

Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 20 August 2020 – Meeting #50
Meeting #50 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –
Thursday, 20 August 2020 @ 19:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

1. Roll Call + SOI Updates
2. Recommendation 10: Input received from GNSO Chairs
3. Recommendation 24: Standing Committee Process Diagram
4. Recommendation 27: Independent Directors (status)
5. Next Meeting
6. A.O.B.

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

11:57:41 From leahsymekher : Hi All, Leah here. Greetings!

12:00:25 From Tom Barrett : hi

12:00:51 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Hello everyone

12:01:44 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : We have received apologies from Nadira.

12:02:30 From Raoul Plommer : The NPOC/NCSG statement is yet to come, apparently we got an extension until tomorrow

12:02:44 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Congrats Lars for your new appointment

12:02:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : overwatch is a fine job

12:03:16 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Welcome Jennifer :-)

12:03:22 From Larisa Gurnick : Hello everyone!

12:03:30 From jennifer.bryce : Thanks! Hi everyone

12:04:15 From leahsymekher : Thanks Lars. You have been a great support. Jean-Baptiste will be great I am sure. Welcome Jennifer.

12:04:21 From Raoul Plommer : In the NomCom review assessment report that was published in 2018, it says that "traditionally the GAC has not appointed a person to the

NomCom. A GAC working group is currently evaluating if, and how, the GAC can participate in the NomCom."

Has this been resolved?

12:04:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Jennifer is one of my favourite people Lars Rest assured

12:05:12 From Lars Hoffmann : That is a good question, Raoul. I am not sure - we can follow up with staff.

12:05:58 From Lars Hoffmann : Cheryl, we have that in common.

12:06:45 From jennifer.bryce : I'll send the cheques in the mail ;)

12:08:17 From Raoul Plommer : I'm preparing the NCSG statement for which we were told that the DL is tomorrow (of the extension)

12:09:30 From Yvette Guigneaux : Here is the link for the letter from the IPC currently being displayed in case anyone needs it -

<https://community.icann.org/display/OR/Meeting+%2350+-+NomCom+Review+Implementation+Working+Group+%28NomComRIWG%29+-+Thursday%2C+20+August+2020+@+13%3A00+UTC?preview=/140247391/144377719/Call%20for%20Action%20IPC.pdf>

12:13:15 From Raoul Plommer : Thanks for that Cheryl :)

12:14:02 From Raoul Plommer : 4 seats for the commercial SG and 1 for non-commercial...

12:14:28 From Raoul Plommer : What imbalance the reviewers might have had in mind...?

12:15:09 From Raoul Plommer : It's not a multi stakeholder model, it's a business model.

12:16:21 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : And the issue is also @Leah that the gTLDs are not ****ALL**** that ICANN does

12:16:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : so this is a bit of tail trying to wag the dog IMO

12:17:05 From leahsymekher : Yes Cheryl..

12:19:02 From Vanda Scartezini : sorry to enter late, was in another call...

12:19:10 From Vanda Scartezini : good day to everyone

12:19:23 From Yvette Guigneaux : No worries Vanda, welcome

12:20:07 From leahsymekher : in agreement with Cheryl's views

12:21:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Raoul do you think we should reduce the number of seats to the GNSO to say 3 or 5?? that would be more disruptive to the future of GNSO

12:22:08 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yup

12:22:16 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Agree with the change in the bylaws will facilitate the rebalancing

12:22:47 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : it is indeed a way to open up the opportunity for future well designed rebalancing work

12:23:04 From leahsymekher : Agree with Tom's view...

12:23:17 From Vanda Scartezini : yes Cheryl and agree with TOM we are not unsigned with the letter

12:23:51 From Vanda Scartezini : in disagreement

12:23:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and all other responses should also become part of our public received input

12:24:03 From Vanda Scartezini : yes go ahead TOM

12:24:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : it's one SG of the GNSO yes

12:24:31 From Raoul Plommer : Yeah, we still feel 4:1 is ridiculously unfair. At least this is that one issue I can explain to any person on the street and they will agree with me.

12:25:58 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : indeed Raoul but giving GNSO *an additional seat* is too simplistic a way to resolve that issue in terms of the rest of the ICANN Community

12:27:18 From Raoul Plommer : This laughs at the face of legitimacy and NCSG is growing seriously tired in legitimising ICANN with inadequate resources and having 4 times less delegates in key committee that decides ICANN's leadership.

12:28:11 From Raoul Plommer : I asked in the beginning of the call if GAC has reached any conclusions on whether they can use the NomCom seat or not

12:28:29 From Tom Barrett : suggestion: bias

12:28:32 From Tom Barrett : bias

12:28:58 From Tom Barrett : replace "conflicts of interest" with "bias"

12:29:47 From Raoul Plommer : We're not suggesting to increase GNSO seats at NomCom, the best way is to take one extra seat off CSG that they don't even have a constituency for.

12:31:02 From Vanda Scartezini : ok cheryl good idea...

12:31:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Personally I hear you Raoul, but the increase option is another thing we hear along with just give the GNSO the unused GAC seat...

12:32:27 From Raoul Plommer : Then we could have two for each SG. Now THAT would finally reflect the GNSO.

12:33:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : But balance IN the NC is then????

12:33:59 From Raoul Plommer : NCSG is totally fine with rebalancing again later and then adjusting the 7 seats to more or less, but the current imbalance is very obvious and it is very easily fixed.

12:34:08 From Raoul Plommer : No bylaws change necessary.

12:34:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the Bylaws state business gest 2

12:34:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : gets

12:37:01 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : so would "wherever practical and Possible" work there??

12:37:36 From Vanda Scartezini : sound ok Cheryl

12:38:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : one or More

12:40:37 From Vanda Scartezini : at least one since the most relevant is capacity of such candidate

12:48:33 From Leahsymekher : who would determine..the picket fence..in/out ?

12:49:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : fundamental OP's and Discretionary Guideleinf in the OPs

12:49:42 From Vanda Scartezini : thanks lars

12:51:19 From Lars Hoffmann : The Nominating Committee shall adopt such operating procedures as it deems necessary, which shall be published on the Website.

12:52:03 From Vanda Scartezini : yes - tabla rasa...

12:52:08 From Tom Barrett : good word
12:52:37 From leahsymekher : agree with Cheryl..need fixing
12:53:25 From Vanda Scartezini : ok good progress..
12:53:48 From Vanda Scartezini : nice week fellows
12:53:51 From Raoul Plommer : bye
12:53:56 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all