

Zoom Chat Transcript
Webinar 1
ATRT3 Final Report– 15 July 2020

00:49:04 Brenda Brewer: Good day all! Welcome to the Webinar Presentation - ATRT3 Final Report. This is Webinar #1 taking place on 15 July 2020 at 15:30 UTC. This presentation is recorded. Participant lines should remain muted during the webinar.

Questions will be taken at the end of the presentation. To ask a question, raise your virtual hand and when called upon, kindly state your name. then proceed to ask your question. Also, You may enter question in the Zoom Group Chat box.

When submitting a question in chat to be read out loud, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>

The link to the wiki page is here; <https://community.icann.org/x/pIFIC>

You can find the presentation on this page.

Thank you!

01:04:58 Steve DelBianco (BC): Still no Organization Review for the GAC.

01:07:09 Nadira AL-ARAJ: (question) who are the members of the NomCom to cover the satisfaction survey? are they the receiving bodies? (end question)

01:08:02 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: We will look at that @Steve in Q and A thanks for the note

01:08:42 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Noted Nadira we will discuss that in Qand

01:08:47 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: A

01:09:13 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: NEXT SKIDE Brenda

01:15:13 Wolf-Ulrich Knob: <Question>How is "Consensus" identified compared to "full Consensus"?<Question>

01:15:54 Brenda Brewer: Questions will be taken at the end of the presentation. To ask a question, raise your virtual hand and when called upon, kindly state your name. then proceed to ask your question. Also, You may enter question in the Zoom Group Chat box.

When submitting a question in chat to be read out loud, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>

The link to the wiki page is here; <https://community.icann.org/x/pIFIC>

You can find the presentation on this page.

Thank you!

01:18:07 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: @Wolf-Ulrich We generally utilised the criteria used in the GNSO Guidelines for that. So Full Consensus is just that No Objections or abstain in our consensus call and Consensus was where an abstain or objection was noted

01:18:36 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: However our con=ver letter and Reports lists the exact results of the Consensus call

01:19:02 Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Thanks Cheryl

01:19:24 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: pleasure

01:19:35 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: sorry about my typos ;-)

01:24:04 Pat Kane (VRSN): Tola is with us as well

01:24:15 Pat Kane (VRSN): hello Tola

01:27:44 Adetola Sogbesan: Hi Pat

01:29:57 Nadira AL-ARAJ: thanks Cheryl

01:30:23 Steve DelBianco (BC): what is the scope of the Holistic Review, and could it recommend changes to structure and composition of the ICANN Board and GNSO?

01:31:31 Steve DelBianco (BC): Are the AC/SO Self-assessments intended to match the AC/SO Accountability recommendations that were approved in WS2?

01:33:31 susankawaguchi: There is a common theme from RTs that the previous recommendations were not fully implemented although, ICANN org states they were. Would the community-led entity tasked with prioritization address the previous recommendations also?

01:35:41 Vanda Scartezini: please Brenda - susan is a question above

01:35:56 Vanda Scartezini: has a question

01:37:15 susankawaguchi: Thanks Vanda!

01:37:51 Fiona Alexander: Congratulations on a great report. Enhancing ICANN accountability and transparency will be a continuous effort and this is wonderful addition on that journey

01:39:25 Abdulkarim oloyede:Thanks Cheryl,

01:39:59 Pat Kane (VRSN): thanks Fiona

01:40:00 Vanda Scartezini: thanks Fiona

01:40:34 Adetola Sogbesan: @Fiona: Many thanks.

01:42:54 Nadira AL-ARAJ: yes, some of the ATRT not implemented could be retired

01:44:51 Fiona Alexander: Just an observation, there should be space and comfort in also assessing that a previous review team recommendation misdiagnosed a challenge and/or solution. That could lead to retirement of a recommendation as well.

01:44:52 Abdulkarim oloyede:but I think there is a need for a slight improvement to the current process which is not just having access to who said what but how does the final outcome was arrived it. I agree that it's done well with the policy process but on some other reports it seems to just stay as comment it's there and that is it. hope you get what I mean.

but I congratulate the ATRT3 team on this report. it's a lot of hard work and one can tell from the report

01:45:06 Taylor Bentley (ISED, Canada): thoroughly enjoyed!

01:45:13 Ricardo Holmquist: Thank you very much to all ATRT3 for the presentation, great!

01:45:20 Taylor Bentley (ISED, Canada): thanks so much for everyone's hard work, openness, and trust-building!

01:45:36 Vanda Scartezini: thanks Abdul! good point

01:45:52 Herb Waye: Thank you for the presentation, stay safe everyone

01:46:31 Vanda Scartezini: thanks Taylor!

01:47:00 Taylor Bentley (ISED, Canada): hah yes, please take my compliments into account

01:47:03 León Felipe Sánchez Ambía: Thanks everyone

01:47:04 Vanda Scartezini: thank you so much !!

01:47:07 Taylor Bentley (ISED, Canada): I demand it

01:47:13 Taylor Bentley (ISED, Canada): : D

01:47:14 León Felipe Sánchez Ambía: Thanks Cheryl, Pat and team for presenting this to us

01:47:15 Adetola Sogbesan: Thanks all.

01:47:16 Marita Moll: Lots of reading ahead

01:47:25 Desiree_Miloshevic: thank you all

01:47:28 Danko Jevtović: thank!!!

01:47:30 Adam Peake: thank you