

Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 28 May 2020 – Meeting #39

Meeting #39 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –
Thursday, 28 May 2020 @ 19:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

1. Roll Call + SOI Updates
2. Recommendations including Bylaws Update:
 - Recommendation 10
 - Recommendation 27
 - Recommendation 24
3. Webinar – ICANN68 Prep Session
4. Next Meetings
5. A.O.B.

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

11:58:11 From vandascartezini : hi everyone .

11:59:57 From vandascartezini : I am in two different calls but my mic is on mute

12:03:03 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Sorry I got connection issues.. i am late

12:03:26 From Tom Barrett : welcome!

12:03:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Welcome Dave

12:03:32 From vandascartezini : Dave just starting

12:03:41 From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok thanks all

12:03:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : your audio is perfect Jean-Baptose

12:04:13 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Lars it might be Internet instability on your connect...

12:04:14 From vandascartezini : none from my side

12:04:31 From Dave Kissoondoyal : none from my side as well

12:04:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Indeed Mary

12:04:43 From vandascartezini : welcome mary

12:04:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we welcome you always!

12:04:51 From Dave Kissoondoyal : welcome Mary

12:05:34 From Mary Wong : I'm feeling the love :) Thanks for all your hard work on this and so many other ICANN projects, everyone!

12:06:57 From Nadira Al Araj : apologies my Internet was disconnected

12:07:17 From vandascartezini : welcome Nadira just starting

12:07:54 From Nadira Al Araj : Thanks Vanda, I dropped out of the meeting

12:08:49 From vandascartezini : yes more diplomatic way to say the same

12:09:54 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes were being a bit too "please do you mind if... ..
.." from my POV

12:10:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : but giving them a heads up formally

12:10:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes the ICANN ByLaws

12:11:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes that is better from my POV Tom!

12:15:41 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Sorry for that serve @Mary

12:16:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and Yes we have had the input fro the GNSO Reps in our Groups, some will resist change regardless

12:16:37 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and at least others just wanted more seat(s) to be allocated to the GNSO

12:17:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : NomCOM Size stays the same GNSO has the largest # of seats and THAY can self determin how they rebalance anyway THEY wish

12:17:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : that it the message

12:18:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Or else we will be still woring on THIS one Recommendation when the NEXT NC Review is being Implemented

12:19:02 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Exactly Tom ball in the GNSO's court

12:19:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : they should appreciate that empowerment, just need to write it that way

12:19:37 From Dave Kissoondoyal : We have to anticipate for any scenario with the GNSO

12:19:40 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Welcome back @Raoul

12:20:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : GNSO has **7** seats that is it!

12:21:07 From vandascartezini : GNDSO can to think they can change other than their own seats

12:21:19 From vandascartezini : can NO thnik

12:21:59 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes we need to be explicite obviously in this letter

12:22:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the heads up aspect here *is* important

12:22:43 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I am OK with that approach Tom, but happy to take input from staff especially @Mary

12:22:54 From Raoul Plommer : Could we possibly give them some options, that would fulfill the needs of the rebalancing act?

12:23:53 From Raoul Plommer : Like: CSG could give their extra seat (they only have 3 constituencies) to the NCSG (NPOC) and we'd be done.

12:24:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : YES that works as well BUT we thought a heads up would be appropriate and the GNSO can get onto the job they need to do

12:25:02 From Raoul Plommer : I can't see any language on what were the needs of the rebalancing act. How will the GNSO know, which way to rebalance?

12:26:22 From Nadira Al Araj : @Raoul, the rebalancing was one of the recommendations on the review report.

12:26:43 From Arinola Akinyemi : @Raoul, if you suggestion works for now, what happens if a new constituency is created in the GNSO ?

12:27:33 From Raoul Plommer : Then they get to wait for another rebalancing review five years down the line

12:28:13 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : That is why we want to push FOR rebalance to be made in Now Implementation

12:28:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and then periodically reviewed along with all other parts of NomCom

12:29:32 From vandascartezini : from my view the new bylaws could just state that GNSO has 7 seats and the GNSO will define who will seat at NOMCOM

12:29:35 From Raoul Plommer : Nadira, yes, but we've not conveyed in this letter to GNSO, what were the reasons to rebalance, i.e. what constituency is missing out at the moment, which group has too many seats

12:30:21 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : That is clearly outlined in the Report and recommendations WE are all about *Implementing* the recommended changes

12:31:11 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Exactly Vanda they can rotate or anyway that GIVES balance and representation

12:31:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : that balance is missingnow

12:31:24 From Raoul Plommer : I think we might be in a position to set some prerequisites for the rebalancing act. I mean, last thing we need is CSG with a fifth seat :D

12:31:33 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and thus the recommendation

12:32:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the equity can be explicitly mentioned

12:33:11 From Raoul Plommer : I'm afraid that if we just hand GNSO a "blank cheque" here, they will not do anything with it because it's obviously a very contentious issue

12:33:36 From Dave Kissoondoyal : +1 Cheryl

12:33:54 From Lars Hoffmann : The regional allocation for the At-Large is an 'internal' decision. The Bylaws state: Five voting delegates selected by the At-Large Advisory Committee established by Section 12.2(d);

12:34:18 From vandascartezini : agree Cheryl

12:34:21 From Lars Hoffmann : They if the At-Large wants they could give 5 seats to any one region if they chose

12:34:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Exactly Lars the GNSO is the stick out

12:37:03 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : the genesis is specific and OUR ALAC sections of the Bylaws AND the ALAC Rules of Procedure specify the Geo basis for the 5 NC seats and indeed the NC appointments to the ALAC (Remember NC appoints to the GNSO Council in a "special" way as well

12:37:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : all other Board/AC/SO's get full voting Members from NC appointments

12:37:55 From vandascartezini : yes

12:38:03 From Arinola Akinyemi : yes

12:38:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : It is a critical one, thanks everyone!

12:42:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Each NC should be clear on its options though *This* being a "good thing" IMO

12:43:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : they Key in the terms here is the ".. at least one..

12:45:38 From vandascartezini : flexibility is the key

12:52:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : It can be given the role of Overwatch for certain principles

12:52:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and these Recs

12:54:47 From Dave Kissoondoyal : i am ok

12:55:05 From Betsy Andrews : That's right!

12:55:18 From Betsy Andrews : (Public Responsibility Support)

12:55:26 From Arinola Akinyemi : I am ok too

12:55:53 From Mary Wong : Just a note that staff has continued to discuss the proposed language and we may offer some refinements as well.

12:56:55 From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes

12:56:57 From Raoul Plommer : Aye

12:57:05 From Arinola Akinyemi : yes

13:04:04 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Yes 5 is just a good group.

13:04:28 From Arinola Akinyemi : That should make it a 6 member committee if you include the current NomCom chair

13:05:00 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Associate Chair makes sense

13:05:17 From Arinola Akinyemi : That's fine by me

13:05:53 From Nadira Al Araj : they must have been on the nomcom

13:06:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Associate Chair will have usually be serving their 3rd year

13:07:09 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and YES they all SC Members *MUST* have served on a NC

13:07:23 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I would go so far as to say *actively served*

13:07:51 From Arinola Akinyemi : actively served I agree

13:07:56 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : not dedicated staff support byut access to the NC ones

13:08:18 From vandascartezini : agree with you Cheryl. no dean for a staff

13:08:19 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Strongly agree with Cheryl

13:08:33 From vandascartezini : not need

13:09:26 From Dave Kissoondoyal : I am for travel support for the standing committee

13:10:27 From Nadira Al Araj : I don't see the need for travel support for the standing committee because there task can be done remotely

13:10:48 From Arinola Akinyemi : I agree with Nadira

13:11:17 From vandascartezini : Nadira - we can not preview in the future but if necessary ok, normally will not be needed

13:12:00 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : regardless it is an in the weeds detail at this stage we need to "resource"including staff support/access to a known \$\$ Budget amount

13:12:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and any Rec we are implementing if there are costs associated we need to cost and budget for

13:12:58 From vandascartezini : yes but I believe we will go through this for all recs

13:14:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Indeed @Vanda though some Recs will have no additional costs to attribute even ByLaws changes are not usually costed to the Implementation of Recs that cause them but NEW resourcing has to be

13:14:46 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : some Recs come into Core costings

13:15:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes please Lars this needs proper Prep

13:17:24 From vandascartezini : no I am ok on what we agreed

13:18:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : YUP

13:18:23 From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes

13:18:44 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : yes in the week+ before the ICANN68 week

13:19:20 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : All good from my POV

13:19:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Naa 15 is fine from my POV

13:19:44 From Nadira Al Araj : +1 the out reach before ICANN 68

13:20:07 From vandascartezini : Yes good plan

13:20:50 From Dave Kissoondoyal : only 5 minutes for recommendations

13:21:35 From Nadira Al Araj : ;)

13:21:36 From Dave Kissoondoyal : ok

13:24:47 From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes week off during ICANN Virtual Meeting

13:25:35 From vandascartezini : i can not do that. my clients do not agree..sorry

13:26:57 From vandascartezini : looks a good idea Cheryl focus on recs

13:27:16 From vandascartezini : ok for me
13:27:22 From vandascartezini : on the 11
13:27:36 From Dave Kissoondoyal : i am OK as well, 4, 11 , 16
13:28:08 From Dave Kissoondoyal : yes
13:28:14 From vandascartezini : 16 too
13:28:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks everyone for todays call great progress, Bye
for now...
13:28:29 From Raoul Plommer : Cheers!
13:28:38 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all