## Chat Transcript - NomComRIWG - Thursday, 26 March 2020 - Meeting #35

11:58:34 From vandascartezini : hi everyone !!!
11:58:41 From vandascartezini : hi Cheryl
11:58:46 From vandascartezini : hi lars
11:58:59 From davekissoondoyal : Hello everyone

11:59:55 From Yvette Guigneaux :

Meeting #35 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) - Thursday, 26 March 2020 @ 19:00 UTC

12:00:07 From Yvette Guigneaux :

Proposed Draft Agenda Roll Call + SOI Updates

2. Recommendations including Bylaws Update:

Recommendation 7
Recommendation 9
Recommendation 10
Recommendation 27
Recommendation 24
3. Next Meetings
4. A.O.B.

Action Items: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/g40GBg">https://community.icann.org/x/g40GBg</a> SOI blank form: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg">https://community.icann.org/x/g40GBg</a>

Standard Rules of Behavior: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en</a>

12:00:15 From Yvette Guigneaux :

Apologies: Leah Symekher, Satish Babu, Shreedeep Rayamajhi

12:01:36	From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : :)	
12:01:45	From vandascartezini : none from me	
12:07:09	From vandascartezini : it is ok for me	
12:07:25	From davekissoondoyal : ok for me as well	
12:08:07	From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : rec. 10:	
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1MbC2o2QZ9AQe-		
fmdf1FDrQJGC	0v9Hx jD0Vh2nwaB2pc/edit?usp=sharing	
12:10:03	From vandascartezini : yes, GAC is already there	

12:10:09	From Nadira Al Araj : My Internet connection is unstable
12:10:15	From Nadira Al Araj : I'm not hearing well
12:10:27	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : As is mine I have dropped 3 times already
12:10:31	From vandascartezini : nadir wants someone to call you?
12:11:29	From vandascartezini : not me thanks the measures that been taken by
operators in co	onjunction with government.
12:12:23	From Nadira Al Araj : Thanks Vanda, I still not dropping o ut
12:12:37	From vandascartezini : oknadira. kisses
12:13:16	From Nadira Al Araj: Yes, the report doesn't recommend a change in the
NomCom seat	S
12:13:34	From Lars Hoffmann: Also - here is the link to this graphic.
12:13:34	From Lars Hoffmann :
https://www.i	cann.org/sites/default/files/assets/nomcom2019-structure-2100x1275-24oct18-
en.jpg	
12:14:10	From vandascartezini : ALAC is well balanced
12:14:10 12:20:23	From vandascartezini : ALAC is well balanced From davekissoondoyal : +1 Vanda
12:20:23 12:20:31	From davekissoondoyal : +1 Vanda
12:20:23 12:20:31	From davekissoondoyal : +1 Vanda From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  D's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  D's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  D's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo  From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please?
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43 12:23:01	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  O's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo  From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please?  From Tom-EnCirca: yup. after cheryl
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43 12:23:01 12:23:06 12:25:58	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  O's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo  From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please?  From Tom-EnCirca: yup. after cheryl  From Lars Hoffmann: perfect. Thank you!
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43 12:23:01 12:23:06 12:25:58	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11  O's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo  From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please?  From Tom-EnCirca: yup. after cheryl  From Lars Hoffmann: perfect. Thank you!  From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But even if you remove the non voting Leadership it
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43 12:23:01 12:23:06 12:25:58 is 18+ 6 so against 12:27:52	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11 D's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please? From Tom-EnCirca: yup. after cheryl From Lars Hoffmann: perfect. Thank you! From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But even if you remove the non voting Leadership it ain 2 seats per AC/SO OR 3 for ccNSO, GNSO and ALAC
12:20:23 12:20:31 parts or AC/SC 12:22:38 12:22:43 12:23:01 12:23:06 12:25:58 is 18+ 6 so against 12:27:52	From davekissoondoyal: +1 Vanda From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If you tae the 21 seats and divide them by the 11 D's you get basically 2 seats per AC/SP From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: my math was wrong sorry et me redo From Lars Hoffmann: Tom - could you add me to the queue, please? From Tom-EnCirca: yup. after cheryl From Lars Hoffmann: perfect. Thank you! From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But even if you remove the non voting Leadership it in 2 seats per AC/SO OR 3 for ccNSO, GNSO and ALAC From vandascartezini: as for Cheryl the only change I would suggest IF ccNSO

12:35:20	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I think we can go either way a full rebalancing effect
all but the nee	d to rebalance is from GNSO so the simplest approach is to just get the AC SO's
to internally re	eballance
12:35:24	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : For Now
12:36:51	From Nadira Al Araj: +1 Cheryl, I think we agreed on your suggestion last
meeting	
12:37:08	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We could decouple THIS recommendation to be
implemented l	ast
12:37:22	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: to take into account Ken's point
12:37:39	From vandascartezini : ccNSO
12:38:06	From vandascartezini : the second BC goes to NPOC
12:38:55	From Nadira Al Araj: +1 to Ken's suggestion to have 2nd ccNSO
12:39:27	From Nadira Al Araj : As for NPOC the GNSO can do rotations
12:40:09	From vandascartezini : Nadira BC has 2 seats while all other constituencies has 1
12:40:42	From Nadira Al Araj : O dpm
12:40:52	From Nadira Al Araj : Sorry
12:40:59	From Ken Stubbs : we stand by & let the "fur fly"
12:41:18	From Nadira Al Araj : The BC they have one seat
12:41:48	From vandascartezini : 2 Nadira
12:41:51	From Nadira Al Araj : sorry one for the large and the other for the small
12:42:14	From vandascartezini : yes but large and small has in registrars and in registries
12:42:39	From vandascartezini : and they do not have 2 seats. why not give one for
NPOC?	
12:42:54	From Nadira Al Araj : let them decide
12:43:01	From davekissoondoyal : We leave it to the GNSO to decide
12:43:17	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I see all the login in these suggestions I think it us uo
to the GNSO to	o do
12:43:20	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: YES Ken

12:43:41	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : give the GNSO and the GAC a time ,iit to rebalance
and join the ta	able or not
12:43:54	From vandascartezini : in the beginning GAC had a representative, the italian
remember? th	at can define again someone and send one
12:44:17	From Lars Hoffmann: If I can be added to the queue once more, please.
12:44:37	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Agree Ken thus my take it back to the AC/SOs
approach	
12:44:56	From davekissoondoyal : agree
12:45:49	From vandascartezini : the advantages of cheryl approach of 2 per each is that
none has the p	power to decide if there is no way to find consensus
12:46:12	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : And also *IF* we were to do a radical restructure
then it should	also allow us to change the total number of seats (down or up) and we have a
competing Red	commendation on that
12:46:13	From vandascartezini : inside a final vote timeline NOMCOM
12:47:37	From vandascartezini : we need to be careful here
12:48:17	From Ken Stubbs : not a fan of radical restructuring we will lose the overall
message	
12:48:36	From Arinola Akinyemi : +1 Ken
12:49:37	From Lars Hoffmann : One more comment from me.
12:54:41	From vandascartezini : + 1 Cheryl
12:55:19	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Heap of ideas to cosider!
12:56:22	From Nadira Al Araj : better to have 90 minutes duration
12:56:29	From davekissoondoyal: agree for fortnight meetings for 90 minutes
12:56:30	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: This has my FULL support!
12:56:33	From Tom Barrett: I would think we could get flexibility about the rec regarding
the number of	seats in order to do the re-balancing. but we will ask for guidance here.
12:56:39	From vandascartezini : i believe it is good to have 1:30 hs
12:57:40	From vandascartezini : ok
12:57:58	From vandascartezini : 19 UTC

12:58:15	From davekissoondoyal : ok
12:58:25	From Arinola Akinyemi : ok
12:58:43	From Jean-Baptiste Deroulez : 30 JUne
12:59:18	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : INdeed I am
12:59:53	From vandascartezini : stay safe everyone !!
12:59:56	From davekissoondoyal: Thanks and bye Stay safe
12:59:57	From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks Stay safe
13:00:02	From Arinola Akinyemi : thanks