

Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 7 May 2020 – Meeting #38

Meeting #37 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) –
Thursday, 7 May 2020 @ 19:00 UTC!

Proposed Draft Agenda

- 1. Roll Call + SOI Updates**
- 2. Recommendations including Bylaws Update:**
 - Recommendation 10
 - Recommendation 27
 - Recommendation 24
- 3. Next Meetings**
- 4. A.O.B.**

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>

SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

12:00:04 From Leah Symekher : Hello All, Leah here

12:00:30 From Pamela Smith : Welcome, Leah.

12:01:47 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Hello everyone

12:01:55 From Pamela Smith : What is the name of the person on their iPad, please?

12:02:50 From iPad (27) : that's me sorry Vanda Scartezini

12:03:48 From Pamela Smith : No problem, Vanda!

12:03:54 From Pamela Smith : Thank you for the quick reply!!!

12:03:58 From Pamela Smith : :)

12:06:19 From Vanda Scartezini : sorry I am in another call in parallel reason why usin my iPad will try to rename

12:07:14 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : As am I Most inconvenient to have these clashes

12:09:17 From Tom Barrett : here is what the current ICANN bylaws say:

12:09:21 From Tom Barrett : (g) Voting delegates to the Nominating Committee shall be selected from the Generic Names Supporting Organization established by Article 11, as follows:

(i) One delegate from the Registries Stakeholder Group;

(ii) One delegate from the Registrars Stakeholder Group;

(iii) Two delegates from the Business Constituency, one representing small business users and one representing large business users;

(iv) One delegate from the Internet Service Providers and Connectivity Providers Constituency (as defined in Section 11.5(a)(iii));

(v) One delegate from the Intellectual Property Constituency; and

(vi) One delegate from consumer and civil society groups, selected by the Non-Commercial Users Constituency.

12:12:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks Lars

12:14:18 From Vanda Scartezini : *thanks

12:14:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Overarching change to allow flexibility

12:16:08 From Vanda Scartezini : yes it is for each one to decide

12:16:54 From Dave Kissoondoyal : I think that the letter is well drafted and focused to the GNSO

12:16:56 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I would not mention to much and NOT anything about the GAC seat, rather hey GNSO YOU have 7 seats sort a balance out!

12:17:01 From Nadira Al Araj : Fair enough

12:17:22 From Vanda Scartezini : yes fair

12:17:49 From Leahsymekher : I agree with Cheryl..focus on GNSO

12:18:14 From Nadira Al Araj : both

12:18:55 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We can certainly propose some likely ByLaw language that is designed to be overarching and flexible FOR GNSO to sort out with a few whereas points up to Legal

12:19:01 From Arinola Akinyemi : +1 Leah

12:19:23 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : No it means i'm sharing my screen

12:19:25 From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : :)

12:20:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : tend to agree Lars

12:21:12 From Dave Kissoondoyal : +1 Lars

12:21:37 From Leahsymekher : i agree with that

12:21:55 From Nadira Al Araj : Thanks

12:24:17 From Nadira Al Araj : +1 to Lars to put a definition

12:25:24 From Leahsymekher : +1 on definition

12:25:39 From Vanda Scartezini : agree Leah

12:28:22 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : :-)

12:28:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Darn!

12:29:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Liaison roles in the Board

12:30:05 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : RRSAC, SSAC GAC

12:30:46 From Nadira Al Araj : It will be tough

12:31:03 From Vanda Scartezini : yes GAC is just a member assured for the chair

12:31:52 From Nadira Al Araj : Going back to the definition of the conflict of interest

12:33:29 From Vanda Scartezini : yes with Cheryl on that

12:33:48 From Nadira Al Araj : leave it broad

12:34:31 From Vanda Scartezini : I would not enter into compensation issues

12:34:57 From Vanda Scartezini : it is elected by the interested me,v

12:35:02 From Vanda Scartezini : member

12:36:54 From Nadira Al Araj : Then we will be ending excluding applicants who employee
to some NGO have contracts with ICANN

12:38:07 From Vanda Scartezini : yes Nadira in the end we will come out with no
candidate that understand about iCANN

12:38:09 From Lars Hoffmann : This is the problem/rationale from the IE for the
recommendation:

12:38:09 From Lars Hoffmann : NomCom appointees are expected to act in the public
interest of the global Internet community

and not on behalf of a narrower set of interests. The majority of survey respondents either “agree”

or “strongly agree” that the NomCom should prioritize appointees that act independently.

Some

people expressed in the interviews that the NomCom’s primary role is to appoint individuals who

45

are not affiliated with ICANN at all (i.e., not “ICANN insiders”) and can therefore bring new ideas

to ICANN.

When asked what one or two changes they would make to the NomCom, a number of individuals

cited increasing the independence of NomCom appointees in order to reduce the chance that people

vote in blocs based on narrow interests rather than the interest of the broader ICANN community.

A few interviewees were unclear as to whether Board members appointed by the NomCom should

act independently, and as to what acting independently means in practice.

12:41:00 From Vanda Scartezini : any board member shall act independently no matter if selected or not by NOMCOM

12:45:00 From Nadira Al Araj : From Wikipedia

An independent director (also sometimes known as an outside director) is a director (member) of a board of directors who does not have a material or pecuniary relationship with company or related persons, except sitting fees. |

12:45:25 From Nadira Al Araj : https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Independent_director

12:46:17 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Which is normal in For Profits etc.,

12:46:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we have an odd structure in ICANN even for a NFP

12:47:21 From Nadira Al Araj : then we have to put the definition per Lars suggestion

12:52:11 From Remmy Nweke : +1 Cheryl

12:52:17 From Leahsymekher : the dual path is an issue rather challenge the nomcom faces a lot

12:52:59 From Remmy Nweke : I think such a person like CEO of GoDaddy should encouraged to affiliate with any ICANN community in this instance

12:53:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : use the quotes from the examiners Report

12:54:38 From Nadira Al Araj : I think the NomCom would develop the legibility test

12:54:44 From Nadira Al Araj : based on the definition

12:54:45 From Remmy Nweke : Yes, even the local IG community

12:55:09 From Nadira Al Araj : and the need of that particular year

12:55:20 From Leahsymekher : +1 @ Nadira

12:56:00 From Nadira Al Araj : exactly Lars they are example and not comprehensive

12:56:23 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Agree with Lars

12:56:47 From Remmy Nweke : Yes @Lars

12:56:48 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and NomComs need flexibility to operate with whatever talent they actually get applying in any year

12:56:53 From Nadira Al Araj : and useful for the applicants a well

12:57:09 From Vanda Scartezini : yes I agree can be a good suggestion Lars

12:57:11 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : You still caught in definitions though Lars

12:57:20 From Leahsymekher : +1 @ Cheryl

12:57:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We need to Define Unaffiliate or whatever term sure

12:59:17 From Vanda Scartezini : + 1 Cheryl

13:00:20 From Nadira Al Araj : unaffiliated = not closely associated

13:02:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : BTW I am a huge supporter of Independent Directors IN Governance

13:03:05 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : huge

13:04:05 From Nadira Al Araj : :)

13:06:29 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : OUR Job is to IMPLEMENT what HAS been approved

13:07:01 From Nadira Al Araj : +1 Cheryl

13:07:08 From Vanda Scartezini : yes focus on the approved is fundamental

13:07:17 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Approved by the Board not stated in the IE Report id
criticle here

13:11:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : that is my remembrance

13:12:53 From Nadira Al Araj : Thanks

13:12:59 From Nadira Al Araj : I've got it

13:13:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we tell the community WHY we make each decision
point of course!

13:14:13 From Nadira Al Araj : It will have flexible definition then we don't have to change
any seats

13:14:14 From Vanda Scartezini : sure Cheryl

13:15:01 From Nadira Al Araj : I have green shared screen

13:15:37 From Dave Kissoondoyal : me.. too asked me to do 2 updates of Zoom

13:16:43 From Nadira Al Araj : @Dave what about Zoom on mobile?

13:17:37 From Nadira Al Araj : Great analysis Tom

13:18:44 From Dave Kissoondoyal : @Nidira I tried on mobile also. I attended the ICANN
public board meeting simultaneously 45 minutes ago and it did not ask me to update zoom on
mobile

13:19:20 From Nadira Al Araj : yes that what happened to be for the mobile app

13:19:21 From Remmy Nweke : May be you device did update automatically on
rebooting.

13:21:10 From Nadira Al Araj : <comment> with this analysis it shows that we don't need
to determine the number

13:21:18 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Version: 5.0.1 (23508.0430) on desktop .. version
4.6.12 (20589.0419) on mobile

13:21:34 From Remmy Nweke : Thanks @Dave

13:22:38 From leahsymekher : yes...aspirational..stays that way...but do agree with
flexibility

13:25:40 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Agree

13:25:41 From Nadira Al Araj : And use the same sentence that Cheryl has suggested

13:27:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Fine by me for the 28th

13:27:30 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Agree from my side

13:27:52 From Nadira Al Araj : agree

13:27:53 From Remmy Nweke : Good too for me. 28 is ok

13:28:05 From Vanda Scartezini : ok 28 no problem

13:28:38 From Leahsymekher : All the best on your move to Europe Lars.

13:28:44 From Leahsymekher : 28th ok

13:28:46 From Dave Kissoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all

13:28:55 From Remmy Nweke : Yes, stay safe all

13:29:00 From Arinola Akinyemi : bye all and stay safe