REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF THE PEDNR PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - Version 5 October 2009

Please note that this is an abstract / summary of the comments received, to view the complete comments and the summary and analysis, please see http://forum.icann.org/lists/pednr-wg-questions/

Comment	Ву	WG View	Next steps / Follow up
Q1 - Whether adequate opportunity exists for			
There is sufficient time and opportunity	MS, RH	·	The feedback provided
There is lack of consistency and use of different	E		points to both ends of the
policies that results in user confusion			spectrum (yes, there is
There is not sufficient opportunity as there is no	PI		adequate opportunity and
obligation to grant AGP or RGP to registrants			no, there is not). This issue
			will require further
			discussion by the WG.
Q2 - Whether expiration-related provisions in			
Registrants are responsible for renewing a domain	BL		The feedback provided here
name registratin in a timely fashion, as they know			also points to both ends of
at the outset when the domain name will expire			the spectrum (yes,
Registration agreements are pretty clear about	MS		provisions are clear and
expired domain names			conspicious enough, and no,
Expiration-related information is not conspicuous	RH		they are not). This will
enough and auto-renew provisions for cancellation			require further discussion by
are antiquated or unclear			the WG
Registrars seem to need many words to describe	PI		
that registrants have no rights at all after			
expiration			
Q3 - Whether adequate notice exists to alert r		of upcoming expirations	
This depends on the registrar, but every	BL, MS		This question will require
registration includes contact details so the registrar			further discussion by the
has a way to contact the registrant, a registrar that			WG.
does not send out reminders is making a big			
business mistake.	ļ]

Number one reason why people neglect to renew	AA	It was questioned whether in the	
their domains is because they have incorrect		majority of cases invalid information	
contact information, which means they do not		is provided on purpose.	
receive notices from registrar. Those that use			
invalid information are likely doing so on purpose			
and do not need protection.			
Registrars should be required to include existing	AA		
Whois information in the reminder, instead of a link			
to this information			
There is adequate notice	RH, E		
Notices might not reach the registrant because of	PI	The reseller problem is not further	
the reseller problem		defined.	
Q4 - Whether additional measures need to be	implemen	ted to indicate that once a domain	name enters the Auto-
Renew Grace Period, it has expired			
A registration should be produced become all to	BL		Further discussion will be
A registration should be marked 'reserved' to	105		
A registration should be marked 'reserved' to highlight the interim status, at which point only the			required, especially in
3			required, especially in relation to the question of
highlight the interim status, at which point only the			
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew			relation to the question of
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon			relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would			relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked			relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name	AA		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data	AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should	AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data without confusing customers (e.g. pending renewal / deletion, registered / expired).	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data without confusing customers (e.g. pending renewal	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data without confusing customers (e.g. pending renewal / deletion, registered / expired).	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data without confusing customers (e.g. pending renewal / deletion, registered / expired). A notice on the site should be mandatory, possibly in combination with a note stating 'contact your service provder to renew'	AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the
highlight the interim status, at which point only the RAE is allowed to renew The practice of changing DNS servers upon expiration is catch-22 as on the one hand it would alert the registrant that the domain name has expired, on the other hand it would prevent them from receiving email notices if the email is linked to the domain name Expiration dates are confusing as the Whois data normally show the registry expiration date which is automatically renewed for a year. The WG should explore ways that registries can display this data without confusing customers (e.g. pending renewal / deletion, registered / expired). A notice on the site should be mandatory, possibly in combination with a note stating 'contact your service provder to renew'	AA AA, MS, PI		relation to the question of confusion relating to Whois data as pointed out in the

Q5 - Whether to allow the transfer of a domain	name du	ring the Redemption Grace Period	(RGP)
Registrars are not the owner of a domain name	MS		The question seems to have
and should not have any rights to it, unless the			been interpreted broader
registrant gives away his or her rights. If a			than the original intent
registrant explicitely cancels a registration, it might			(transfer of a domain name
be different.			by the RAE to another
A transfer of a domain name should not be allowed	RH		registrar) by the
during RGP			commenters. Will require
The question is premature as most registrars do	PI		further discussion by the
not even allow transfer in the auto-renew grace			Working Group.
period			
General and other comments			
It is ICANN's responsibility to ensure that registrars	BL		
provide clear informatin regarding the expiry of			
registrations, at the time of registrarion as well as			
using warning / reminder services as the expiration			
date approached. ICANN should take responsibility			
for implementing the grace period by reserving			
expired domans for a minimum period.			
Use of the term 'legacy registrant' to distinguish	KJ		
between the registrant prior and after expiration			
Domain name warehousing is in the list of topics in	EM		
the RAA. There should be no ownership by			
registrars, no right to hold and no right to scuttle			
names away under aliases. Any person that has			
lost a domain name due to expiration should be			
able to reclaim these names in a clear and concise			
ICANN policy.			
Resellers may have incentive to let domains expire	AA		
since they can get a cut from the auction proceeds			

A scenario was presented in which a registrant	KR	
explicitly requests the cancellation of a domain		
name, following which the registration was		
removed from the registrant's control panel, but		
the domain name was not deleted and the Whois		
data was left unchanged. This type of behaviour		
can cause significant harm as the registrant might		
be held responsible for a registration he or she		
cancelled long time ago.		
Whois records do not indicate whether a registrant	WIPO	
has requested a deletion of a domain name, which		
might mean that a complainant in a UDRP		
proceeding would not be able to exercise its rights		
under paragraph 3.7.5.7 of the EDDP		
A system could be explored that would linke the	E	
duration of the auto-renew grace period to the		
duration of the registration.		
The cost of recovery during RGP seems excessive	E	
Solutions for consideration: making the AGP and	PI	
RGP a mandatory registrar service with maximized		
fees; set prohibitions against standard registration		
agreements that sign away these rights; prescribe		
thick WHOIS; registrar WHOIS show all ex-		
registrant data. Explore the merger of the AGP and		
RGP into one expired-renewable period.		
Consideration should be given to the implications,	WIPO	
if any, for the UDRP. There are different		
interpretations by registrars of the EDDP that		
relate to UDRP proceedings, namely 3.7.5.7.		

One core question is whether adequate notice	WIPO
exists under the current policies to timely alert	
parties in a UDRP proceedin of upcoming	
expirations and pending deletions. Should WG	
clarify wat (if any) reasonable notice obligations	
would be.	
Complaints related to a specific renewal policy:	HMA
change of renewal date in Whois caused confusior	
not able to transfer domain name in AGP; domain	
name was auctioned before payment to registrar	
was confirmed; registrar refused reversal of the	
third party transfer; no notices received of change	s
to auto-renewal policy; there is no opt-out	
mechanism for the auction process.	