<u>Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 27 August 2020 – Meeting #51</u>

Meeting #51 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) – Thursday, 27 August 2020 @ 13:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

- 1. Roll Call + SOI Updates
- 2. Recommendation 27: Independent Directors: GDOC [docs.google.com">GDOC [docs.google.com]
 - 3. Recommendation 13: Process Diagram | LINK [docs.google.com]
- 4. Recommendation 24: Standing Committee Process Diagram | LINK [docs.google.com]
 - 5. Next Meeting
 - 6. A.O.B.

Action Items: https://community.icann.org/x/g40GBg SOI blank form: https://community.icann.org/x/g40GBg

Standard Rules of Behavior: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-

06-28-en

06:00:11	From leahsymekher : hi All,		
06:00:18	From Dave Kissoondoyal : Hello everyone		
06:00:24	From Lars Hoffmann : Hi everyone. Just got an audio issue. Can we start 1 min		
late?			
06:00:39	From leahsymekher : sure		
06:01:12	From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok		
06:03:09	From Vanda Scartezini : none from my side		
06:05:31	From Vanda Scartezini : looks ok for me - makes sense		
06:07:49	From Dave Kissoondoyal : I am Ok with this version as well		
06:08:41	From Vanda Scartezini : some times none from outside has enough capacity for		
be at the board. yes			
06:11:53	From Lars Hoffmann: I have to step away for a sec.		
06:13:42	From Lars Hoffmann : back		
06:16:25	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: it is recorded lets get a redraft on this to see over		
the next week if needs be			
06:19:19	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I think it needs alight hand here		
06:19:30	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I woulr not mandate anything re Explanations"here		

	06:19:32	From Vanda Scartezini : leah guess this is a job of the NOMCOM chair to keep
	confidentiality	Trom variau scartezim . Ican guess tins is a job of the Notificott chair to keep
	06:20:50	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: it is getting TOO much into the weeds here
	06:21:24	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the NC's need sufficient autonomy to act each year
	06:22:17	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: what about the scenario when a set of
		ts is highly desirable by a NC this would FORCE change for CHANGES SAKE
	06:23:27	From Vanda Scartezini : i would like to leave as it is written
	06:25:08	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But as written it DOES leave that limitation on ALL
	appointment	Trom energy tanguon on . But as written to bots leave that innitation on ALL
	06:25:16	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: TOO much in the weds!!!
	06:25:40	From Lars Hoffmann : Agreed cheryl
	06:26:24	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : let's see the new text first
	06:28:27	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: We spoke of fundamental rules and others more
able to be tailored did we not		
	06:28:28	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : so I higher barrier to alter SOME of the procedures
	06:28:40	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: how to do that is the question with legal
	06:30:31	From Vanda Scartezini : agree Cheryl
	06:30:31	From Lars Hoffmann: Yes we will provide any answer we will receive.
	06:31:38	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Sorry my internet connection keeps dropping
	06:32:02	From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : Link to google sheet:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1-		
4HCxL4ExgLsvYlz7ui1lpvbmToczXiFXVRFjDM9JIA/edit?usp=sharing		/lz7ui1lpvbmToczXiFXVRFjDM9JIA/edit?usp=sharing
	06:33:54	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I would just leave thos as a "typical or expected flow
	chart	
	06:34:21	From Dave Kissoondoyal: Communication with the community?
	06:34:23	From Vanda Scartezini : yes needs to have some flexibility
	06:34:47	From leahsymekher: yes, does the job enough
	06:35:47	From leahsymekher : the flow is good enough as Cheryl. Vanda noted. Let NC
	have flexibility	

06:35:50	From Vanda Scartezini : agree Cheryl		
06:36:10	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: dates not needed the general flow and milestones do		
enough			
06:36:19	From Dave Kissoondoyal : +1 Lars		
06:36:28	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: it is more about community expectations in any		
given year			
06:36:45	From Dave Kissoondoyal : Adding forum in ICANN meetings etc		
06:37:03	From Vanda Scartezini : for me it is the task of NOMCOM once seated		
06:37:15	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But a NC *COULD* run out of general sync woth		
meeting in fact not meet at of with tem at all (they have in the past			
06:37:36	From Vanda Scartezini : depends on the yearas cheryl said, 2020 problems was		
not expected.			
06:37:54	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: the only drop dead date is the AGM and the pre		
time for trave	l or prep meetings like Board workshops for any orientation in any given year		
06:38:16	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: an order works and a drop dead no later than date		
will do IMO			
06:39:09	From Vanda Scartezini : but the NOMCOM Chair shall have flexibility out of		
	From Vanda Scartezini: but the NOMCOM Chair shall have flexibility out of tes as AGm, travel etc		
	·		
those fixed da	tes as AGm, travel etc		
those fixed da 06:39:27	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: no later than x months etc., works well enogh		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini: yes		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34 06:39:41	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini : yes From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34 06:39:41 06:39:51	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini : yes From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34 06:39:41 06:39:51 of graphic	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini : yes From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : let's see what the message is in the gussied up form		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34 06:39:41 06:39:51 of graphic 06:42:27	tes as AGm, travel etc From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini : yes From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : let's see what the message is in the gussied up form From leahsymekher : agree with cheryl		
those fixed da 06:39:27 06:39:34 06:39:41 06:39:51 of graphic 06:42:27 06:42:43	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: no later than x months etc., works well enogh From Vanda Scartezini: yes From Dave Kissoondoyal: ok From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: let's see what the message is in the gussied up form From leahsymekher: agree with cheryl From Vanda Scartezini: + 1 cheryl		

06:51:01	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It should just be part od an annual and standard		
budget process managed by staff in any year			
06:51:52	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: so there is a bucket of funds the SC will know and		
have interaction (if needs be) but the process can be the standard annual processes			
06:54:21	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: NO need if there is GOOD budget processes		
06:54:53	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: any EXCEPTIONAL request would still need to be		
managed in an exceptional way WE don't need to codify that			
06:55:29	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Agree Vanda		
06:55:46	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: a good budget has provision for enough		
06:56:09	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: most exceptions are either luxury or can be done		
another year anyway IMO			
06:58:21	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Before we all go can we note our thanks to Lars for		
all his work with us as it is his last meeting on our books so to speak			
06:58:22	From leahsymekher: in agreement so far		
06:58:42	From Dave Kissoondoyal: Thanks a lot Lars we will miss you		
06:59:02	From Vanda Scartezini : huge success lars		
06:59:14	From Vanda Scartezini : thanks a lot LArs		
06:59:15	From Arinola Akinyemi : Thanks a lot Lars. All the best		
06:59:45	From Dave Kissoondoyal: Thanks and bye to all		