have their own bylaws, and they select one representative who has the voting rights at the EURALO general assembly.

Next, we go to LACRALO, and LACRALO just approved a mandate 27, the rules of procedure. This is a big event for LACRALO because after a long

time, they decided on this. And what they have decided is that all individual members shall be deemed members of a single ALS of individual members and they will exercise their right to participate in any kind of decisions or voting. And the vote by the ALS of individual members will be equivalent to the weight of the ALS with a lower weight. And here I want to explain that in LACRALO, not all ALS have the same voting weights, because they have a mathematical formula called the country coefficient whereby ALSes, depending on the country, they have a heavy weight or light weight which is assigned to each country. This is a formula that they created a long time ago to afford fairness to the ALSes. But at the end, they will have a voting right, as any other ALS.

Then the next one is NARALO. NARALO is one person is a representative of all the individual members, and according to the rules, NARALO rules of procedure state that the appointment of a new voting representative and alternate of individual members shall take place as soon as practical after each ICANN annual general meeting. So once a year, before an ICANN general assembly, in October, they select one representative. And I have put more information below, because they have a process for decertification and loss of individual membership status.

And down below, I put basically how it's operationalized at the staff level. That means that for NARALO, all individuals have a separate poll in which they vote, usually one day before, including the representative. The result of that, no matter the representative thinks, is to vote in the general ALS electorate on behalf of all individuals.

So this is how the RALOs are working with regards to voting rights for their individuals. And in the chart, you will see the relevant rules are

hyperlinked, so you can click on them and read through the articles to better understand. So that's a very quick review, conscious of the time, Isaac. So thank you very much. Over to you.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Thank you, Silvia, for this. Thank you so much for the great [inaudible] consolidating all this information. Now I do give the floor to the working group members to actually discuss on this as our next item to discuss on the voting rights within AFRALO. The floor is open to the working group members. Silvia, you can help me on that since I'm not in the Zoom room, on those who are raising their hands, to manage the queue and give them the floor.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Sure. Yes. I don't see any hands up, but what I want to stress is that this is a lot of information to process, so perhaps you want to study the table and read through the articles and ask me if you have any questions in the upcoming days, or right now, but there are many different models that you may want to review, understand and see which one is better for AFRALO. Now I see two hands up, Seun is first, and then Sarah. Seun, please.

SEUN OJEDEJI:

Yes. I just wanted to suggest that this is a very useful presentation and I think perhaps when the feedback from the survey is out, when we get the data from the survey, that is when we can then have a resolution, then have in-depth discussion about this. But as Silvia said, I think the

information is [inaudible] we can all be digesting it, and then going through it to understand it better until when we have that discussion. That is just a suggestion from me.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you, Seun. Next is Sarah.

SARAH KIDEN: I feel like Seun's comment has made not want to say mine, but I'll say it anyway. I was just going to suggest that we send this table to the mailing list, perhaps one of the co-chairs can send it to the mailing list so that members start to think about it so that when the results of the survey come out, we will be ready to talk about if we want the voting thing to happen and how we can do it. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you, Sarah. I don't see any other hands up, Isaac.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Silvia. Thank you, Sarah, and thank you, Seun. I do agree with you, the members need to go through this and actually deliberate on this. So sure, we can allow time to discuss on this on the mailing list, and we have the final decisions when we consolidate the information from the survey and from the issues under review document, then we can consolidate all that information. Thank you so much. So I think with that, I give the floor to Abdel if he has anything to say.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you, Isaac. I think I agree completely with what was just said. We need to finalize the individual members survey, and once it's finalized, we will have all of the data. Based on Silvia's presentation in terms of voting rights of members, we can organize a call on the different systems, voting systems of the different RALOs, and then we can determine together which one is the best option for our region.

We mentioned a representative, but I think that we need to study the different scenarios so that we can determine which one is the most adapted for our RALO. So I agree completely. Let's go through this, think through this and plan another meeting, and as Sarah said, this is something that we can send to the mailing list and hopefully we can encourage the different members to bring their contributions. With all of that, I think that we will move together.

I think we also have something, a document to modify. Perhaps the members can bring their contribution to that Google doc. There is a part where we are talking about individual members and I think that is something that we need to put into the Google doc so that with the documents that Silvia shared, we can [inaudible] that.

And the idea I think is to organize a call so that we have the contribution of all of the members of the working group. That's about all I have to say, so if no other member wants to take the floor, then I'll give the floor back to Isaac.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Thank you, Abdel, for the contribution. Silvia, are there any hands raised or any comments in the chat room?

SILVIA VIVANCO: I do not see any hands raised at the moment, no.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay, great. So I think we can move on to the next item, which is the next steps. So from this call, our next step is, I think those are also noted as action items to put the introductory items on the questionnaire, one relating to individual members and one that applies to the non-individual members, those whose applications were rejected, so we're to put up that, those introductory sections, and after that is done, we'll also have to identify the questions that apply to individual members and those that apply to those applications that are rejected.

And once that's done, then staff can take over and administer the survey to the respective respondents. So I think that is all. Then also, there's need to send this RALO individual membership vote document on the mailing list for all group members to discuss. I'm not sure if it was sent to the mailing list or to just a few individuals previously, but it will be sent to the mailing list, then we'll continue the discussion on voting rights and finalize that when we consolidate responses from the surveys. I think that's all. I'm not sure if there's anything else I've left out.

SILVIA VIVANCO: I don't see any hands up. I am happy to send the table today to the mailing list for working group members to review it. And I will also circulate the action items of today's call for all of you to review it, and then in terms of the next call, I was looking at the calendar and I know that AFRALO members will take August off as this is the vacation time. But I was wondering if you want to set up the next call perhaps for the week of August 17 or the last week of August as to give more time for AFRALO, taking into account that we should be sending the survey out probably next week and giving members a couple of weeks to reply. So maybe the last week of August will be a good one to regroup. I don't know if you agree.

ISAAC MAPOSA: I'll leave that to the working group members to also put their contribution, which date is okay with them. Any suggestions in the chat?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Abdeldjalil has his hand up.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay, Abdel, you can say.

ABDELDJALIL BACHAR BONG: Thank you, Silvia. You said next week would be too much. I think that we can discuss as a group, and as you mentioned, we need at least two weeks for the survey. Just for the last point, for the survey, it will be good also we can translate the survey in French also because you have

many French speaking members, and so to understand very well and fill the survey. So that's a point I need to add.

And for the voting rights, we can do another meeting why not to invite the secretary of RALO or leadership of RALO, for example, EURALO, APRALO, just to give them five minutes to explain us, and we as a group, working group members, we need to understand deeply the voting rights for individual members in different RALOs. So it would be good also to invite other staff of RALO to come and speak about the rules [inaudible] individual members. So just signed in to add that. Thank you so much.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. Thank you, Abdel. Silvia, you suggested the last week of August as a date for—

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. I see one hand up from Seun.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay, Seun, you have the floor.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Thank you. I just wanted to respond on the suggestion of other RALOs. I think we already have the information that we need which staff has actually presented. The approach which we'll follow is what we have to determine as a RALO, as AFRALO. If there's any clarification that will be

required, since staff are the ones actually implementing this, I'm sure we can always ask staff to provide any clarity. But I think that the solution lies within AFRALO, and I think we can always discuss that within AFRALO. Thanks.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Thank you, Seun. I do agree on that. Since we have the information on hand, it's now up to us as working group members to chart a way forward for our RALO and discuss. Thank you, Seun. I think it's okay. So Silvia, if it's okay, you can set the call for the last week of August.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes. If you all agree, just to have consistency, Thursday the 27th of August at the same time, we can already put that on the calendar without going to Doodles. And that's a good timing because if you want the survey translated into French, we will send it to translation services and that usually takes four days, five days depending on the length and also on the workload. So we should allocate one more week for the survey to be translated. So that adds more time. So that's why on the Thursday the 27th, hopefully we will have replies to the survey, and so we set that up, the call for that date at the same time. Thank you.

ISAAC MAPOSA:

Thank you, Silvia. Thank you so much. I think we are done on that. Is there Any Other Business, anything else?

SILVIA VIVANCO: I don't see any other hands up, Isaac.

ISAAC MAPOSA: Okay. So we are done for today. Thank you, everyone, for attending this call. I do still encouraged for more discussions on the mailing list and contributions on the issues under review document. Thank you so much. Please stay safe, wherever you are. Bye for now. Thank you, everyone. Thank you, staff, and thanks to the interpreters.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Thank you. Bye.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thank you, everyone. The call [inaudible].

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you, everyone. Take care. Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]