

# **EPDP**

Status Update

**Alan Greenberg**

08 April 2020

# Caution: Personal Opinion

- But I have spoken to a variety of people in different constituencies
- I have sent this to Hadia, but had not yet received a response.

# EPDP Phase 2

## Prognosis

- **POOR!**
  - Not sufficient to satisfy BC, IPC
  - ALAC has significant issues
  - So far, GAC has tended to have positions similar to ours
  - Contracted parties seem to be pulling back or at best not willing to give anything more
  - SSAC direction not clear, but certainly unhappy with Legal/Natural status
  - Chair determined to proceed and issue report on time. Now holding 3-hour meetings! (Perhaps opposite outcome of F2F)
  - Staff predicting gloom and doom if we do not make our 01 June deadline

# Varia

- Not allowed to issue dissenting statements in draft reports
- We and others will comment, but chance of impacting outcomes minimal
- Some talk about putting on the brakes and looking at radically different models, but time and some participants in EPDP will make that difficult.

# ALAC Issues

- Initial SSAD Implementation will decentralize (ie rely on Registrar action) most decisions and no clear and believable mechanism to permit the SSAD model to evolve and improve
  - Current version better than the status quo only in that statistics will be collected.
- Natural vs Legal Persons
- Accuracy

# Outcomes

If things go as it seems today...

- Not sure if it will be adopted by the GNSO Council
- If it does, Board will be faced with significant negatives to adopting it
- If not adopted by the GNSO Council or Board, status quo not satisfactory!

# Recommendations

1. Be prepared to issue strong dissenting statement if we follow current path.
2. Be prepared to issue strong advice to the Board if adopted by the GNSO Council.

# Or...

If the EPDP does decide to switch directions, we will have to see where that goes.