

## Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 11 June 2020 – Meeting #41

Meeting #41 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –  
Thursday, 11 June 2020 @ 19:00 UTC!

### Proposed Draft Agenda

1. Roll Call + SOI Updates
2. First six-monthly implementation report
3. Budget Requests status, and next steps
4. Recommendations including Bylaws Update (If time allows):
  - Recommendation 24
5. Next Meetings
6. A.O.B.

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

---

11:51:37 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : Hi Yvette, Hi Cheryl

11:52:02 From Yvette Guigneaux : Hi there Jean-Baptiste

11:57:42 From Vanda Scartezini : hi everyone. hope all is healthy and happy

12:01:32 From leahsymekher : Hello All..Happy to join the meeting after 2weeks absence

12:02:39 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : link to the gdoc:  
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1M-dyGPMk20SfD0wHZ6y1e4d-dllG6ghZ6ZZTYSpF0j0/edit?usp=sharing>

12:06:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Indeed Vanda

12:07:16 From leahsymekher : Yes, Agree with Vanda

12:08:30 From Vanda Scartezini : ok for me

12:08:41 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Especially for a 1st report

12:09:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : ALAC/At-Large needed to take a different approach

12:09:30 From Vanda Scartezini : sure

12:11:10 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : And it can be adjusted in next report

12:11:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : IF needs be

12:14:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Correct

12:14:50 From Vanda Scartezini : former member of nomcom as we already agreed

12:15:16 From Leahsymekher : yes, former NomCom members

12:15:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I would not be that prescriptive

12:16:29 From Vanda Scartezini : yes rotating is a good idea

12:16:32 From Leahsymekher : agree with Cheryl...not too prescriptive

12:17:06 From Leahsymekher : rotation is good

12:20:01 From Nadira Al Araj : An excellent point Vanda

12:20:25 From Leahsymekher : agree with Vanda

12:21:03 From Vanda Scartezini : was not going down

12:21:30 From Nadira Al Araj : board decision?

12:22:01 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez :

<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/revise-rzerc-charter-08aug16-en.pdf>

12:23:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Charter needs stability OP's more flexible

12:24:12 From Vanda Scartezini : panel is my suggestion

12:24:50 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Hello everyone.. sorry for being late

12:25:32 From Nadira Al Araj : ;)))

12:25:32 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Tom i can hear loud and clear

12:27:35 From Mary Wong : One distinction between the CSC and RZERC, for purposes of this discussion, could be the fact that the CSC and specific requirements about its Charter are baked into the Bylaws. This isn't the case for RZERC.

12:27:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and the 5-7 allows for the flexibility

12:28:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Understood @Mary

12:28:37 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : but often design from table rasa needs some flexibility in design

12:29:11 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : LOL

12:29:35 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : KISS is still the key here

12:30:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : here

12:31:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the only issue might be IF the Community wants more specific control over any Charter changes

12:31:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : NomCOM being a rare construct

12:32:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : @Mary your thoughts on that?

12:32:25 From Mary Wong : Both committees were formed as a result of the IANA stewardship transition.

12:32:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we need to be carefule of causing any recursive lops as well in this

12:32:55 From Mary Wong : @Cheryl, that prob goes to the basic diff between the NomCom itself and the Standing Committee.

12:33:22 From Mary Wong : As Lars is saying, you can include accountability and community oversight requirements into the charter too.

12:33:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thoughts on what Community expectations re NC-SC probably needs to be explored onewe have a draft

12:33:50 From Mary Wong : The RZERC Charter went out for public commnets.

12:34:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : an essential step

12:34:43 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and ANY changes to the Charter should as well

12:34:48 From Vanda Scartezini : yes we need feedback from the AC-SOs

12:34:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : THAT needs to be IN the Charter

12:36:56 From Vanda Scartezini : good point Cheryl

12:37:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yep NC-SC has to be accountable and transparent to the ICANN Community that \*any\* NC serves

12:40:59 From Mary Wong : Terminology-wise, for this report and the webinar, perhaps text like “The Working Group considers an ICANN Unaffiliate to have the following characteristics” (rather than propose the current wording as a “definition”).

12:42:13 From Nadira Al Araj : "Outside perspective" I like it Cheryl

12:43:31 From Vanda Scartezini : yeah Nadira - I also disagree with the word on 3rd point - difficult to have clear definition fpor some words

12:44:25 From Vanda Scartezini : yeah focus on Col

12:46:10 From Nadira Al Araj : just respecting the existing of the Conflict of Interest

12:46:15 From Vanda Scartezini : yeah Cheryl agree

12:46:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Not now ... Later wordsmithing

12:46:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : \ just refer to COI

12:47:10 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and the clarity of an unaffiliate being "seen"as one!

12:49:39 From Vanda Scartezini : perception looks good

12:50:16 From Mary Wong : It sounds like you are coalescing around the concept of a director's effectiveness being affected by an actual or perceived conflict of interest

12:50:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Exactly Mary!

12:52:30 From Nadira Al Araj : not a good idea

12:52:45 From Nadira Al Araj : yes

12:54:09 From Vanda Scartezini : i do not want to chance bylaws and panel for me looks more easy format

12:55:14 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : MUST have stability

12:55:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : should not be at the whim of a NC

12:56:42 From Leahsymekher : I agree with talking to legal too

12:59:08 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 3 weeks away works for me

12:59:40 From Vanda Scartezini : not a concern from me

12:59:44 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Do we need to?

12:59:58 From Vanda Scartezini : let's keep the 25

13:00:17 From Leahsymekher : I need to drop off thx All

13:00:18 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : 1 hr

13:00:31 From Nadira Al Araj : OK on the 25th

13:00:35 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : But we also need to look at the report in GDoc

13:00:43 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : we'll send a reminder Tom

13:00:55 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Bye for now

13:00:56 From Dave Kisoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all

13:00:58 From Nadira Al Araj : thank you