EPDP

Status Update

Alan Greenberg
08 April 2020

Background

 Discussions with a variety of people in different constituencies.

EPDP Phase 2

Prognosis

POOR!

- Not sufficient to satisfy BC, IPC
- ALAC has significant issues
- So far, GAC has tended to have positions similar to ours
- Contracted parties seem to be pulling back or at best not willing to give anything more
- SSAC direction not clear, but certainly unhappy with Legal/Natural status
- Chair determined to proceed and issue report on time.
 Now holding 3-hour meetings! (Perhaps opposite outcome of F2F)
- Staff predicting gloom and doom if we do not make our 01
 June deadline

Varia

- Not allowed to issue dissenting statements in draft reports
- We and others will comment, but chance of impacting outcomes minimal
- Some talk about putting on the brakes and looking at radically different models, but time and some participants in EPDP will make that difficult.

ALAC Issues

- Initial SSAD Implementation will decentralize (ie rely on Registrar action) most decisions and no clear and believable mechanism to permit the SSAD model to evolve and improve
 - Current version better than the status quo only in that statistics will be collected.
- Natural vs Legal Persons
- Accuracy

Outcomes

If things go as it seems today...

- Not sure if it will be adopted by the GNSO Council
- If it does, Board will be faced with significant negatives to adopting it

 If not adopted by the GNSO Council or Board, status quo not satisfactory!

Recommendations

- 1. Be prepared to issue strong dissenting statement if we follow current path.
- 2. Be prepared to issue strong advice to the Board if adopted by the GNSO Council.

Or...

If the EPDP does decide to switch directions, we will have to see where that goes.