New generic Top Level Domain Subsequent Procedures PDP

A Summary of At-Large Interventions & Requested Input

Justine Chew

ALAC-GAC Leadership Call 17 June 2020



Agenda

- At-Large Scorecard on SubPro, v14
- Applicant Support
- Community Applications & Community Priority Evaluation
- SubPro PDP WG Proposal on GAC Category 1 Safeguards
- Treatment of ISO 4217 alpha-3 currency codes
- Protection of Geographic Names in Any Future Expansion of gTLDs -Tool for timely notifications to GAC Members mentioned in the GAC Montreal Communique
- Prerequisites to next round of New gTLDs



At-Large Scorecard on SubPro, v14

Contains:

- 1. Applicant Support Program, v7 settled 5 May 2020
- 2. Application Change Request, v1 settled 7 May 2020
- 3. Role of Application Comment, v1 settled 7 May 2020
- 4. String Similarity, v2 settled 7 May 2020
- 5. Universal Acceptance, v4 settled 27 May 2020
- 6. Internationalized Domain Names, v4 settled 28 May 2020
- 7. Security and Stability, v2 settled 28 May 2020
- 8. Name Collision, v2 settled 28 May 2020
- 9. Reserved Names, v2 draft, 8 Jun 2020
- 10. Community Applications, v4 draft, 10 Jun 2020

Item 10 refers to:

- (a) At-Large Interventions on Community-based Applications & Community Priority Evaluation, 11 Jun 2020; and
- (b) Revised CPE Guidelines A Proposal by At-Large, 11 Jun 2020



Applicant Support

Source: At-Large Scorecard, v14, at pages 21-22

Consider supporting

- 1. ICANN must actively coordinate the pro-bono assistance program, not merely facilitate non-financial assistance
- 2. Financial support should extend to operational costs
- 3. Inclusion of business model education (e.g. business case studies)
- 4. Concrete steps to raise/secure >USD2 mil funding for ASP
- 5. Priority for successful ASP applicant in string contention; failing which a benefit of a multipler in auction bids for successful ASP applicant



Community Applications & Community Priority Eval.

Source: At-Large Scorecard, v14, at pages 72-73

Since SubPro recommendations lack specificity, **consider supporting At-Large's proposed improvements**

- (1) Greater community participation in ICANN's engagement of a CPE service provider / panellists
- (2) Changes to the CPE Process
 - Mechanism for handling conflict of interest of panelists
 - Elimination of a supplementary call for documented support or opposition
 - Limited challenge/appeal mechanism
- (3) Changes to the CPE Criteria and Guidelines (see: (ii) below)
 - Allowing a broader, more flexible interpretation of "community
 - Inclusion of grassroot community expertise
 - Adjustment to Criteria, Sub-criteria and scoring guidelines to eliminate undue bias against unconventional communities (eg. Community Human Rights based groups, minority, linguistic, cultural, ethnic groups)
 - Preventing imbalance in considering opposition versus support
 - lowering the threshold to prevail in CPE

Details of the above can be found in 2 documents:

- (i) "At-Large Interventions on Community Applications & Community Priority Evaluation (CPE)"; and
- (ii) "Revised Community Priority Evaluation Guidelines A Proposal by At-Large" which is our proposed revision of the CPE Guidelines of 27 Sep 2013.



SubPro Proposal on GAC Category 1 Safeguards

Source: SubPro deliberations

Input Requested

- SubPro PDP WG proposes to adopt a Framework recognizing the 4 groups of GAC Category 1 Safeguards from 2012 round to serve as guidance to potential applicants that their applied-for string may warrant additional PICs in RA
- Applicants are expected, but not mandated to, selfidentify applicability of safeguards and volunteer PICs
- 3. QUESTION: Who makes the ultimate determination of whether it is one of the 4 categories? The GAC? A Panel? ICANN BOARD?

GAC Category 1 Safeguards (Mandatory – Customization of Base RA)

Per ICANN NGPC Resolution No. 2014.02.05.NG01

- Implementation framework 3 levels, 4 groups, covering 10 Safeguards
 - Regulated Sectors/Open Entry Requirements in Multiple Jurisdiction (Safeguards 1-3)
 - Highly-regulated Sectors/Closed Entry Requirements in Multiple Jurisdictions (Safeguards 1-8) ("Verified TLDs", not to be confused with "Closed Generics")
 - Special Safeguards (Safeguards 9-10)
 - Potential for Cyber Bullying/Harassment (Safeguards 1-9)
 - Inherently Governmental Functions (Safeguards 1-10)
- Where:
 - 8 of 10 safeguards, where applicable, included as PICs, customization to Base RA
 - □ 7 of 10 safeguards, where applicable, included in Registry-Registrar Agreement

Customization of Base RA All PICs == Specification 112



Treatment of ISO 4217 alpha-3 currency codes

Source: At-Large Scorecard, v14, at pages 32-34

SubPro proposed position: "Reserve until such time that there is clear agreement with the International Central Banks (eg through IMF or BIS) as to whether these codes could be delegated and to which entities, not excluding themselves."

Input Requested

1. Does GAC have a position on reservation of ISO 4217 alpha-3 currency codes?



Tool for notifying on strings with geo meaning

Protection of Geographic Names in Any Future Expansion of gTLDs - Tool for timely notifications to GAC Members mentioned in the GAC Montreal Communique

Input Requested

1. Does GAC have any plans to call for this to be implemented?



Prerequisites to Next Round of New gTLDs

What implementations should be included?

- 1. Prerequisite and high priority recommendations from the CCT-RT Final Report of 2018, as qualified by ICANN Board's approach to the same
 - □ Whether all or some may be adequately provided for by SubPro recommendations
- 2. Community approach to DNS Abuse mitigation
- 3. Metrics for Program, ASP, etc
- 4. Recommendations out of SSAC's Name Collision Analysis Project
- 5. Position on Closed Generics
- 6. What else?

