
CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the At-Large Capacity Building Working Group Webinars Team call on Thursday, the 21st of May, 2020 at 19:00 UTC. On the call today, we have Hadia Elminiawi, Barrack Otieno, Abdulkarim Oloyede, Joanna Kulesza, John Moore, and Maureen Hilyard. From staff, we have Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber, Michelle Desmyter, and myself, Claudia Ruiz, on call management. We have received apologies from Olivier Crépin-Leblond and Daniel Nanghaka. We have a tentative apology from Alfredo Calderon and Alberto Soto will be joining us later on. Our interpreters for today are Veronica and Claudia on Spanish and Camilla and Arielle on French.

And before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes and also to please keep your lines muted when not speaking to prevent any background noise. Thank you very much. And with this, I turn the call over to you, Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you so much. So, if we all agree to the agenda as is, we can go ahead to item number three. I see no hands up so let's go to the action items. So, we had an action item for Yesim to set up all future Capacity Building Working Group webinars using Zoom Rooms and that's done. Claudia to turn Alberto Soto's email setting up the calls into a Google Doc. And Claudia, could you please send us a link to the Google Doc or put it in the chat? That would be great. Gisella to create a document

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

about best practices for participating in the webinars and that's in process.

Presenters to be coached to use [inaudible] during [their day]. Again, I think that could be part of the invitation letter and part of the guidelines. Best practice for ensuring an effective [program] for the presenters should be added to the toolkit. I think that's the toolkit that Alfredo presented to us. Thank you, Claudia for the Google Doc link. And that's the toolkit Alfredo presented to us on our last call. And then, Alfredo and I were going to work on drafting the note for automatically-generated certificate. And that hasn't been done yet.

And Yesim to schedule DNS abuse rerun in the Asia-friendly time, to be scheduled in July. That's in progress. One of our group to start posting ideas for webinar for ICANN 68. And Joanna will be talking to this point today. And then, adding speakers' gender diversity to the agenda and that has been added.

And so, Alfredo, on our last call on Friday, sent actually three emails. One was with regard to webinar registration customization, and the other one with regard to streamlining webinar registration and survey posts, and another email talking about the need for attendees to upgrade their Zoom to Zoom 5.0+ to gain access to all meetings and webinars because by the end of May 30, Zoom, 4.0 won't be working. And I was wondering if this also should be part of, maybe, the invitation to be included as a note to the attendees.

So, if we could go back to the agenda. Item number four. Yeah. We are on item number four, final details for next week's webinar, which is

about geopolitics and cybersecurity. Formal email to presenters ... I sent an email to the group, suggesting a text for the email. Basically, the email would include informing the presenters about the setting of the webinar, the need to have quizzes or questions to be included within the presentation, and also the form of the questions that's preferable to be multiple choice.

Also informing them about the survey that would be displayed at the end of the webinar and also might be sent by email to the attendees. Gisella also reminded us, we need to tell them about ... Let me pull up the email. Gisella, could you remind us what you sent in the email, please.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Hadia, thank you. Apologies. I'm checking whether there's an echo or not. I have one but if you don't have one, that's fine. Perfect. The suggestion was that we just need to remind our guests to join prior to 10 minutes ahead of time to allow for audio checks. I was reminding them to speak clearly and at a reasonable speed for accurate interpretation. I know we always say that at the beginning of the webinar and we will put that in the best practices that we will add to the wiki page, to the meeting invitation, we'll have on the screen, etc.

But we cannot stress enough how important it is for interpreters to have people speaking clearly and at a reasonable speed because it really does help them to provide the most accurate interpretation and that is really what we're looking for. They are the way to translate into the

language and if they can't hear properly, then it's muffled and then we don't always stop the call in time, etc. So, I can't stress enough on that.

And what I will also add ... And apologies for taking the floor on that. But I've just been hearing it in my ear as well. For the presenters, it's important to make sure they've turned off all notifications. I'm actually on my own computer to make sure that all mine are turned off because when you're on a call and you hear "ping, ping, ping" in the background, it's quite distracting. Thank you, Hadia. Hadia? Did I lose you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

I'm sorry. I was ... I was [inaudible] in chat to the email that is going to be sent out to the presenters. And I guess we can finalize this right after this call, over email. Gisella, if you could possibly put that email together, combining both parts, so that we can finalize this after this call.

On registration—Zoom registrations—we discussed last time that this could happen in two ways. So, attendees could register before the call or at the time of the call. So, both options would be available. And during registration, for the time being, they will be providing only their email address. Is this correct?

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

Hi, Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Yes.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: I hear an echo.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Please go ahead.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: One moment. Just going to ... I went ahead and I reached out to our tech support. It looks like for anything that has to do with preregistration, they actually need to schedule the webinar. It cannot be staff. And from my brief chat with him, there doesn't seem to be any GDPR issues with collecting the email addresses. But he was assisting a call at the time and I'm going to have a chat with him later on when he's available and hope to have more clear answers.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. Thank you, Claudia. So, when you have the answers, please share them over email with us. And as I understand, the invitation is going to be sent out tomorrow. John is saying [inaudible] and to remind us [this logging in]. Steve [inaudible] the meeting invitation. And here, we are talking about the meeting invitation to the attendees. And that will include a description about the webinar. And Joanna Kulesza sent us, today, a short description about the geopolitics.

We could also include a note to the attendees that they need to upgrade their Zoom to 5.0 to gain access to all meetings and webinars. My understanding is they don't do that, they won't be able to access

the webinar. I also think that they will be prompted by Zoom to upgrade at the time of joining. That will take some time and will make them less part of the webinar itself if they do it at the time when they are joining the webinar. And Cheryl, would you like to take the floor?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: [inaudible] my mute button. Thank you. Sorry about that. Took me a moment to get through the layers, to get to the bit where it says “unmute.” I realized, just when, Claudia, you’re talking to tech support, I would like to make sure that they realize we are not just collecting the email as a matter of registration. We are also collecting the email because we intend to interact with them using that email after the webinar.

And regardless of whether that’s well-understood or not by tech support, I would assume it’s easy enough, on the registration page, to have that as a statement, and if needs be, a little checkbox that says, “Yes. We understand.” I just think that really is important, regardless of what GDPR laws there are. I still think there is a difference between permission to, “I’m joining and I would like you to send me the survey, and follow up, etc.,” and, “I’m just joining.” And I think we need to allow people to choose that. Thanks.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you so much, Cheryl, for this very important hint. So, yes. We definitely need to tell them, as well, that we would be contacting the attendees via the email provided during registration and asking them to

fill in a survey. So, thank you for that. Maureen, would you like to take the floor?

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Sorry, Hadia. It's very loud around my house at the moment. I've got the property guys outside with their lawn mowers. So, I just wanted to highlight—I'm just letting you know that their meeting's going to clash with our webinar. And lots of At-Large people will be at that webinar. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Okay. So, thank you, also, for this very important piece of information. And so, my question would be, would you like to keep the timing of the webinar as is? We haven't sent out the invitations yet. However, Joanna has contacted the presenters and had their agreement on the timing of the webinars. So, my question here would be is changing the timing of the webinar something that we could think of?

So, Cheryl is saying we could shift to avoid the clash. I agree to that, Cheryl, that it would be wise to actually change the timing. So, any thoughts? Yeah, Joanna. Please go ahead.

JOANNA KULESZA:

Thank you, Hadia. This is just to note. We might need to coordinate with our speakers to try to do that. We seem to try and rotate between two times. I offered both of those times to Vinny. He is available only at one of those, which is 20:00 UTC. Now, we might want to go back to him and our speakers—we've arranged 20:00 on June 1st—especially since this

seems to be in direct collision with the next SO/AC thing that David has just sent out invites to.

So, as Gisella is indicating in the chat, I'm happy to work with staff to try and find the best timeslot for this. This might impact the rotation we originally planned, as it seems Vinny has a conflicting appointment at the other timeslot we have arranged for these webinars. So, indeed, we might need to coordinate on the timing, taking into consideration those ... Yeah, Cheryl. So, as I already said, we might want to rearrange that but that would mean us to go back to the speakers. I would welcome staff support in reaching out to them and trying to accommodate all of those needs for the [inaudible].

So, Hadia, I think it's open and I think we need to take this back to our speakers and find an appropriate timeslot, as it largely depends on them and their availability. I'm happy to work with you and Gisella in getting that set. And I'm surely going to prioritize the webinar. But just in terms of us getting the appropriate participation, plus to be also including other communities' leadership, we might want to rearrange the time. So, it looks like we might need to go back to the drawing board in terms of finding the appropriate time. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you Joanna, so much. So, as you just pointed that, Vinny was not available for the earlier meeting. So, I was thinking that maybe we could, instead of 20:00, 21:00. Or I'm not sure for how long does the SO/AC meetings run for? Is it an hour or an hour and a half? It's 60 minutes. So, maybe we could reschedule for 21:00. It doesn't have to be

the earlier meeting. We could just shift one hour. And Joanna, I'm certain Gisella and Heidi can support us here, definitely, Joanna.

Okay. So, the question is when do we get to know ...? So, Gisella is saying, "Happy to support. We can start at 21:00 UTC and take it from there." So, do you all agree to that? Joanna, do you think this is possible? Okay. Thank you so much. So, let's make it 21:00, subject to speakers, sure, Cheryl. So, Joanna, we will give you time to contact your speakers and ask them about shifting the webinar to 21:00 UTC instead of 20:00. And depending on your answer, we shall be sending out the meeting invitations with the new timing. So, hopefully, you can get an answer from them by tomorrow so that we could still send out the invitations later tomorrow or maybe after tomorrow—early after tomorrow.

And then, the meeting invitation would include the description that you sent and maybe also a note for the attendees to upgrade their Zoom or to try to log in a little bit early to give themselves time to upgrade their Zoom. So, if they log in 15 minutes before the webinar, then they can also upgrade their Zoom. Any other thoughts on this item? Cheryl is agreeing.

Okay. So, we can proceed to item number four, best practices in preparation for our webinars and the Google Doc. We talked about the feedback from the interpreters the last time and we agreed that Gisella, actually, will be putting together a small guide in this regard. We can skip this item now, if you all agree. Alfredo's not with us now and I'm not sure if we all got the Google Doc.

So, if you agree, we will wait for Gisella's guide with regard to the interpreters and go to item number six now, which is the pre-ICANN 68 webinar. And Joanna will be speaking to us in that regard. Please go ahead, Joanna.

JOANNA KULESZA:

Thank you very much, Hadia. I just thought, briefly, for us to be able to proceed ... There is the issue of a prep week that At-Large might want to set up. The initial question is ... I assume we do want to do it but the question is how many webinars should we be planning for? An issue that I see rising in the discussions we're having in the last two months is what Sebastien nicely calls "Zoom fatigue." In that sense, I think it might be advisable for us to try and avoid a two-week virtual meeting.

Hadia suggested we might want to stick to two webinars, which I think is a fair number. We would hold those in the week preceding ... Thank you very much, Gisella, for sharing the link. There is a prep week that is from the 8th up until the 18th of June. So, we might want to hold two—and that's a question mark after that number of webinars—in the week before the meeting starts, focusing on issues that are of interest to the At-Large community, complementing the work that is being done by the Org.

The first question—and I'm presuming the answer is yes—is whether we want to do it. There seems to be consensus in the discussions we've had that we do, indeed, want to hold those At-Large webinars. The second question is how many of these. The suggestion is to have two webinars. I personally tend to think it is a good number. If we want to do more, if

we want to do less, that is why we're having this call and I'm happy to hear your thoughts.

If we're feeling we want to have those prep webinars and we want to have at least two of them, the question that is of crucial importance is what would be the topic? Now, there are three options to consider for these topics. I have been soliciting suggestions.

It seems that DNS abuse remains our mantra. There will be numerous DNS abuse sessions during ICANN 68. If we want to prep our community, building on the work that has been done thus far, we might want to consider that DNS abuse webinar rerun to be held in that exact week. Because it was successful—because we want to target, also, our members in the Asia Pacific region, we might want to amend to that time zone and hold that webinar an Asia Pacific-friendly timeframe.

The second suggestion that came up during that call, just earlier this week, was a webinar on Work Track 2. Work Track 2 is focused on the implementation, basically, of the Human Rights Framework. I participated in the CC—this Human Rights Working meeting yesterday. I'm happy to report of the progress. Just to give you a very brief update, This has been challenging.

The question is whether this is of interest and whether this is something that the group wants to do. There doesn't seem to be as much activity in that area, especially within At-Large as, for example, DNS abuse or universal acceptance. So, whether that is a topic we do want to consider it, for us to decide, I'm offering this for discussion because it came up during the conversations we've had this week.

So, those would be the two topics I've taken down in my notes from the discussions we've been having around the prep week. Alternatively—and that's another option we could do ... What we could do is we could wait for the final program, try to look what are the topics that will eventually find its way there. And I'm happy to stand corrected but I understand, Gisella, that the final program for ICANN 68 is still in the works.

So, once we know what's there, we can try and prepare our members for those topics and then decide, again, possibly on two webinars that would prepare the participants to give an informed opinion during the virtual policy forum we will be having. And that is the second option.

And the third option—and I believe I have seen Adam Peake on the list here—is for us to just coordinate with what staff are doing, especially with what GSE is doing. I remember recalling, on a number of occasions, we might want to consolidate those efforts to make sure that At-Large and GSE are in sync in terms of capacity building. That is a notion that is very close to my heart. So, that would be the third option, for us to try and coordinate with GSE and try to figure out together what are the topics we want to address.

So, those are my notes on the prep week. I'm really glad we're having this meeting because I would love to hear back from you. Whatever those comments are, should they be critical, I would love to hear them. So, please feel free to take the floor. And I will be taking down my notes and we will see where this discussion takes us. Thank you so much.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you so much, Joanna. So, I definitely like your two proposed topics and find your second option, actually, more practical and might be more beneficial.

So, waiting for the ICANN 68 schedule to be finalized so we can get the topics and then accordingly deciding what webinars we need to prepare our attendees for seems a very good idea. However, that depends on when will this schedule be finalized? Because if it's too late, that would be too late and would give us not enough time to prepare for our webinars. It won't be a good idea. So, for me, I would go either with your first or second option, second option depending on when the schedule will be finalized. And I see Cheryl's hand up.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks, Claudia. I hope you can hear me. I honestly don't like to disagree all that often with people but I've got to disagree with you on this. For this prep, for ICANN 68, I feel either ... And I heard three options from Joanna. I would definitely be going for option one, the DNS abuse one. It seems like a very natural, and sensible, and easy fit—easy to organize because we're pretty much there anyway.

But I would go with the cap building rather than the option two, declaring that I served in Work Track 2. I don't think I missed a single meeting on HR, on Human Rights. But there is no Work Track 2 anymore. And I don't want to confuse the adjunct and useful work of the Cross-Community Working Group that Joanna's part of as a result of Work Track 2 and whatever work that it may be able to contribute to the implementation on what was agreed to in Work Track 2 and

confusing people with are we starting up Work Tracks again? Because right now, we haven't even completed the implementation of the Work Stream 2 recommendations, including those on HR.

So, I just think that one would muddy the water a little bit for people who are coming in fresh. One and three would be clean, concise, and fitting with the important work we have to do at this time. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. Thank you so much, Cheryl. Abdulkarim. Abdulkarim, would you like to take the floor?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Abdulkarim?

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Yeah. He's saying, "I'm having connection issues." So, any other thoughts on that? So, Cheryl is saying option one or three. And I definitely agree to one and option three also is a good one. It's just we need coordinating with Global Stakeholder Engagement. So, that was option three actually. And Cheryl's saying one and three. Coordinating with Global Stakeholder Engagement, Joanna, would that mean that you will contact Global Stakeholder Engagement and ask them for advice in that regard?

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you, Hadia. I'm happy to coordinate with you to make sure that we get that action item done. Yes. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. So, yeah. That seems a good option as well. Abdulkarim is saying, "I was thinking preparation week is to prepare to the meeting." Yes. That's what I thought, too. And that's why I was thinking of one and three. But I guess, also, Global Stakeholder Engagement would be thinking about preparing to the meeting. So, I guess their suggestions would be aligned with that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Can I jump in there? This is no place in the meeting for human rights. There is no policy running at the moment for human rights. There is a— one of many pieces of implementation out of Work Stream 2 that is human rights, that needs to be done. But that's not policy. The policy meeting, however, is also meant to look at outreach and engagement. And so, I actually see that the third option does fit in to preparation for what is supposed to be, normal calendar of events, the shorter policy and outreach meeting.

I'm not trying to channel Sebastien here. But if I was, he would be reminding us what the original purpose of the shorter meeting is supposed to be able to go to more countries and engage with more parts of the ICANN community, it was meant to be. So, yeah. I don't think we're at loggerheads with any of the choices. If we're going to be purist about what prep week is meant to be, then the more purist response would actually be for one and three. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you, Cheryl. So, I get your point now. And it seems, yes, that option three, which is for the meeting with Global Stakeholder Engagement, would be the safer option and would be the one that is actually putting us on the right track. I didn't get that in the beginning. So, Joanna, your hand is up.

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you very much, Hadia. This is just to clarify. First, I completely agree with Cheryl. I don't think there is a policy agenda in the Work Stream 2 that's following up on the Work Track 2. I put in the link that initiated that discussion of the working party as opposed to a working group, the meeting of which we had yesterday. So, I just wanted to flag it because this was raised in different meetings and there is a work that's still pending. The group, yesterday, expressed concern about the Work Stream 2 not being as quick as they would expect it to, etc.

I fully agree with Cheryl that this is not an issue that is ready for the policy forum. So, there's much work to be done. I know the GAC is going to look into that. We will be participating in a meeting with the GAC. The GAC is taking the lead. They have a small working group. And I think that issue is well-attended there. So, this is just to say I fully agree with Cheryl. I don't think we're ready to pick this up.

But I wanted to be fair to those who raised that topic in the context of capacity building within At-Large. I fully welcome the considerations that Cheryl was kind enough to share. And I think that's set. So, I'm not saying that we should do it. I just wanted to be fair and flag it there. I

even put the link in the chat, for those of you who are interested, concerned, or might want to give this another look.

For my notes, I am thinking combining option one—so, that would be us polling for suggestions for topics and Hadia and myself coordinating with the GSE in terms of what those topics should be. I also understand that the DNS abuse, with a special focus on At-Large and end users, would be something we might want to consider, including the rerun of the first webinar. I know we're talking about when to best place it. This might be our opportunity.

I think it is a no for the Work Stream 2 so I'm still open for another suggestion or suggestions of the topics we might want to consider. Hadia, would we want to have a timeline for these suggestions from our colleagues, or RALOs, or however you want to approach this potential topic? I think we just need one if we want to do two At-Large webinars. But we might want to talk towards our lovely GSE team for their observations—whether there's something going on, whether there's something they want to pick up. Or do you have suggestions that you might want to give there? Thank you very much.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you Joanna. So, agreeing on a deadline, that's good. And that's necessary, I think. Meanwhile, we could go back to our RALOs and ask them for suggestions, come back with those suggestions on our next meeting. Let's say that week, that would be the deadline. And I'm happy to hear suggestions on that as well. Cheryl is putting a "no." Cheryl, can you see ...?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Oh, yeah. Cheryl's putting a "no." I feel like I'm being forced into being feistier than usual this morning. Sorry about that, team. No. Actually, I'm not sorry at all. Guys, there's not a lot of time to organize the prep meeting, okay? Prep week is already a busy one. I love the fact that you want to constantly engage every man and their dog out in the RALOs, and the At-Large structures and the individual members. But come on. Start doing that for what they want to do this time next year, not this time next month. You've got three very good options. Pick two let's set them up.

Remember, this group is representative of the diversity across all your RALOs. This decision's not bottom-up. This working group is a bottom-up aspect of what we do and you don't have to constantly go back, at short notice, to monthly meetings for example, that in some cases might be held early enough for us to do anything as a result. But it can take RALOs three, if not four, months to do some of the things you're wanting to turn around in less than that many weeks. So, just saying. You're going to get a big red x from me. But if you want to try, I love to watch.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. So, Cheryl, I do agree that one week is not enough time to get feedback from RALOs. It was just a suggestion to try. So, Joanna is saying DNS abuse and universal access. That sounds good to me. Natalia says, "Me too." So, I guess that means, Natalia, that you find this challenging as well. It is, indeed, challenging to get [inaudible].

Okay. So, Joanna's saying we'll take DNS abuse and universal acceptance to Global Stakeholder Engagement and see if they have anything planned. Okay. So, Joanna, I was wondering. Would you like to speak to Global Stakeholder Engagement and ask them first about what they think or what they suggest? And according to the outcome of this discussion, you might end up with settling with DNS abuse and universal acceptance or maybe some other topic. What do you think?

So, Joanna is saying, "Sure thing." Heidi is saying, "Action item for Joanna to coordinate with Global Stakeholder Engagement on two topics for At-Large prep webinars." Yes, indeed. That's the action item for agenda item number six. If we all agree to that, we can move to item number seven. And I see no hands up.

And I think item number seven and item number eight ... Okay. So, let's go to item number seven, future webinar topics and any suggestions for that. So, right now, what we have remaining is the webinar on universal acceptance and another one in relation to Subsequent Procedures and new gTLD round. So, are there any suggestions for future topics? Natalia, Abdulkarim, Joanna? Okay. So, if we don't have suggestions today, maybe we could—

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Hello. Can you hear me?

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Is this Abdulkarim?

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Yes. Sorry. I've been having connection issues.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Please go ahead.

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Okay. Yeah. So, I wanted to suggest some few topics—probably one or two. The first one has to do with topics dealing with ICANN's accountability and transparency. That's one area I think we might probably organize a webinar on. And also, another one is on internet governance activities and issues around internet governance in general. I have not been able to [craft] the topic in particular but I'm just thinking of something around that area.

Then, I'm also thinking of something around maybe webinars on how to improve our outreach and engagement or webinars on how to work, especially—or to improve what we're doing—with the new reality, which is working more online. And that's just the three things which I've been thinking about. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. So, I like your proposed topics, Abdulkarim. And I think if we tackle internet governance, it has to be in relation to ICANN policy development. I believe it should be narrowed down to that, in order not to get into an area that's not ours. I'll stop there and leave the floor for others to say their opinion. So, I see no hands up. Cheryl is also saying, "Internet governance per se is not usually an ICANN core mission.

Therefore, policy piece.” Yes. So, internet governance could be tackled in relation to ICANN policy development.

And Natalia is saying, “Bad connection for voice now. I support Daniel’s and now Abdulkarim’s suggestion to hold an outreach webinar and explain to the community how to use the strategy, how to help evolve it, how to implement it, and help us with metrics and understanding the results.” And so, we could add those three topics. So, that would be an action item. Cheryl, would you like to take the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Yeah. The words “accountability and transparency” are easy to throw about but they are actually both vast topics. So, as someone who’s deeply involved in accountability and transparency, not only in ICANN but elsewhere, I just wanted to know from Abdulkarim exactly what aspects of A and T was he thinking about?

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Abdulkarim, would you like to respond to that? Alternatively, also, you could send us a short description to each of your suggestions. But yeah. Please go ahead. So, Abdulkarim, Cheryl was asking about the accountability and transparency—your suggestion about them about accountability and transparency. And she was asking what aspect are you looking to discuss this from? Maybe Cheryl could also ... Cheryl, if you could please—

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Hello. Cheryl here. I thought you paraphrased my question perfectly well. As long as Abdulkarim can get audio back up, he should be able to answer what aspects of the wide field of accountability, and the even wider field of transparency, specifically within ICANN, was he thinking of? That's all. Not a trick question. Just one I'm interested in knowing. Are you talking about, then, the ATR3 report? Is that what you're [inaudible] the ATRT3?

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Sorry. My connection is just going off and on. I'm talking about, yes, number one, what ATRT3 is doing. And I'm also talking around if we can invite someone from the Org to come and probably—something around what the Org is also doing.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. Well, I'm going to put on my co-chair of the Accountability and Transparency Review Team Number Three for ICANN and remind you all that the final report and recommendations, which goes to the ICANN Board, will be in the hands of the ICANN Board, from the ATRT3 work, no later than the end of this calendar month. And at that stage, it is up to the Board and only the Board as to what it does or does not do with those recommendations.

So, whilst I would like to think that the Board will be involving the community widely in what it does with those recommendations, I can't preempt the Board in doing that. But I certainly would suggest that, not in prep week but at a later date, for a general webinar, we can certainly have a public webinar in our [inaudible] things which helps the average

reader, who has not been involved, know what it is that is in the ATRT3 report. That's not a prep week activity. That really would be an after-68 activity. But that certainly is easy enough to do, yes.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Okay. Thank you for this, Cheryl. And again, Abdulkarim, if you could put a description to each of your suggestions. I see Heidi's hand is up. And Gisella is actually pointing out in the chat—and this is with regard to the previous item—that there will be no interpretation for the webinars held during the prep week. So, webinars during the first week of June only will have interpretation. After that, there will be no interpretation available. So, we either go for webinars during the prep week without interpretation or we hold them during the first week. So, what do you think?

So, I personally think that holding those two webinars during the first week of June is quite tight. We don't have much time to prepare for that. And like that, we would have, actually, three webinars running during the same week. So, we will have, on the first week of June, the geopolitics and cybersecurity. And then, we would have two more. I think that's too much for one week and also not much time to prepare. Joanna, would you like to take the floor?

JOANNA KULESZA:

Yeah. Thank you Hadia. Rather than typing furiously into my chat box ... I say we might want to run these right before the meeting and doing the best that we can to just run them without the interpretation—the odd [chance] for At-Large, whereas we would usually have interpretation.

And Heidi is also noting Zoom fatigue. This is why we indicated we might want to limit the number of Zoom meetings.

My understanding is that there is no one explicitly objecting to us holding prep week webinars, or is there an objection coming from anyone in this group indicating there are too many Zoom meetings. We don't want to have two more additional meetings in the week, just preceding the online virtual ICANN 68. Is there objection to that idea? I assumed there wasn't but I might have been wrong. I don't see any questions. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No.

JOANNA KULESZA: I think we're good to proceed, Heidi, in terms of us holding just two of these, taking into consideration and going without interpretation in the week just preceding ICANN 68. That would be my takeaway from this discussion. And I'm happy to coordinate with Adam on the topics of DNS abuse and universal acceptance for that specifically. Thank you, Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you so much, Joanna. I definitely agree to that. And Cheryl also is putting a thumbs up, so she's agreeing. And I see no one disagreeing so let's go for that. And yeah. Joanna, please coordinate with Global Stakeholder Engagement with regard to the webinars.

So, our next item is gender diversity. And we mean here, gender diversity of speakers or presenters. We actually have only one minute for that. So, I would quickly invite you to say your thoughts on that item.

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Sorry. I'm on the phone so I can't raise my hand.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Abdulkarim, please go ahead.

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Okay. My suggestion is regarding, especially given what Cheryl mentioned last week, that we have some people within the community which we don't know their area of specialization or area of expertise. And just like until last week, I never knew what Cheryl's area of expertise is.

So, my suggestion is if we can put out a Google Doc. Ask people to say, "You know what? What is your area of expertise or what would you like to talk about?" And I'm sure, probably, from the list, we can actually pick people that we think would be fit for the topic. And in doing that, we would also be able to solve the problem of diversity because we'll have a list to pick from. Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thanks, Abdulkarim. So, I agree. Actually, I find it a good idea, too. However, there is always this ... I agree to the idea of having a table in

which people could log in and state their area of expertise. However, I think someone mentioned last time that sometimes people put in areas of expertise that they're not really experts.

Heidi is saying, "We also include speakers from ICANN Org and other supporting organization and advisory committees. Statements of interests are set up and available." Yes. Sure. So, according to Heidi's suggestion, we could also look into that.

But generally speaking, we could try doing that. Let's gather a table with areas of expertise, even if that won't benefit with regard to ... I think it could benefit us with regard to the webinars but it also could be a benefit to other areas as well, in relation to outreach and engagement. And Heidi's saying, "There is a table of ambassadors about to be launched." So, I'll stop there and ask for your comments. Natalia?

NATALIA FILINA: Can you hear me now?

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Yes.

NATALIA FILINA: Oh. Great. Thank you. So, I would like to say that we are talking a lot—I mean in EURALO, too—we are talking a lot about the possibility of collaboration with our [inaudible] partner. For example, it's RIPE NCC and CENTR. And I think we can work with them on, maybe, more technical topics for our webinars and invite speakers from therein. For

example, about the rights and the roles of registrars or registries, new IP addresses ... This is a very actual issue now, if we're talking about Chinese case, for example.

And I think we can start work with our partners. In each RALO, we can take each RIR, for example, and ask people to join us as host speakers and enhance our agenda, I think. What do you think about it?

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you, Natalia. I think it's a good idea. And let's see what others think. So, Heidi is saying in the chat, "Could this wait until after ICANN 68 and also have it be open to the community to add things?" It's definitely possible to do that. But let's put the outline off the table now. We can take this over email. Abdulkarim, if you could send us a sample for a table that would include the name, and expertise, and maybe the RALO for example, or whatever—

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Can I ask a question?

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Yes. Please go ahead.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

First of all, I'm assuming this is going to be a post-ICANN 68 activity, not try to sandwich it in as a completed activity in any way, shape, or form between now and our next ICANN meeting. That being said, is this is

table ...? Because I've heard of these tables, probably over the last 10 years, being collected, and collated, and managed in different ways, in different RALOs, at different times, and for different purposes.

Is this going to be a one table to fit all? Is this going to be something only for the use of the webinar? There's got to be some guardrails and guidelines if you're ever going to set up any of these things with any ongoing and living success.

So, I understand what Europe's done. I understand what NARALO has done. I understand all of that. But they're all not done for the same purpose in the same way or managed in the same manner. We need to be really clear about what we want out of such a database. Happy to talk about it. Happy to even do it. But it's not something you shoehorn in, in a couple of weeks. It takes proper planning and very careful management.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you so much for that, Cheryl. I totally agree. And yes, it could be definitely used for different purposes and not only webinars. And Natalia's also saying, "Fully agree." And that's why my suggestion was to start with drafting something and take it up from there. And Adbulkarim is saying, "I think the database would just be a guide." Joanna, would you like to take the floor?

JOANNA KULESZA:

Thank you, Hadia. Knowing we're out of time, I'm happy to refer this to email. I like the idea and I'm really glad we have people who are willing

to work on that. So, fingers crossed and I'm always happy to support that. Thank you very much.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you. Abdulkarim?

ABDULKARIM OLOYEDE: Yes. I'm happy to help with that. That is fine.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Thank you. Natalia, I guess that was an old hand. If so, we are seven minutes past our time. And we conclude this item by having an action item for Abdulkarim to start a draft document for us. And whoever also would like to work with Abdulkarim—maybe Natalia—could also do that.

So, now we need to agree on our next meeting. And that would be Thursday, 28th of May at 19:00 UTC. Cheryl's saying, "Note ICANN will be rolling out the CRM system for At-Large soon. That is an opportunity for a database matrix." Okay. So, if staff could confirm our next meeting.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Hi, Hadia.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Yes. The line is cutting.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yes. We will go ahead and schedule this for next Thursday at 19:00 UTC.
Thank you.

HADIA ELMINIAWI: Okay. Very good. Thank you so much. If we have no other business, we can close the meeting now. Cheryl, do you have anything to add? If none, then thank you so much for a very productive meeting and for your time. And this meeting is now adjourned. Thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Thanks, everyone. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]