
CLAUDIA RUIZ: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening to everyone. Welcome to the Operations, Finance and Budget Working Group call on Wednesday the 8th of July 2020 at 16:00 UTC:

On the call today on the English channel we have Ricardo Holmquist, Maureen Hilyard, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Bukola Oronti on audio only, Abdulkarim Oloyede on audio only as well, Joanna Kulesza, Judith Hellerstein, Nadira AlArj, Marita Moll, Raymond Mamattah, Satish Babu, Bastiaan Gosling, Justine Chew, and Jonathan Zuck.

On the Spanish channel, we have Harold Arcos also on audio only.

We have received apologies from Alfredo Calderon, Sergio Salinas Porto, Holly Raiche, and Alan Greenberg.

From staff, we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management.

Our interpreters for today are Paula and Claudia.

And Javier Rúa-Jovet has just joined the call as well. Thank you very much, and with this, I turn the call over to you, Ricardo.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Thank you very much, Claudia. For today, we have the LAC strategic plan, we have the comments from LACRALO, then we have multi-stakeholder model updates, we have a presentation from Marita, some slides [inaudible] these comments going. And finally, we have our presentation from Sébastien Bachollet from the ATRT3. We also have

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Joanna and some part of the penholders here in the call, so we can decide where to go. There is a proposition from Nadira to comment only on the propositions. That's one proposition. And we might find what to do at the end of the call. So if no further ado, we can start with Harold.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Ricardo, the operator's not able to get a hold of him at the moment, and Sébastien is still not on the call.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: We can go ahead with Marita and the multi-stakeholder model, if Marita doesn't mind to go first, and then wait for Harold to appear. If not, we'll have to see if Sébastien comes in the meantime. Thank you. Please go ahead, Marita.

MARITA MOLL: Okay. Hello everybody. Thank you. I'm going to do my second presentation on the evolving multi-stakeholder model and how we might frame our comments on the current report that's out. Can we do to the slide please?

The last presentation, I talked about how the original 21 issues have been boiled over a period of a year and a half to six issues, and that the following three issues on this slide, prioritization of work and efficient use of resources, precision and scoping in work, and consensus representation and inclusivity, have been determined that these are the three that we're asked to address.

In the last presentation, I talked about how that was an issue in itself because the next three were really core issues, more difficult to address, and one that would actually lead us to a multi-stakeholder system that was more efficient and effective.

These are process things. They're going to go on anyway. However, because this is how the report is laid out, what I'm going to do today is explain to you what we've been offered to respond to kind of tell you how this report is laid out, and we can think about what other kinds of responses we might want to make. I think it's already clear that we do want to identify the fact that the three issues that are at the bottom of the list were the top priorities at the beginning of this whole process.

There's already a bit of a discussion going on on the Wiki which is supporting that [inaudible]. So if you want to say something, chime in on that one. Please put your views down there and we'll frame the report. I mean that's going to be part of the framing of the report. Next slide, please.

I'm not going to be able to really see hands up and things like that, so you're going to have to help me if you see somebody's hands up who really needs to talk right then and there. Otherwise, we'll do the discussion at the very end.

Prioritization of work and efficient use of resources is at the top of the list in the six issues that have been presented. Now, this means not trying to do everything at once, and being able to create the tools to prioritize and make tradeoffs, should that be necessary.

And for each one of the top three issues, this is how it's laid out. You've got a big list of work currently underway. Then you've got some suggestions about ways to do other things. So I'm going to show you here that the list that's been presented for the work currently underway, that will enable prioritization of resources, meaning we're doing these things anyways and there is a focus on prioritization, we're aware of that, and so that's already going on. If we have further suggestions about how this can be better managed, then we should say it.

However, here's the list, here's only four of them, ATRT3 obviously, we're going to be talking about that and that's got some things to do with prioritization and efficient use of resources. So our comment on ATRT3 is going to include our feelings on that. So I'm not totally sure how, when we're doing our comment on the MSM involving, how we're actually going to incorporate all that. So it's a little confusing how we're expected to do this.

In a way, I'm not sure we do have to do all of this. But let's just see the list. Obviously, streamline reviews, SO/AC leadership engagements, some of this is already going on. Next slide, please.

Here's the rest of the list of things that are already going on. And you can see that the goals of the CEO and the cascading goals—I guess you could say this should be one of his goals, but I guess this is obvious. So I'm not sure to what extent our commenting very deeply on this is going to be terribly helpful.

Now, the next slide is where it gets a little more interesting. There's a section after each one that says, what activities over and above the previous list can we do, are already going on. And the goal here is not to add more work to everybody, almost to a fault. These are the added activities or activities we can build on in order to address prioritization of work and efficient use of resources.

Three of them have been suggested in this report. The community could create a yearly priority list or workplan and share it at the SOAC chairs meeting. The community could develop and agree—this is real ICANN-ese. I'm not even sure how to shorten it. Develop a community agreed upon engagement mechanism to facilitate early involvement of chairs to collaboratively prioritize communities' work within the constraints of available budget.

Right. And the other one is, start budget discussions among chairs and Org earlier so there's more time for talking about it, and to prioritize things. So these are the three activities that they're suggesting we could have some input on if we want, create and expand. We can look at these and make some comments on how that could go on.

Yeah, let's carry on. The next slide, bottom line for all of the three issues, so as I said, the goal is to address the issue without adding a burden to community's work. That's laudable. We all know we have too much work.

And then the question is, do these suggestions, like the three that I just offered you on the prioritization, ongoing work and additional actions, will they do the job? What other things can we suggest as a community

of end users to enable? What comments do we want to make regarding the work underway if we want to make any, and there's another whole part in this report about how to evaluate progress. And I will address that maybe next time, but that's also an important part of it, and this, I might suggest we can bring in the bottom three that nothing should be evaluated outside of how it's supporting the other three. But anyways, that's another part.

So here it is. We don't want to add a burden to community work. I think we all agree. But we'll have to carefully think about whether there are other things we can add to these lists without making our lives more difficult. Next slide, please.

So the other two priority issues of the three, one of them is precision in scoping the work. You'll see exactly a similar kind of outline. You can look at the report, you've got a big list of stuff that's already going on, and a few suggestions about what we could add to it.

The one I think that is really interesting for us where we could easily add quite a bit and we already did talk about this a lot in some of our previous reports, discussions and suggestions on the evolving multi-stakeholder model, and that is the consensus representation and inclusivity. Next slide, please.

So on this topic, consensus representation and inclusivity, as I said, I think this is a big opportunity where we can really add. It's really our bailiwick. This is something that we really concentrate on a lot. The only additional actions, the gaps that are being addressed that's offered in the report are webinars on consensus building and PDP 3.0, and

extensions to ICANN Learn. And I think we could definitely—it wouldn't be too difficult to add certainly things to that that we're already doing, already have plans to do, and need some more support.

One of the things I've put in there was maybe we could add supporting community-led initiatives like various schools of Internet governance which are existing [in the form of regional pre-ICANN] schools, virtual schools, but they're kind of out there on a limb, although extremely valuable in terms of expanding the number of people who can participate in this exercise.

So I think that's kind of where I leave you with the slides. So I'm going to open it up for discussion. There are other people on this call that are part of the small group. Do we have any hands up? No, not yet. All right. Other people who are on this call who are part of the team, Sébastien, I [inaudible].

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE:

Hi Marita. Thank you, Marita, for the wonderful presentation. I totally agree with you on the [areas you think we can do,] and I actually think, yes, those are areas which At-Large as a community, how we can actually comment. In terms of prioritization, I also want to say that one area we need to look at is I don't think we've looked at the draft model for reopening of meetings which is talking about having regional meetings before going back to the main meetings, [which is exactly where we're going to go.] And I want us to also probably look in that area to see how the issue of prioritization will be done. And in terms of

multi-stakeholder model, to look at what is going to be the implementation in that direction given the new plan.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Thank you, Abdulkarim. I don't know if you want to answer, Marita, or go ahead with Joanna who has a hand up.

MARITA MOLL: Okay, let's go ahead.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Go ahead, Joanna.

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you, Marita and Ricardo. Just briefly, my first point is that I'm happy to coordinate together with the ATRT3 reporting team on providing a comprehensive approach to advancing the multi-stakeholder model. As we discussed previously on the mailing list, there are a lot of common points and I welcome this opportunity for us to work together.

In terms of the last slide you have here on display where you requested feedback, I think we might want to add the ALS activation plan that has been going on trying to get our ALSes more active, including individual end users as represented through those ALSes or next to those ALSes. And one point that kept coming up was also creating a central database or point of reference for all the capacity building resources that are

being developed. This is something we sort of seem to be struggling with within At-Large. We have a lot of individuals and ALSes who are developing capacity building resources. Göran mentioned this during previous meetings, that it's something that also the Org is looking into. So as much as ICANN Learn is an interesting opportunity, there seems to be a need, a demand for centralized database of capacity building resources of various kinds, including just very simple PowerPoints, video recordings, etc.

As you, I'm certain, recall, we had a discussion around copyright, presenting our ideas in a copyright-friendly manner. So I think that is something we could also add here as a suggestion for ICANN Org to look into a centralized database for capacity building resources.

As I'm on the team, I'm happy to put those directly in the draft as that will be developed, but I thought it might make sense to just flag it here. Thank you very much.

MARITA MOLL: Thank you. Is anyone else on the list?

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Yes, we have Maureen and Sébastien in the queue.

MARITA MOLL: Okay.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Go ahead, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Ricardo and Marita. Just wanted to mention on the prioritization thing, we actually had a face-to-face meeting in January sometime, and the SOAC chairs and Jonathan and Joanna were there as well. So the prioritization thing is definitely on the agenda for the SOAC chairs.

And I liked Abdulkarim's recommendation that the prioritization for At-Large starts from the regions and then comes to a collective viewpoint that we can actually put forward to the SOAC chairs, because I think that's something that the board and Org are actually look at, is using that group to bring those collective ideas forward. But when looking at it, the SOs were actually probably—they're the ones that set a lot of the direction for all of us with regards to the workload, or the work activities that go out into the communities. And so when it comes to prioritization, I think that their role would probably be a priority amongst other priorities. But at the same time, the inputs that each of the groups puts into that discussion.

So if we can say how we can better deal with various topics and that sort of thing, that's going to help us better prioritize what we need to with regards to basically not overloading ourselves in a particular area. But I just thought I'd bring that forward to say that the bottom-up input with regards to how we want to prioritize within At-Large so that that goes to the chairs' meeting. Thank you.

MARITA MOLL: Thank you, Maureen. Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you. I think it's important to try to see what is said in the ATRT3, because there are suggestions on how to organize the work. Of course, it can be done at the level of the chair. I am not sure that it's the best use of the time of our chairs, but that's your call. And at the RALO level, we are working on what are the main issues and we list them. It's one way to prioritize our work. But definitely, it will be important.

I want to come back to the question of why we are talking about three recommendation or issue here and not the three others. In one way, it's to start the work of prioritization, it's not to say that the others not need to be taken into account, but how we prioritize the work. And when we know that there are hundreds of recommendations not yet put into practice, it's something we need to figure out how we will do this. In this specific time, we have no face-to-face meetings, therefore things are going not as fast as before. But maybe it's a good opportunity to decrease the other inputs or workload and to try to figure out what we do with all those recommendations we have in front of us.

And if I am in this list of the four people about penholder, it was to come with the knowledge of what's happened with ATRT3. But happy that Joanna will do the [link] too. Thank you.

MARITA MOLL: Thank you, Sébastien. I think that's the end of the hands up for the moment. Thank you all for your suggestions which are all really

valuable, and Joanna and Sébastien, definitely counting on you to do that articulation between ATRT3 and this. We don't need to repeat all our work here. I don't know whether we can just say we already addressed this in our response to ATRT3 or make some kind of a summary of what we did. That's a key part of this because ATRT3 does come up in that list of work that's going on to address these issues.

Abdulkarim, I missed most of what you said because I was rushing upstairs to plug my computer into another speaker as you weren't coming through properly. I'll go back to it. But anyhow, I want to ask everyone to please put your ideas and thoughts into the Wiki in comments so that we can start figuring out how we can sew this whole thing together. Because in the end, it's always a problem of how to incorporate all of the ideas and where to put them. And Maureen, one of my thoughts when I was going through this is there seemed to be the assumption that chairs could take on more work. And I know that's not true. So that is also an issue. Nadira, I see your hand up. Please go ahead.

NADIRA ALARAJ:

Thank you, Marita. I'm not sure if the question is directed to you or maybe Sébastien, because the three remaining priorities, are there going to be a next stage? Like for example now we have three and next year we'll have another opening for multi-stakeholder to discuss the remaining three? Not sure who will answer this question. Thank you.

MARITA MOLL: I can start, Nadira. I participated in the webinar on this, and I brought up that issue saying, what are we going to do about these three? And they always assure you that they do intend to address those three. but unless people's feet are held to the fire, as we say, they could end up taking a lower priority for sure. So it is up to us to be pretty vocal and hard hitting on making sure that we believe that they've got to be there. We're right now addressing things that are maybe easier to address, but they're not necessarily—that doesn't mean the others are going to go away. So that's something that we need to put in our statement. And as I say, the discussion is already going on on the Wiki. So go ahead and add your points.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Thank you very much, Marita. We're being late by now. We have next Harold Arcos in the queue.

MARITA MOLL: Okay. I'll let you go [inaudible].

HAROLD ARCOS: Hello everybody. The idea is to show my work today. I'm going to briefly speak about LACRALO statement.

INTERPRETER: The interpreters apologize but we're receiving no audio from Harold.

HAROLD ARCOS: [One of these] issues is [inaudible] and we consider [inaudible] plan 2021-25 for LACRALO, it's important to acknowledge that security is also important, particularly with the strategy including the regional ALSes. So in this respect, I recommend a joint session with ALSes so as to generate ambassadors for the DNS security. And the idea is to be the ambassadors of DNS security. [This is so as to] raise awareness in all countries in the region in that respect, and at the same time, really try and [meet] the target. The idea is to create these regional ambassadors so as to have ambassadors in the [inaudible] regions that we have. So we will be covering the whole region.

The second topic of the plan, that is the multi-stakeholder model, of course, we are supporting all the regional stakeholders, and in that respect, we recommend to strengthen the activities in the region. This is being done from the Capacity Building Working Group of LACRALO.

INTERPRETER: The interpreters apologize but the audio from Harold is very faint.

HAROLD ARCOS: The idea is to keep on building capacity within the region and within ICANN's meetings. So within the LAC space for the regional leaders, we prepare a plan to have capacity building sessions during ICANN's meetings. This is in line with the initiative of the Capacity Building Working Group in LACRALO.

The next topic that's very important for our region is unique identifiers. We understand that this is key because for [probably the publication

structure] so that we want a joint [inaudible] with the regional initiative of universal acceptance. This regional initiative of universal acceptance will be developed within LACRALO and within our internal group. And it's a great opportunity to keep up with 3.1.1 of the plan, because in there, the idea is to support research regarding universal acceptance. In that case, LACRALO is developing one of the first initiatives.

And finally, it's important we consider that it is important to articulate our work with the users organizations in our environment so as to have an impact on public policy, taking into account lawmakers, regulators, and all stakeholders and to report to all of them or inform all of them about ICANN's mission. We can see that the ALSes may be [inaudible] so as to generate a critical mass and disseminate the knowledge using the tools that we have to that effect [inaudible] have been included in our statement regarding the 2021-25 for the Latin America and Caribbean region plan.

The other detail included [inaudible] we have discussed all this with the members of the region and I wanted to communicate to you what we have discussed.

INTERPRETER: The interpreters apologize but Harold's audio is very faint.

HAROLD ARCOS: Thank you very much, Ricardo, for the opportunity of speaking to the community and with this, I give the floor back to you.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Thank you very much, Harold. I don't know if someone has a question. I would appreciate if LACRALO can send us the draft of the comment they're doing, so share it with the group here. Thank you very much. Let's go do ATRT3 because we're being late. I see no hands, so please go ahead, Sébastien, with your presentation, and then we might have a discussion at the end of the presentation. Thank you very much.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Ricardo. In this presentation, I tried to put in slides all the recommendations and all the suggestions. Therefore, it's a quite long document and I will not have time to go through all. We already went through some of them but I will go quickly through the recommendations and then I will highlight some of the suggestions that from my point of view have some interest both from At-Large/ALAC point of view or end user point of view. Next slide, please.

The first thing is that we didn't, as ATRT3, were able to handle all the topics which came along the line, and we decided not to take them as it was during the work we were already doing or too much to do. But we suggest that ICANN must handle those topics in one way or another in one of the future reviews. The question of the proposed change of ownership of .org registry, the EPDP, the accountability and transparency issue related to domain name system abuse, it was a topic very popular during ICANN 68 but still, and the consequences for ICANN of COVID-19. Next slide, please.

Here I list five areas where we as the ATRT3 make recommendations. When we write recommendation, it's something will need to be

handled by the board. Suggestion could be or couldn't be, depending on the board and other bodies who are taking care of that. We will go through. Here, we have five recommendations. Let's go to the first one. It's linked with the specific reviews and the organizational reviews also. Next slide, please.

About the specific review, we suggest some amendment with the suspension of RDS and SSR, the ones with registry data services and the other with security and stability review, and we suggest one additional for the one linked with gTLD or new gTLD and after the next round, if next round happens. And we suggest that ATRT follow on with some slight changes we will go through. And we suggest the creation of a holistic review for the future. Next slide, please.

Regarding the organizational reviews, we suggest that the content evolve to take into account continuous improvement program in each SO, AC, and Nominating Committee. Next slide is a diagram, a timeline to see how it could be organized and what are the links of the timing between each of the reviews. We will go through to the next time. Timing consideration, the first holistic review, we consider that it shall start no later than one year after approval by the board of the first ATRT3 recommendation. The next one will start no later than [2.5 years] after approval by the board of the first recommendation of the latest ATRT review. You see that there is a link between the two, holistic and ATRT. And one of the reasons for that is, as you may have seen the previous slides, it allows [through time] of continuous improvement assessment by each SO, AC and NC prior to holding the next holistic review. And one important point, we will come back on that, it's also no

launch of any review before and during the holistic review, this time at least. Next slide, please.

The objective is to review continuous improvement effort by all [inaudible] the effectiveness of the various inter-SO/AC/NC collaboration mechanism, review the accountability of SO and AC and their constituency to their member, and review SO/AC/NC as a whole [inaudible] and determine if they continue to have a purpose in the ICANN structure as it is today, and if we can enhance the work between and within each of the SOACs. Next slide, please.

Organizational review, the continuous improvement program must be established between ICANN Org by each SO and AC with each SO and AC. We hope that a common base will be possible, and customization can be taken into account for each individual SO and AC. And the goal is to be implemented within [19] months of this recommendation being approved by the board. The idea is to have an annual satisfaction survey of the member, at the level for us of the RALOs and in aggregation at the global level.

This would be public and used to support the continuous improvement program as well as an input to the holistic review, and the assessment must be regular, at least every three years to evaluate and report, and publish for public comments, and holistic review will consider therefore a minimum of two assessment reports. And of course, the public comment related to this.

And there is some idea on how it can be done. For SOACs who would like to stay more or less at the same way of doing than today, it could be

conducted by an independent contractor, and for others who want something different, it could be for example an intensive one- to five-day workshop to gather people. I think it would be a little bit strange today with the COVID-19 and no possibility to fly, but I hope that this would be behind us sooner than later. Next slide, please.

And here we change topic. We are talking about prioritization and rationalization of activity, policy and recommendation. And those proposals have to take into account what is already done but suggest some way to go. For example, it shall operate by consensus of the individual SOAC, board and Org members that are participating in the prioritization process. we just discussed that issue about, is it the chair doing that? It's important to take into account that that was suggestion here, it's not just to have chair of SOAC but also one representative of the board and one representative of ICANN Org as a member, not just as an outsider.

And of course, it means that dialog with ICANN Org is important in preparation of the budget. We see that also in the previous discussion. I guess I will go. There was a question of the standard operating and financial plan process. This must be integrated. Let's go to the next slide. If not, I will spend all the time and not be able to answer with my colleague any questions.

As you have seen, two first was high priority. It's specific and organizational review recommendation, it's for us a high priority, for ATRT3, and it's the same for prioritization and rationalization. It's a high priority. Now we start to go in another topic, and it's medium priority. You will see that after that, we have low priority. It's the one about

accountability and transparency to strategic and operational plan, including accountability indicator.

We've done a great job in going in deep detail on accountability indicator. It's part of that and discussion with various parts of ICANN, but with ICANN Org specifically, we came with all the idea. Then ICANN Org in strategic plan and operational plan shall provide a clear and concise rationale in plain language how each goal, outcome and operating initiative is critical to achieve the result of the one it is supporting. And it could be done for all. And ICANN Org in its strategic plan and operational plan shall clearly articulate in plain language specific criteria defining success which shall be smart.

Okay, let's go to next one. We suggest that even for the previous plan, something done to explain where are the success and how it was—I would say calculate or define, and we think of the future one, it's absolutely mandatory. Next slide, please.

And the ICANN Org shall publish an annual status report for all strategic plan and operational plan goals, outcome and operating initiative. And once again, we consider that ICANN Org shall publish an overarching report at the conclusion of each plan but specifically the one who is just finishing at the end of June. Next slide, please.

It's a low priority but still a recommendation, it's about public input. And one of the reasons is because we consider that that must be updated, and there are today public inputs requested in a blog and it's contradictory to the document new have with the way public comments must be collected by ICANN Org. We need to have clearly identified

[inaudible] [with intent to respond, not to] [inaudible] respond but to be sure that those ones will be answering. It needs to have some key question in clear language, and as much as possible, translation, at least of the summary and the key question must be done. And all that result must be included in the staff report on the public comment proceeding. Next slide, please.

I guess it's the last one, the fifth one. It's also a low priority. It's assessment of the implementation of ATRT2 recommendations. It must be implemented. But as you have heard before, we are talking about prioritization and all those ATRT2 recommendations must go through the prioritization process we suggest and we just discussed before.

That was not the last one, sorry. I made a mistake, therefore let's go to the next one. We already got through this one. Here, I tried to gather all the suggestion and there are six items for suggestion and the prioritization of recommendations. In fact, I will do it at the end of the next part of the presentation. Next slide, please.

I tried to add the logo of ICANN At-Large and then put some At-Large color, purple, when I think it could be useful for us to go through, the question of the board should continue supporting cross-community engagement and we consider or ATRT3 suggests that [action request registry for SO,] it's already done, but for advisory committee, and that all must be somewhere on the website and easy to find and easy to follow. Next slide, please.

There is nothing, I think, important. They're all important, but just directly linked with us. The recommendation from ATRT2 was the board

must facilitate the equitable participation in applicable ICANN activity for those ICANN stakeholder who lack financial support of industry player, and atrt3 suggests that ICANN continue to support and enhance the following programs amongst others: fellowship, NextGen, ICANN academy leadership program and CROP. ICANN should also continue to improve the option for remote participation, including captioning. I think it's still very important for At-Large, therefore it's why It's in purple color. Next slide, please.

Public input, it's to support public participation and here, ATRT3 suggests quality management with respect to its language services to know how the work is done for interpretation and translation, and I think it's also one topic useful for us as we use foreign or different languages and it's also useful for end user more general. Next slide, please.

PDP, nothing very special, but we strongly suggest that the proposal must not reduce or restrict the open, equitable and collaborative nature of ICANN's multi-stakeholder model. Next slide, please. Reviews [inaudible] more important, it's in the recommendation. Therefore, I didn't highlight anything here specific. Next slide, please.

Here, it's suggestion with link with the survey, and some other topic. I think it's important to discuss, therefore, for the recommendation—sorry, for the suggestion—next slide, please—for the board. I didn't see anything very specific for At-Large and end user. Next one.

Something about—[the] question was, do you consider the diversity among board members satisfactory? And I have highlighted that ACs

that nominate board member to the ICANN board [inaudible] consider the nomination based on crucial aspect of board diversity giving particular attention to gender criteria and other topics. Next slide, please.

Evaluate result of the implementation of the ITI initiative because we are always requesting more accurate website, easier to handle and to find information, therefore ITI is one of the topics and it's concern as one way or another. Next slide, please. Let's go to 35. You have the link, it could be useful. And the date, the close date is 31 of July, and the next step, and you have also the link with the ATRT3 final report in English, Spanish, French, Russian, Arabic and Chinese, and the same for the prologue and executive summary. Next slide, please.

In the letter ATRT3 sent to the board, there is a suggestion and the board is welcoming community feedback specifically on that issue, and I will read it. Given the recommendation in section 8 of this report—section 8 is about reports—it's proposing significant change to organizational review and specific reviews. ATRT3 strongly suggests that the ICANN board implement a moratorium on launching any new organizational or specific review until it has made a decision on this recommendation. Therefore, it's something we may wish to comment on that. Next slide, please.

It's a summary on one page of the five recommendations, two high priority, one medium and two low priority. And almost the last slide, next slide, is the list of the annexes of the report. And as you can see, the suggestions are coming from Annex A and B, and Annex C is an assessment of the accountability indicator. Some other elements, and

what could be of interest. If you wish, we have some minority statements in Annex H.

And I will stop here and thank you for listening. If you have any questions—you already put some in the chat, but I am not able to do two things at the same time. I am just a single man, but I hope that others have already answered. Cheryl, thank you very much. Any questions, comments, additions? You're welcome.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST:

Thank you very much, Sébastien. I see no hands up. Maybe we can take a few minutes here to talk about the next steps. We have to submit or we should submit a comment by the end of the move. So I'm expecting to have another call, another meeting in the fourth week of July to have by then a draft of the comment. I don't know if we can spend some minutes here[inaudible] the penholders and Sébastien on what you're expecting to be the next steps. Go ahead, Joanna.

JOANNA KULESZA:

Thank you, Ricardo. Just a general question to Sébastien and to Cheryl who was kind enough to answer some of the questions in the chat. Thank you very much for that. If there's a specific focus for At-Large in reviewing the comments, is there anything we should put emphasis on? I know that usually the dissenting statements are those that cause most emotion. I remember us having a discussion around certain issues within the report. I'm wondering if there's anything from your perspective that we should put emphasis on with respect to At-Large [inaudible].

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: What is important to understand is that four representatives from At-Large were very hard workers and involved in that, and a lot of idea, work, proposal are coming from us with our knowledge of what is going on in ALAC and At-Large. Therefore, we hope that At-Large will support this. If not, we will be in trouble. I feel that the recommendation, all of them, but one on the reviews, it's very important. And some of the suggestions could be pushed a little bit more with your input, I am sure. But I hope that globally, the report is one you are comfortable with and ALAC will support it as a whole. And specifically, I will say re view, it's important from my point of view. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Joanna, you've said in chat you're asking how happy we are with the final outcome. Well, the four of us are perfectly happy with the outcome because, as Sébastien just outlined, our fingerprints are all over it. The minority reports that are attached reflect two members who had individual opinions that did not get enough support across the whole of the review team to have their voices effectively heard as ours, and the one that's focused from two members, both of whom were required to put it in on the demand of their component part of the GNSO, so they were instructed to put in their minority report as opposed to inspired to put in their minority report, especially since both of them approved of all of the recommendations when they had their own say.

But to that extent, I think Sébastien will probably agree with me when I say that appears to be an incredible lack of understanding on what quality management systems are, can do, and the fact that it can be customized, should they wish to spend money on independent external examiners, that they can still do so. And remember, that was reflecting not from the whole of the GNSO but a component part of one of the houses of the GNSO. So it's a little bit like a part of a RALO complaining.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST:

Thank you very much, Cheryl, for the clarifications. Seeing no hands, it seems we are pretty clear with this. We have two webinars next week, on 15 and 16. Cheryl already shared in the chat the exact hours. Also, we have our e-mails this information. So feel free to attend to these webinars, one of them at least. [And I don't know if we ended by here.] And I expect we can have a draft for the MSM and the ATRT3 for next meeting. Again, thank you very much, Sébastien, for taking the time for the presentation and Cheryl for giving us all these clarifications.

I don't know if anyone has Any Other Business that they want to share. No, it seems that everybody is pretty sure today. [Too many meetings in this day.] Next call, as I mentioned before, I expect to have them by the fourth week of July. Thank you, everyone, for attending, and have a nice day. The meeting is adjourned.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Thank you, Ricardo. The meeting is adjourned. Everyone enjoy the rest of your day.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]