Meeting #43 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –
Thursday, 2 July 2020 @ 13:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

1. Roll Call + SOI Updates
2. Budget Requests Status, and Next Steps
3. Recommendation 24: Standing Committee Charter
4. Recommendation 7
5. Next Meetings
6. A.O.B

Action Items: https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg
SOI blank form: https://community.icann.org/x/bhpIBg
Standard Rules of Behavior: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en

---

06:00:04   From Dave Kissoondoyal : Hello everyone

06:00:16   From Tom Barrett : hi Dave!

06:00:21   From Yvette Guigneaux : Hello Dave, welcome

06:01:00   From Dave Kissoondoyal : Thanks and glad to be here

06:02:31   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : audio not good for me

06:02:51   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Tom's I mean

06:02:58   From Vanda Scartezini : became good again

06:04:40   From Yvette Guigneaux : Tom we hear you

06:04:52   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : much better now Tom

06:08:37   From Dave Kissoondoyal : will the funding be added to the budget on the NomCom allocation or separately?

06:08:44   From Vanda Scartezini : we need to see the general review budget as they say to see if we have any chance to get some budget for this review implementation

06:15:39   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : remember this is an *IF* 3rd party vendor is required for... … …

06:16:16   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : ICANN Comms Dept is often produces new and refreshed content to match needs of AC/SO's etc.,

06:16:53   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Coms might just slot us in their que

06:18:09   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Again COmms or if needs be IT depts. need to answer this

06:18:11   From Vanda Scartezini : i believe will be not a problem

06:20:03   From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : Link to Standing Committee Charter: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1AL-D6D4vfEeNw1bqHtFIJKHCjcIMCuTVSch-y-mPp9A/edit?usp=sharing

06:25:30   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : SO you just want to additionally Sub Categorise the points in the "Scope of Responsabilities

06:25:47   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 5-7 feels ok to me

06:26:50   From leahsymekher : 5 is good unless we have guidelines for a specific # or process for this

06:27:24   From Vanda Scartezini : agree 5-7 looks ok

06:30:16   From leahsymekher : I suggest rotation of representation e.g. ALAC by region ..BC as well

06:30:43   From Vanda Scartezini : ALAC is not identified among the 4 members…

06:31:18   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : :-)

06:31:31   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I can help teach them to spell

06:32:32   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Correct OVERALL Diversity is better found from a EOI exercise and the OEC could run that from my perspective

06:32:54   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : it allows for an Overall design approach

06:33:21   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We should set the parameters for such a system to work in

06:33:22   From leahsymekher : a mechanism to void a 'revolving door' effect'

06:34:19   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Also one AC for example may NOT wish to select anyone   We don't know yet

06:36:53   From Dave Kissoondoyal : There are 4 advisory committees and 3 supporting organisations. We have 1 from ALAC each year and the remains 6 alternate each year. ALAC should be requested to alternate their regional representations year after year

06:37:24   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Criteria for what you need to be to get on it 1st

06:37:43   From Vanda Scartezini : yes dave, this is easy inside ALAC- may be alphabetic order

06:38:12   From leahsymekher : Agree with Dave

06:38:36   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Pick out of a damn hat *if* they are suitably qualified

06:39:45   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Term limits and churn are also early decisions to be made

06:40:25   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : but if you make it an endorsed EOI system some other teams do excelent

06:42:15   From leahsymekher : 5 is too long..3 seems good

06:43:17   From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes 3

06:43:32   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 3 makes sense

06:45:55   From Vanda Scartezini : yes. agree but I agree EoI looks more open and transparent way for the community

06:46:38   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Endorsed EOI

06:47:01   From leahsymekher : EOI can still require diversity…

06:47:34   From Vanda Scartezini : EoI is the best with the endorsement of the AC/SO and them selected among all endorsed

06:48:46   From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes serving to the Nomcom is mandatory

06:49:53   From Vanda Scartezini : I believe we need the endorsement

06:49:54   From leahsymekher : endorsements is always ..helpful…

06:50:05   From leahsymekher : not mandatory

06:50:45   From Dave Kissoondoyal : So the applicant should have served on the Nomcom previously and endorsed by the AC/SOs

06:51:18   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Running the meeting COuld be rotation

06:51:21   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : rather than

06:51:27   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : appointed

06:54:54   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : They are not developing Policy or picking

appointments, they are wisdom and oversight!

06:55:18   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : they have specified watch requirements and

activities to do

06:55:46   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : switch out the term Chair for Convenor perhaps

06:56:05   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : then there is even less BS associated with the term

06:56:51   From leahsymekher : agree with Vanda's suggestion group to select chair

06:57:01   From Dave Kissoondoyal : agree

06:57:17   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the ExOfficiao Member Seat 1 is additionally

attractive as a convenor as they are most current and known from recent NC's

06:58:16   From Vanda Scartezini : ok for me.

06:58:18   From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Why do we fo back to Nadira's ??

06:58:19   From Dave Kissoondoyal : Looks good

06:59:28   From Dave Kissoondoyal : Agree with TOM

07:01:00   From Dave Kissoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all

07:01:10   From leahsymekher : bye