YEŞIM NAZLAR:
Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the At-Large Leadership Team ALT-PLUS monthly call, taking place on Wednesday 17th of June 2020 at 18:00 UTC.

On our call today we have Maureen Hilyard, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Barrack Otieno, Abdulkarim Oloyede, Ricardo Holmquist, Eduardo Diaz, Justine Chew, Marita Moll, Yrjö Lansipuro, Joanna Kulesza, Sébastien Bachollet, Ali AlMeshal, Dave Kissoondoyal, Glenn McKnight.

We have received apologies from Holly Raiche and from Satish Babu, and from staff side we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Evin Erdoğdu, and myself, Yeşim Nazlar. I will also be doing call management for today's call.

Before we get started, just a kind reminder to please state your names before speaking for the transcription purposes, please. As you know, we have real-time transcription service providers on today's call, and for this call the link is slightly different than the other calls.

So, I've just shared the link with you on the chat. Please do use that link to check the service. And now, I would like to leave the floor back over to you, Maureen. Thanks so much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:
Thank you very much, Yeşim. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Welcome to the June meeting of the ALT-PLUS. We're probably going to have a little bit of a shortened meeting today because we're jam-packed between two meetings.

We have had a meeting with the GAC and we'll be moving onto the CPWG meeting at the top of the hour. So, there is quite a bit of work to get through, today, following the usual policy activities.

Then, we'll get any updates from our RALO chairs. I think in the lead-up to ICANN68 there may be some issues that RALO chairs, liaisons, and working group chairs may want to raise.

Also remembering that, although we normally have it a longer time, the ALT-PLUS meetings are the time for the discussions so that we can actually dispense with the usual talking about issues, so we don't have to intrude on ALAC meeting time.

And it involves the whole group of the ALAC members who come, as well as the ALT members, of course, who are part of this group. But ALAC members are invited. But getting that perspective of RALO chairs, and liaisons, and working group chairs.

But the other things that we were going to be mentioning, of course, is just getting a brief update on ICANN68. [Just rules] of the work that Gisella and the leads have actually done in preparing the schedule. It has been brilliant. And then, finishing off with an introduction to the post-ATLAS III service analysis report from Eduardo.

First of all, is there anything that anyone else would like to add to the agenda, or any amendments or anything? Okay. Oh, that's great. Thank you. Let's move on, then, to our program. Action items. There weren't any action items, were there? Action items? Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. Maureen, no, there were no action items

MAUREEN HILYARD: Ah, yes. I was thinking, I know that last time there weren't any either. I was just trying to recall. Okay. Done. Next. We are then moving onto policy, of course. Thank you. Brief.

EVIN ERDOĞDU: Thanks, Maureen. I'll just start with the summary and hand it over to Jonathan and Olivier, as usual. There are quite a few statements that were recently ratified by the ALAC since the last ALT-PLUS meeting. The most recent one was submitted today.

                                  This was actually ratified before submission. So, thanks to Justine, and Maureen, and the ALAC to ratifying that statement and finalizing before the deadline. And the executive summary will soon be up there, as well.

                                  In addition, the draft PTI FY 2021-2024 Strategic Plan statement was submitted, as well as an AFRALO statement on the ICANN Africa Regional Plan for FY 2021-2025. That was ratified by both the AFRALO Leadership Team and the ALAC.

                                  And two more statements on the addendum to the ePDP 2, as well as Phase 1 Initial Report of All Rights Protection Mechanisms were submitted, as well. So, those executive summaries are there.

                                  There is one public comment for decision, which came out just yesterday, I believe. This was the Third Accountability and Transparency Review Team, the ATRT3 final report. This closes on the 31st of July.

And there are several current statements in development. One statement is the ccNSO PDP3, which has received a couple of comments on the workspace. This is being assigned to the CPWG, and Hadia and Barrack Otieno are working on the drafting team for this statement.

There is a potential LACRALO statement in development regarding the Latin America and Caribbean Regional Strategic Plan for FY 2021-2025, and this is being shepherded by the Operations, Finance, and Budget Working Group.

Harold Arcos and Sergio Salinas Porto have confirmed they would like to develop a statement, so this is in motion. And finally, there's Enhancing the Effectiveness of ICANN's Multi-Stakeholder Model. The drafting team consists of Marita, who has contributed to this topic before, Abdulkarim, Nadira, and Sébastien Bachollet. This is also being shepherded by the OFB Working Group.

And there are a few new resources for in advance of ICANN68. There is a new section on the At-Large website showing all At-Large policy sessions at ICANN meetings, including the At-Large and DNS abuse topic and At-Large and Universal Acceptance topic. And the At-Large DNS abuse videos have been confirmed and uploaded in three languages.

And of course, there's a link to the pre-ICANN68 policy report. So, that's all there on the agenda, and I'll share some links in the chat. Thanks. I'll turn it over to Jonathan or Olivier. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Jonathan, anything? Is Jonathan there?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm not sure.

MAUREEN HILYARD: No, I don't see them.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay.

YEŞIM NAZLAR: Maureen, I'm not seeing Jonathan on the call right now.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right. He was on the previous call, so maybe he's just coming across. It's okay. It's okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Olivier is here.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Evin always gives a very, very comprehensive report, anyway. No, I think that one of the great things, too, about our table, here, is that we do get to see the sharing of the responsibility of the work that we're actually doing between the CPWG and the OFBWGs. So, that's excellent. Okay. So, if there are no questions. Ah, is see Ricardo. Ricardo has his hand up.

RICARDO HOLMQUIST: Hello, Maureen. No, just to mention that, maybe, we can split this comment by the group [CPU], because it's mentioned as a policy and it's not all DNS-related or CPWG-related. So, I don't know if we can, for our next meeting, begin to split this, two groups, being that there are enough comments in one and the other. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I'm not quite sure if I quite understand that. The table actually talks about current statements, whether they're advice or comments from ALAC total. So, I think that what we've done is we have actually split them and identified that some of the ALAC statements are DNS-related, and therefore they are carried out by the CPWG, and others that are not. They are more operational, being done by the OFB.

So, it just gives everyone a big picture of what is actually happening in the policy area by the two groups. Is that okay? Anyway, we'll talk offline about what it is that you might be suggesting, there. Sébastien's hand is up.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Maureen. I guess Ricardo was contemplating within the OFB Working Group. It's not just policy; it's also organizational, and so on and so forth. It's like, maybe the title at the beginning.

It's ALAC policy activities. It may be that the main question or how we ... But I appreciate that, now, we have a CPWG and OFBWG for each item,

and that's a good progress in the way we are taking care of those topics. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Great. Okay, thank you. Olivier, lovely to see you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen. Can you hear me now? Testing?

MAUREEN HILYARD: You may have the floor, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No, I'm not heard. Okay. This is a bit of a pain. Okay.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeşim, is there a problem?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Testing, test, testing, one, two three.

MAUREEN HILYARD: [cross talk] Olivier's connection?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm well heard, so there's a disconnection, again, between [cross talk].

YEŞIM NAZLAR: [cross talk]. Unfortunately, we're not able to. Let's try once again. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah? Testing, one, two, three.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, testing. Yes, please [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now it's working. Okay. It looks like the Adigo bridge was muted, so that's why. Yeah. Thank you, Maureen. Can you hear me now?

MAUREEN HILYARD: We certainly can, yes. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, perfect. Okay. Thanks. No, I've been trying to speak, actually, for quite some time, but I was unmuted, but the Adigo bridge itself was muted into the Zoom. Anyway, no.

Just to let you know, on the ccNSO PDP 3.0, it's interesting because it's a process that has been many, many years in the making. There is an end-user angle to it because, yes, there are some ccTLDs that have been around forever, even though the actual country or territory that is there, that it calls, has been deleted from the ISO 3166 list.

So, it's an interesting process. I'm not sure that it will be … Well, I think it needs as much support from the ICANN community as possible, because I just wonder how, without having any contract with the ccTLDs, ICANN is going, even if it has a process, be able to delete some ccTLDs that do not want to be deleted for whatever reason it is that they want to stay on.

So, that's what I wanted to add. On the points that Ricardo has made regarding the OFB Working Group, indeed, at the moment, these still appear to be listed in the CPWG calls, but I guess that it is an opportunity for participants in the CPWG to be pointed to the OFB Working Group call, and I think this is the way that we'll start treating those from now on.

So, they'll be listed in the table but we'll say, "For more information, please follow up on the Organizational and Finance Budget Working Group. That's it. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you, Maureen. So, yes, I wanted, also, to speak about that topic. So, I have set a Google Doc that I have actually started drafting, and I shared it with Barrack. I might be able to put it today on the Wiki page, so maybe we can see it during the Consolidated Policy Working Group call. I'm not sure, though, that Barrack did take a look at it.

And Olivier also put a comment on the Wiki page. It also speaks to not only the registrants but also to the interests of the Internet users. So, where the business of the Internet users are linked to the retired ccTLD, the interest of the Internet users linked also to the … Will be directly affected, and therefore need to be considered as part of the interest of the registrants.

Because the retirement process says that the policy determines that the expectations of both the IANA naming functions operations and the functioning manager will work together in good faith to ensure the interests of the registrants are taken into consideration, as well, of course, as the security and stability of the DNS.

But again, the interests of the registrant should include the interests of the users. The process itself is good but there are minor issues. In case, for example, the ccTLD does not have a manager, in that case … And therefore, the IANA naming functions operator is not actually allowed to redelegate responsibilities or transfer responsibilities of a ccTLD manager.

However, according to this process, the IFO, or the IANA naming functions operator, could transfer the responsibility to a manager called a "functional manager." That is, obviously, required because, unless you have that, you could end up in a deadlock situation where you cannot actually retire the ccTLD.

However, that process would require transparency and due process in order to avoid any unexpected disruption to the process. So, yeah, I just

wanted to update you about what we've been up to in relation to the ccNSO PDP3. And thank you, Olivier, for your comment on the Wiki page.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Thank you, Hadia, for that further information on that topic. Do encourage more people to go to the CPWG meeting to learn more. Okay. So, are there any other … Hadia, is that hand going down, or is that still up? Okay, great. No other questions or queries. Let's, then, move on.

We're onto the RALO Chairs, if they have anything that they want to raise at this particular point in time, remembering that they can actually sort of … They have all these strategies but there will be other points of reference with regard to reporting links that they will have and, also, more information can come at the ALAC meeting. But if there's anything prior to ICANN68 that people may wish to raise re. RALO chairs? If not … Oh, Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you very much, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Just very short. We had our monthly call yesterday and we get through the usual topic, like outreach and engagement, and policy, and what we already discussed here.

I want to just tell you that, for the first time, I guess, we are restarting. We ask some ALSes to give feedback on what they are doing, currently, linked with ICANN. Therefore, we had two or three ALS will give us a report. And we also gave the floor to a representative from GSE and, of course, to our loved vice-chair of the board, and it was very useful. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much, Sébastien. Eduardo.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you. I just wanted to mention that, in the last monthly meeting, I presented the strategic plan. [Is that my] music? No. Okay. So, strategic plan for FY 2021 and for our region.

Basically, we proposed to do an experiment within the next 12 months of using the monthly meeting for capacity-building, mostly because there is no such thing as an "outreach plan." We're all briefly in our houses, in our places, so I doubt you can do so much outreach that way.

So, we are focusing on engagement with our members. So, [we're going to experiment,] we're going to have small webinars and discussions on specific topics that were identified by the survey that we sent to our membership.

All the [inaudible] reports and things that we discuss every month that can be reported via written report, we will send those through our newsletters and our list, just to keep people up on that.

EN

Obviously, if there is something important to announce, we will announce that at the beginning of our meeting. And we're going to measure this, is we will see if the increased participation not only in our region but also on the CPWG and the OFBWG meetings. We're going to track those attendances. We're not tracking people, we're talking about total, the aggregate attendance. That will tell us if this is working or not. Thank you so much.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Wow, that sounds quite exciting, Eduardo. Really looking forward to getting a report on the follow-up of that. Thank you very much. Okay. So, no more hands. Are there any reports from liaisons/working group chairs? Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks, Maureen. Very briefly, just to let you all know that it was only a few hours ago that the final agenda for the GNSO Council meeting, which will be held during ICANN68 at—let me check—05:00 UTC on the 24th of June was posted.

I have copied that into my liaison Wiki space, so it should be really accessible to the At-Large community. Notable, however, is that it will be a different webinar room for that meeting than the normal GNSO Council meeting.

So, please, if you are joining—and I hope you will do—either go to the main ICANN68 calendar or go to my space and click the webinar room that is underneath the agenda title that is listed there.

But notable, for those of you who do want to join us, will be there is only one vote, and that's on the transfer policy. But we will be talking about the Expedited Policy Development Process on the temporary spec [fund, too], and I know there's a lot of interest in that out of all the work that's being done with CPWG, etc., in that area.

So, it might be well worthwhile, any of you who hold a great interest in that, to join us for that council discussion to get the council perspective on what some of the issues are, there. I'd like to encourage that. That will be at least 20 minutes' worth of conversation in the whole of the meeting, so please do come along for that.

There will also be another 15 minutes or so on GTLD Auction Proceeds and another 15 minutes or so on the Work Stream 2 Implementation Assessment Report.

And I guess one thing—and I'm only cherry-picking for the agenda—that some of us will be pleased to see is that, in any other business, and we in the council have listed any other business that is attended to, point 10.1 is DNS abuse.

It was a subject of discussion at the last council meeting. Whilst it's not a substantive item for the ICANN68 agenda, it is a particular touchpoint to further the discussions leading up to what we will assume will be further of the GNSO Council's contribution on this topic in future. And I think that some of all of the work that has been done in that, including, and in particular, by At-Large, is having a difference. So, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:	Thank you, Cheryl. That's really heartening to hear, that the GNSO is actually recognizing At-Large and our intense efforts in that area in particular. But I think that having—and Yrjö is probably going to mention it—the really great interaction that we actually had with the GAC today. Yrjö.
YRJÖ LANSIPURO:	Yes. Thank you, Maureen. Yes, we just had a meeting with the GAC, and intersessional meeting. There will be no meeting with the GAC during the ICANN68 proper, but this was a good meeting.
	The main item was SubPro, and the very comprehensive presentation by Justine on issues, SubPro issues where we might have common ground with the GAC. I write a report on that, obviously, for the report Wiki. Thank you.
MAUREEN HILYARD:	Thank you, Yrjö. Yes, it was an interesting meeting, and a great presentation by Justine and our other presenters, too. So, yes, we're making our mark. Okay Is there anyone else from …? That's sort of all the groups that want to put forward something at this particular point in time.
	So, let's go to ICANN68. We are, first of all, just having a look at the sessions. Of course, they're all for the four days. The schedule is actually listed and it's very … I mean, one of the things that has been mentioned in this list, too, is that if there are any other sessions, and I know that the GNSO session on Wednesday has actually been included.

And first of all, Monday, starting off with the welcoming session, as is our normal. Jonathan's introducing the talking points, which he's going to be talking about very shortly.

Again, the introductory capacity-building session that Joanna is taking is our first-off policy session and it would be really good to have everyone's attendance at that, of course.

And then, we move into, of course, the plenary session, which is the ICANN one. Then, followed by Holly's session on the PICs. That looks to be a very interesting session, as well. I think that that finishes Monday, for us. Yeah.

So, Tuesday, just flying through them at the moment, starting off with the … We've got the GNSO Working Group, the Subsequent Procedures Working Group, for those who are early enough, then APAC Space. I haven't actually received anything on that, and, obviously, Gisella hasn't, either. So, we must follow up on that one.

And then, of course, the regional leaders' meeting, that being in the APRALO region. Satish is the moderator. And they're introducing some of the [publishings] that we've actually been working on during the past few months.

Then, moving onto another plenary. The day's plenary is, of course, again, on DNS and the Internet of Things. And then, we have Olivier and Justine, and TBCs. Have those been appointed, yet, Olivier? Justine?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Maureen, I've sent some invitations out and we haven't got complete confirmations from some of the participants. So, we'll have to get back to you on this. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. That's cool. Cheryl, your hand is up. Is that a new hand?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: It is. Very brief, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sure.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Sorry. You mentioned the Thursday Subsequent Procedures PDP session. I just want to make sure and ask staff if they could do us a favor, here. That is, actually, just our ordinary … Well, we meet twice-weekly. It's one of the two weekly meetings that we always run.

But we are opening it up. It doesn't appear on the main schedule but it would be encouraged for those of you who have interest in this to join us, because it is an opportunity.

So, if we can make sure staff copy across to our Wiki the particular Zoom room and passwords, etc., that would be the best way to join, because you won't be able to join it directly from the bigger ICANN schedule. You are more than welcome. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. Thank you for that. Okay. So, moving onto Wednesday, at the moment. Moving onto Wednesday. Ah, right. Okay. Of course, Wednesday starts off with a social event which is only open to At-Large. And I guess, Heidi, Gisella is not on the call today?

HEIDI ULLRICH: No.

MAUREEN HILYARD: But Heidi, do you have any information? Yep.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes. So again, this is for, normally, supported members only. You will have received an RSVP, I think, a week ago or so. We just now had through the menu, and the cooking instructions, and the ingredients. So, we'll get that to you ASAP. It's going to be a Malaysian curry laksa. It looks delicious. And so, we'll get that to those who have RSVPed. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much. Yes, that sounds interesting. So you can actually, for those who have received an invitation, get the ingredients and cook along with the cook, or you can just, like me, who is not good at cooking … I'm quite happy to watch people do the cooking. It sounds delicious, anyway.

But then, we move onto Jonathan's session on DNS abuse and the thresholds. I see that that's all organized, so that's cool. And then, we move onto the GNSO Council. Now, this isn't the one that you were actually talking about. This is the open one, isn't it, Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: The Thursday session.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Ah, okay. This is this talk on Wednesday. Okay. And then, we move into the AFRALO meeting, which is sort of a general meeting. And I know that starts at 18:00 UTC, and then the ICANN Board people are moving to a meeting with the GAC at 8:30. So, there, we have León, and Göran is involved in that one. So, that looks like a very engaging meeting, as well.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Maureen?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I've just now heard that there is a conflict, as you noted, for several of the board members. There is the GNSO me with the board, followed directly by the GAC meeting with the board.

Given that the AFRALO meeting has a lot of board members invited, we're going to be looking to move that. So, stay tuned. There will be a switch or two, but just to make sure that there's no conflict. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right. I mean, I was thinking of that as being a conflict anyway, but I think we have a few spaces that we can actually move the AFRALO session to.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct, yeah. We have identified one and we're just confirming that that's clear for the board, as well.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Great.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Oh, good. Good, good. Okay, so watch this space. Okay. So, we're up to the last day, Thursday. Okay. And that starts off, first of all, with the Universal Acceptance session. There has already been a really good webinar in the pre-ICANN leadup to the ICANN week. So, there's that one. That looks pretty well organized, here.

Then, of course, we move straight on from that into our last session of the week for At-Large, and that's the leadership wrap-up, and just that

EN

we will have an introduction to the reports that we'll be working on for the last six months, and an overview with Jonathan.

Then, we have our guests, Maarten, and León, and Göran, and there are some questions. We'll discuss that later. But yeah, it's a general wrap-up, as per normal, looking at how the week has gone and any action items and things that we want to take note of before the next virtual meeting. And then, of course, for us, it ends with the plenary session on ICANN and COVID-19. I see Alan has got his hand up.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. Are you not planning to address the Auction Proceeds Report?

MAUREEN HILYARD: You mean us or …? I haven't seen any sessions on it.

ALAN GREENBERG: No, the report is issued. We now have to ratify it or not.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Oh, okay. So, you say that we should actually raise it within the ICANN68 meeting?

ALAN GREENBERG: I would have thought that would be a good time, and timely, but it's your call.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Oh, okay. We'll look at that. I had actually thought we were going to raise it within the ALAC meeting that we're going to have sometime soon, but we can include it.

ALAN GREENBERG: You've got to give people enough notice so that they can look at the report.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, that's true. Well, we've got reports and things that we can … There a session. We can actually introduce it then. That's fine. Yes. Sorry. Thank you. Thank you for raising that for us. Any other questions or queries from anyone on that? That's the program before we move onto the next agenda item, which is, of course, the talking points. So, we'll let Jonathan have his session. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: So, this is like a micro-session during the meeting. Is that the idea?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Perfect.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Thanks, everybody. And thanks for Evin with helping with this document. We went over most of these on the CPWG call. We've taken a little bit of a different approach, because this whole idea of talking points is an evolving document.

And so, the idea behind this document is that it's going to show us what the talking points are on various issues, and then what the relevant sessions are related to that issue, as well.

Because what we want to do is encourage people to go to sessions outside of the At-Large sessions and look for how our positions are doing, report back, and, in certain cases, if they're up for it, raising the point with an intervention during the session.

So, that's the idea behind the structure of the document. We went through them with the CPWG. Presumably, staff can make this available as a Google Doc or something like that, if people want the ability – particularly the people that own these topics.

This is my best attempt to summarize what I think are good, high-level talking points for each of these issues. I think I got a nod from Justine on the Subsequent Procedures talking points, but I'd love to hear from Alan and/or Hadia on the registrant data topic, etc., to make sure that they're the best possible talking points.

They're meant to be three talking points, so keep it simple, and then there are descriptions of them below the purple box, there. Any questions about this?

I wasn't going to go through this in detail on this call because we did go through them on the CPWG call, which is a pretty big overlap with this group. But this is the format. I encourage people to take a look at the link and give me feedback if you want changes made to the talking points, particularly if you're an issue champion.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Jonathan. There doesn't seem to be anyone asking any questions, but yes, as I said, the document is in the agenda, and then a Google Doc will be set up so that anyone who wants to make any additions or amendments can actually comment on those. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Yeah, please do. I can take feedback right up until the day before, probably, as far as what we present during the session. But we want to probably make this document available to folks prior to the meeting, as well. So, short-term and long-term suggestions are welcome. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: That's great. We were just talking to the GAC today about the At-Large perspective on the various topics: the ePDP, the SubPro, and DNS abuse. So, I'm sure that the GAC members who are there would probably be very interested in reading more about our talking points with regard to the At-Large perspective and what we're actually promoting within our community about what is important.

So, that's great. Awesome. Thank you very much. I don't see any questions, and if there's nothing else, Jonathan, we might move on. We're just getting a little bit short of—

JONATHAN ZUCK: Joanna has her hand up.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Oh. Sorry, Joanna. I didn't see that. Joanna.

JOANNA KULESZA: Very briefly, Jonathan, when you say the talking points will be made available, how will that work? And will there be a repository of talking points we've been having thinks to your wonderful input? This is an impressive effort.

So, I'm just wondering if we're stashing those up anywhere from previous meetings and the meetings to come. I love the new format, so I'm wondering if this is the first step toward a more comprehensive database. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: That's a good question. I think that the true, dynamic document is the one that you and I are working on, which is the At-Large policy platform, and that, ideally, this is just pulling information from that document, wherever it finds a permanent home, on a meeting-by-meeting basis, based on the schedule of the meeting.

So, this is never meant to be comprehensive in terms of all the things we're working on, but it is really targeted at what appears to be the hot topics of the upcoming meeting. And so, I think that the long-term repository of this is really going to be the At-Large policy platform. Does that make sense?

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you, that makes perfect sense. That's what I was fishing for. I'm just wondering how close were are to building it, but I'm happy to pick up that conversation elsewhere. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Okay.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah. No, thank you very much for raising that, actually, Joanna, because it is something that we've been discussing as we've been writing the reviews of the [implementation] plan report, that we do need, because that policy platform [was postponed].

And I think we've got something, but it's not something that we can actually store things very appropriately. So, we do need to review how we design that particular Wiki space, because we want to draw people to it as an important, as you say, repository for this sort of information.

And as you say, too, the talking points evolve out of what is on top for a particular meeting, but we can resolve that in some way. Jonathan, [do you want to do that]?

JONATHAN ZUCK: Yeah. I guess I was going to mention and draw people's attention to the fact that Evin has been working hard on the At-Large website and has created resource pages both for DNS abuse and Universal Acceptance.

And it seems to me that, now, and having this conversation with Joanna, we should have a page devoted to the policy platform and just figure out the best way to integrate, for the time being, into the At-Large site.

I know there is a separate effort for creating a dynamic, interactive platform that's for capacity-building and things like that that we're in process with. But we have the makings of a document for the document version of the policy platform. And so, maybe it makes sense to come up with an interesting … As another showcase page on the At-Large site.

Because Evin has been very efficient with making updates. And so, if there really is an update to it, it can be made pretty quickly. But it's not actually a document that is going to change daily, or anything like that. It's just going to be periodic.

A new issue is put in, one is removed. A talking point gets revised, etc. So, I guess I'd like to recommend that we create an At-Large policy platform section on the At-Large website, if nobody has any objections.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you for that. I think that there has always been that discussion between, what are we directing? Where are we directing our members to? Are we directing them to the website or are we directing them to the Wiki?

And I think there needs to be some interconnection between them, but the website is something that the wider community can access easily, whereas the Wiki can cause difficulty is people can't get into the community space, and that kind of thing.

So, we do have to look at … And of course, there will be linking between each other, but we just need to define what goes onto the Wiki and what goes onto the website. But we don't want to have things spread all over the place so that people don't actually get the right information. It's something that we can work on. I think it's great. It's great, I think, development, that we're working on. Really awesome.

So, any further discussion on that? Because we've actually got one more thing that we do need to raise and we've only got seven minutes left to the hour. Jonathan, are you okay?

JONATHAN ZUCK: I'm good, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. The next thing after Jon's was the At-Large questions for ICANN68. The questions are asked if we … I asked if anyone had any questions to present to the board and to the CEO, and there has been …

There was a recommendation that we get some feedback on the ATRT3 report from the board, and there was a question posed just about the changes that are being made within ICANN that Göran put into a blog not so very long ago, and discussions have been taking place.

Heidi did mention that Göran was quite happy to respond to the question that Sébastien put for him to answer, remembering that they're only with us for 30 minutes and they'll have their own things to talk to us about.

But I think we're set for that, which is really great. In other previous times, we've been last minute trying to grab some questions. I think that it's really great that we're already organized. They have been informed and they're set for it. So, that's good. Great. Thank you.

Moving onto the final session, because I know that we've only got a few more minutes before we've got to rush off to the CPWG meeting. I wanted Eduardo to be able to introduce the ATLAS III survey report, which was sent out to everybody to just get some feedback.

When you've been reading through a report weekly and you're seeing the same things all the time, sometimes you just don't get to actually see the glaring errors. We wanted our people to see it before it actually went out further.

Actually, that's not the report. I think I did mention that the report wasn't actually linked to the agenda. But anyway, while they're looking for it, perhaps, Eduardo, you might like to make a comment on the development of the survey report. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I can talk about this document in three minutes. This is a report that, basically, analyzes all of the data that came out of the survey from the ATLAS III. Holistically and in the overall, they are to measure things coming out of this document.

One is that the event, ATLAS III, was effective in engaging participants and others that participated in our CPWG meeting, which we [fill with] discussing policy. That's one of the major things that was found on this

document when we compared the attendance of the CPWG before and after the event.

And also, we expect the recommendations presented, based on the data that we've gathered from the feedback, that this document can be used as a guide to develop plans on the policy and capacity outreach. Please, the idea is to use the document as a guide because we got a lot of information from the survey and we analyzed it and made recommendations based on that.

The document is open for to look at it and get feedback. Really, we're looking for things like what Maureen said, that they are out of whack. We have been pointed to a graph that got the wrong data, so we're fixing that, and then clarifying other points.

But please, send me the comments, or to any of us, and then we'll be glad to see if we need to change anything that is grossly out of order, there. So, that's all I have to say.

I want to thank the working group and the people that participated there. We spent many hours looking at each of these sets of data, and I think we reached consensus on all of them. But again, we were a small group. We need more eyes on it. Thank you so much. That's it.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Sorry about my audio. The last few minutes, things are falling apart, here. Okay. I'm sorry that we haven't been able to show you the report proper. I can't understand why it's not there. But I see Abdulkarim has got his hand up. You've got one minute, Abdulkarim.

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Thank you. I want to find out that the recommendations of this report … Is there still an opportunity to make changes to some of the recommendations? That's the first question I have for Eduardo. And also, the second thing is, would it be willing to have … Okay. I think, probably, I'll ask just one question. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you for that, Abdulkarim. We've been working on this report, basically, since the survey results came out. There has been a small team that has actually been working on pretty solidly.

The recommendations are such that they're only recommendations. I don't think they need to be changed because they have been set for the key areas: the policy, the outreach and engagement, and the operations side of things.

If there was something that had come from the ATLAS III participants as something that we were saying, "There's an interest, here," we recommend that the engagement group or the policy group take some particular action.

And it's up to the lead as to how they actually do implement what has actually been recommended. But again, it's only a recommendation. It's not anything that's set in stone, but it is something that actually indicates that it's a bottom-up. It has come from the members themselves and it is actually something that can be considered by the working groups themselves, working group leads.

Unfortunately, we can't talk too much more about that, but we're taking your note of the concerns that you have raised, Abdulkarim. We will actually, as we get to get these extra comments that come in from everybody, incorporate those, and probably add it to the text.

But we do need to wrap this up so that Jonathan can continue with his meeting, which is coming up next. Thank you, everybody, for coming here. Sorry that we were actually rushing through this, but it's a pretty packed kind of day, today. We'll see you at the next meeting. Thank you.

YEŞIM NAZLAR: Thank you, all. This meeting is now adjourned. Have a great rest of the day. Bye-bye.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thanks, everyone. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]