

## Agenda GRC – 1 April 2019 @12:00 UTC

---

1. Welcome and roll call
2. Special IFR: -> see included email from GNSO support staff
3. IRP: See email David
4. Accountability work: progress to date, next steps
5. AOB
6. Closure

## Email from GNSO Support Staff:

---

Email GNSO support staff:

Dear Joke,

Could you let me know whether the GRC has started working on a guideline relating to initiating Special IFRs? The GNSO Drafting Team is just beginning its work in this area relating to its processes, but the Drafting Team may also need to coordinate with the ccNSO in the development of a joint consultation process on whether to initiate the IFR.

Thanks so much for your thoughts and guidance.

Kind regards,

Julie

## From David on IRP:

---

Dear members of the ccNSO Guidelines Review Committee:

My apologies, I will not be able to attend our meeting on April 1st.

There are, however, several items I want to apprise our group of with a view toward furthering related work.

One has to do with certain questions that SOs/ACs have been asked for input on that relate to establishing a standing panel for IRP. I will write about that matter in this email and will write about other IRP matters in a second email to follow in the next few days.

On March 9th, Göran wrote [icann.org] a 'call to action' asking for input into the process of selecting an IRP standing panel. In it he notes:

There are still open questions on how ICANN's Supporting Organizations (SOs) and Advisory Committees (ACs) will coordinate their participation in the standing panel selection process.

## From David on IRP (page 2):

---

He asks for input on a series of questions by April 15th. Here are the questions he asked:

- **Qualifications for Standing Panelists:** Are there specific qualifications that should be included? If so, what are they? Anything disqualifying? Should the SOs and ACs recommend qualifications? And if so, how?
- **Identifying a Slate of Well-Qualified Panelists:** We've heard concerns from some members of the ICANN community as to whether the broader community has the appropriate experience and skill for this selection work, and have suggested the possibility that ICANN instead contract with experts to perform this vetting process. Should the community rely on expertise to help vet and recommend a final slate for the standing panel?
- **Board Approval of Panel Slate – Further Questions:** After there is a slate of well-qualified applicants, the Board must confirm the panel. If the Board has questions that might impact its confirmation, to whom should those questions be addressed? If experts are used to develop the slate, should the experts, the SOs and ACs, or some combination thereof be part of that conversation?
- **Future Selections:** Should the process being designed today be reviewed for effectiveness after the first slating is completed, prior to making it standard operating procedure for future selection rounds?

I will be happy to help in answering these questions but for now just wanted to make sure they are on our radar screen.