Concern	Mitigation
The SPIRT may develop policy and undermine Council remit. [SPIRT]	 The SPIRT has a representative membership structure to help keep it accountable. The SPIRT's functions include issue triage and providing advice on operational issues. It is not a policy-making body. Council maintains a supervisory role over the SPIRT. All recommendations are subject to the review and oversight of the GNSO Council, who maintains the discretion on whether or not to adopt the recommendations made to the Council.
The SPIRT could be subject to the influence of lobbying (e.g., targeting other applications, re-opening issues, invoking the Framework in the first place, other?). [SPIRT] ICANN Org will be able to make certain decisions on its own without consulting with the community (i.e., bucket 1). This could include miscategorizing an issue (either purposely or as a matter of subjectivity). [Framework]	 Members will have diverse interests and backgrounds and complete statements of participation. Decision-making procedures follow section 3.6 of the GNSO WG Guidelines on consensus-based decision-making. All recommendations are subject to the review and oversight of the GNSO Council, who maintains the discretion on whether or not to adopt the recommendations made to the Council. The framework puts significant limitations on decisions that ICANN org will make without consultation with the SPIRT. Requiring ICANN org to consult the SPIRT on every operational decision could create a significant unnecessary bottleneck.
It is unclear why the SPIRIT is better positioned compared to others in determining what is policy versus implementation. [SPIRT] Determining which "bucket" something is in	 SPIRT will not be making any recommendations in a vacuum. It will work in collaboration with Council, Org, and Board as appropriate with Council playing a supervisory role. It is expected that the SPIRT can work more efficiently than the Council alone because it and its membership is dedicated to this purpose. SPIRT's role is advisory in nature and will not be making any recommendations in a
will not always be clear. [Framework]	vacuum. It will work in collaboration with Council, Org, and Board as appropriate with Council playing a supervisory role.

The Framework and SPIRT are too complicated and it is not clear that they create value versus existing mechanisms for issue mitigation. [Framework and SPIRT]	 The Framework and the SPIRT are focused solely on mitigating issues related to the New gTLD Program, which should better ensure proper expertise, availability of resources, nimbleness and flexibility. The working group has not come to an agreement on a simpler alternative that is fit for purpose.
GAC Concern - The Framework and SPIRT may undermine existing roles and responsibilities afforded to the ICANN organizations under the ICANN Bylaws. [Framework and SPIRT]	 The SPIRT's functions include issue triage and providing advice on operational issues. It is not a policy-making body. The Framework does not impact or seek to limit any SO or AC's ability to carry out its role and responsibilities under the ICAN Bylaws.