

13:32:44 From Evin Erdoğan : Hello all, welcome.
13:36:22 From Gisella Gruber : Welcome Veni and Milton
13:36:36 From miltonmueller : greetings Gisele
13:36:45 From miltonmueller : and Veni!
13:38:01 From Vitor Horita : Hello Everyone. My name is Vitor Horita from Brazil!!
13:38:34 From Lito Ibarra : Hi, Vitor. Welcome
13:41:52 From Vitor Horita : Thanks Lito!!!
13:42:14 From Ekisesta : thanks
13:42:28 From Ekisesta : hello everyone
13:43:36 From Svitlana Tkachenko : Hello!
13:43:53 From davekissoondoyal : Hello everyone
13:43:58 From paf : Hello!
13:44:09 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Hi
Dave we need to stop meeting like this:)
13:44:34 From Gisella Gruber : Welcome to everyone!
13:44:41 From Esther Patricia Akello : thanks
13:44:53 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Hello Everyone
13:45:13 From Joanna Kulesza to Michelle DeSmyter (Privately) : ready when you are
13:45:43 From vandascartezini : the everyone
13:45:46 From Gisella Gruber : Please MUTE your notifications if you have an open mic
13:45:48 From vandascartezini : HI
13:45:55 From Satish Babu (APRALO) : Hi everyone
13:45:56 From vandascartezini : vanda from SP, brazil
13:46:13 From Vladimer : Hello Everyone from Tbilisi, Georgia
13:46:25 From Bruna Santos : Hello all,
13:46:34 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Hello to all!
13:46:41 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Hola a todos!
13:46:58 From Heidi Ullrich : Welcome, All.
13:47:02 From miltonmueller : hola
13:47:05 From Imran Hossen (EyHost) : Hi all from Bangladesh
13:47:17 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : Hi everyone, Welcome to this At-Large Policy
Forum
13:47:20 From Brajesh Jain : hello all from New Delhi India
13:47:46 From Alfredo Calderon : English audio stream
(<http://stream.icann.org:8000/cun67-costamaya1-en.m3u>)

Piste audio en français (<http://stream.icann.org:8000/cun67-costamaya1-fr.m3u>)

Transmisión de audio en español (<http://stream.icann.org:8000/cun67-costamaya1-es.m3u>)

13:48:35 From luisenrique : Hi from Lima, Perú
13:48:36 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : 186
participants
13:49:00 From nigel hickson : Good evening.

13:49:08 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Hi Nigel

13:49:25 From miltonmueller : hey there, Nigel

13:49:41 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : Hi all again!

13:49:43 From Lori Schulman : Nice to you see you online Nigel.

13:50:10 From Michelle DeSmyter : Expected Standards of Behavior:
<http://go.icann.org/expected-standards>

13:50:11 From Judith Hellerstein : hi Nigel

13:50:20 From Alfredo Calderon : 200 Threshold ...

13:50:40 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Our ALAC calls have been well attended

13:50:51 From Matthias M. Hudobnik : hello all :-)!

13:51:42 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Prof Mueller will show that the Internet is and will always be 'unifragged'!

13:52:09 From miltonmueller : someone has been reading...

13:52:26 From miltonmueller : spoiler alert

13:52:58 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : -)))

13:56:15 From vandascartezini : this one with 222 members!!! great!

13:56:31 From miltonmueller : 224 now ;-)

13:56:52 From paf : Calm down, when I start, 100 people might leave or fall asleep! :-D

13:56:53 From vandascartezini : congrats Joanna!!!

13:57:29 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Patrik you might be right:)

13:57:40 From paf : You should also know I am logged in both as "paf" and as "Patrik Fältström" to ensure we get an extra participant, and so that I easier can participate with two screens.

13:57:40 From Barrack Otieno : :-))

13:57:42 From Sebastien Bachollet : @paf 100 people are waiting for you to start yo come in

13:57:50 From Joanna Kulesza : note room capacity is limited to 300 ;)

13:57:56 From claudia.ruiz : Reminder: If you would like to ask a question or make a comment in English, please type it in the chat by starting and ending your sentence with <QUESTION> or <COMMENT> (please keep them short). French questions will be translated into English and read aloud, during the session, by the Remote Participation Manager.

Rappel: Si vous souhaitez poser une question ou faire un commentaire en français, veuillez le taper dans le chat en commençant et en terminant votre phrase par: <QUESTION> ou <COMMENT> (veuillez faire des commentaires brefs seulement). Les questions posées en français seront traduites en anglais et lues à haute voix par le responsable de la participation à distance.

13:57:59 From Holly Raiche : @ Patrick - far from it, we look forward to both of you

13:58:06 From Barrack Otieno : its almost 10 PM in Nairobi Patrick give us a reason to stay awake

13:58:22 From claudia.ruiz : Recordatorio: Si desea hacer una pregunta o compartir un comentario en español, por favor escríbalo en el chat incluyendo <QUESTION> o <COMMENT> antes y después de su comentario o pregunta (por favor sea breve). Las preguntas y los comentarios en español serán traducidos al inglés y leídos durante la sesión por la persona a cargo de Participación Remota.

13:58:33 From paf : @barrack only 1958 in Stockholm. I will make you happy my friend!

13:59:15 From Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen : I remember 1958 in Stockholm!!

13:59:16 From Barrack Otieno : thanks, cant find the right emoji, feedback to Zoom

13:59:23 From Alfredo Calderon : General Data Protection Regulation = GDPR

13:59:30 From Lihsuan Liang : it's 3:00 am in Taipei, nice to meet you here Patrick!

13:59:43 From Jaewon Son : 3:59am in Seoul and I was waiting for this session!

13:59:46 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : @Barrack, am in your neighborhood

14:00:05 From Rubens Kuhl : 1 - Technically possible, but anti-economic. People want to be on the Internet to do business, and the more it's fragmented, the less business opportunities there are.

14:00:15 From Samridh Kudesia : Joining the bandwagon : 12:30 am in India!

14:00:23 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : Great to see you Lihsuan and Jaewon :)

14:00:35 From Rubens Kuhl : 2 - It goes beyond. A fragmented network could have its own protocols and identifiers.

14:00:39 From nigel hickson : Fantastic to see such a global diverse attendance

14:00:51 From skcyber : GDPR what do you think about it protection end users data?

14:01:10 From Jaewon Son : good to see you all here too!!:)

14:01:13 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Great to see Rubens Kuhl always interesting comments

14:01:14 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : @Lihsuan & Jaewon - At such an early hour too - very much appreciated

14:01:58 From Rubens Kuhl : 3 - Spam is not a crime, so the question is loaded. IPRs are also compatible among jurisdictions, and only in rare occasions amount to criminal implications.

14:02:42 From Vittorio Bertola : Nice to see people from everywhere on the planet, both as an ALAC founder and as someone who cannot leave home for a while :-)

14:02:44 From Rubens Kuhl : 4 - DoH/DoT can both help secure local networks and help bypass security perimeters.

14:02:59 From Joanna Kulesza : @Rubens, interesting - would love to hear more, esp. on consumer protection from spam in EU and fair use in different jurisdictions

14:03:14 From Maxim Alzoba : @skcyber , it is a law with punishments for violations, it should be obeyed

14:03:35 From Holly Raiche : @ Rubens - you should read the transcript of the session on the issue yesterday, with presentations by Paul Hoffman and Barry Leiba

14:03:47 From Maxim Alzoba : 3 - not long ago Registries received a spam letter from one of the SSAC members - was it a good spam ?

14:04:05 From Rubens Kuhl : Spam usually triggers consumer protections when they are fraudulent. And then they are fraud, regardless of the delivery vehicle.

14:04:08 From Barrack Otieno : @Ruben maybe the definition of Spam should change

14:04:09 From Digi Tribe : @Rubens, in certain jurisdictions it is considered to be a crime. #Unsolicited messages.

14:04:22 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : @maxim that would be a definite of humour

14:04:27 From Holly Raiche : On spam, there is Australian legislation to make spam illegal in set circumstances

14:04:29 From Maxim Alzoba : vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction

14:04:57 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Also in Canada under PIMEDA regulation

14:05:01 From vgreimann : Great! If it is a crime, the police can deal with it ;-)

14:05:04 From Rubens Kuhl : And some allegedly anti-spam laws actually legalize spam, like USA CAN-Spam act.

14:05:13 From Julf Helsingius : I am sure there are lots of jurisdictions that consider the wrong political opinions spam, and block them...

14:05:13 From Maxim Alzoba : @Glen, it was received by many registries, so it was not a humor

14:06:23 From Rubens Kuhl : 6 - ICANN stakeholders like using the ghost of fragmentation to foster their goals, and that is likely to continue in other fora.

14:06:45 From vandascartezini : @rubens..agree

14:06:56 From Bill Jouris : If it's a crime, the police are empowered to deal with it. Whether they *can* deal with it is an entirely different question.

14:06:59 From Rubens Kuhl : 7 - This has everything to do with the geopolitics theme.

14:07:05 From miltonmueller : the ghost of fragmentation...:-)

14:07:05 From Maxim Alzoba : 6 - wording is leading to separation to the community

14:07:49 From Maxim Alzoba : phone world of SS7 exist in such fragmentation , it works lousy, not very secure , but works somehow

14:07:55 From Maxim Alzoba : *exists

14:08:13 From david.conrad : @rubens to be clear, the spam was sent by an employee of a company where an SSAC member works. It did not come from an SSAC member (unless we're talking about a different spam)

14:08:39 From skcyber : is the document feedback receiving time is passed?

14:08:49 From Rubens Kuhl : @Dave, I believe Maxim posted it in the chat, not me.

14:08:56 From Alfredo Calderon : @Glenn, 240 and climbing.

14:09:05 From david.conrad : @rubens, oops. Sorry!

14:09:08 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : wohooo!

14:09:17 From david.conrad : (Too many chats going on at once)

14:10:00 From Maxim Alzoba : @david , monetization of services of the company, which expertise is used in SSAC makes it any better?

14:10:01 From Rubens Kuhl : @Dave, but I also received that same spam, and had a very curious conversation with them when I replied to the sender and to the company CEO.

14:10:03 From Joanna Kulesza : PSA: Strategic Plan @ geopolitics @Leon is discussing: <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/strategic-plan-2021-2025-24jun19-en.pdf>

14:10:15 From Evin Erdoğan : Geopolitics on p.23

14:10:35 From Rubens Kuhl : Basically, they used the same lame excuse that spammers use: opt-out.

14:10:37 From davekissoondoyal : Thanks @Joanna

14:10:40 From Samridh Kudesia : Thanks @Joanna!

14:11:14 From Vladimer : @Joanna, many thanks!

14:11:31 From Jaewon Son : thank you!!

14:11:41 From vandascartezini : thanks leon, goos resume of all work done

14:11:49 From Jim Prendergast : <question> I continue to hear about the ICANN legislative and regulatory monitoring program but I have not seen it manifest itself in a formal way. Is here a program already in place? or is it still in development? I ask because when we discussed this a year ago in Kobe during the CCEG on IG session, there was envisioned a role for that group and as a member, I haven't seen anything about it yet. Thanks <question>

14:12:14 From davekissoondoyal : Thanks @Leon

14:12:17 From david.conrad : My point was that I believe it inappropriate to paint an individual who is on SSAC as a spammer because an employee of that member's company engaged in spam.

14:12:45 From Rubens Kuhl : Dave, that's also a very common excuse among spammers.

14:12:48 From Goran Marby : Jim...I can answer that if I am aloud too do that.

14:13:03 From Rubens Kuhl : It's the "My dog ate my homework" of spam.

14:13:21 From Maxim Alzoba : @david, member of cybersecurity community sends SPAM, while the same community says that it is extremely bad ...

14:13:22 From vandascartezini : will be good to hear from Veni

14:13:23 From Jim Prendergast : @thanks Goran -

14:13:27 From miltonmueller : not sure this spam discussion is terribly relevant to the topic of this forum

14:13:59 From Maxim Alzoba : it is relevant to 3. cyber security community is pushing it hard

14:14:05 From david.conrad : In my view, SSAC member != the company for which that member works.

14:14:06 From Rubens Kuhl : And in my case, I replied cc'ing the CEO of that company, and that employee replied to him as well. I believe CEOs have direct responsibility as the company.

14:14:24 From vgreimann : Spam is actually quite easy to solve:
Option 1: See spam->delete spam=solved
Option 2: Use a good spam protection service=solved

14:15:05 From Maxim Alzoba : @volker, not in a situation , when the reps of the same entity pushes for more levers on you because of bad bad spam

14:15:13 From vgreimann : Bonus: Both options can be combined

14:15:14 From Vittorio Bertola : Please realize that 90% of the people here have no idea of the spam accident you are arguing about...

14:16:12 From Jim Prendergast : thanks

14:16:27 From davekissoondoyal : +1 Vittorio

14:17:42 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : I converted the slideshows into ebooks.

14:17:46 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Milton's presentation

14:17:47 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : <http://online.fliphtml5.com/gnel/qjzu/>

14:17:55 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Patrik's presentation

14:17:59 From Rubens Kuhl : Spam now available at <https://pastebin.com/eguXi6mt> for those wanting to know. Note that is signed by the CEO of the company, even though sent by an employee.

14:18:04 From Joanna Kulesza : Veni's paper: <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/brief-overview-un-deliberations-cybersecurity-cybercrime-28feb20-en.pdf>

14:18:10 From Maxim Alzoba : not necessary it comes via UN, countries can do it Peer to Peer

14:18:17 From Theo Geurts : Thanks Joanna

14:18:57 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Patricks slideshow as a ebook <http://online.fliphtml5.com/gnel/hjvj/>

14:19:30 From davekissoondoyal : This Zoom room is 84% full already

14:19:51 From Jonathan Zuck : Amazing!

14:20:49 From skcyber : UN, GAG role, interesting

14:21:21 From miltonmueller : open ended working grup

14:21:25 From miltonmueller : group

14:21:36 From claudia.ruiz : Reminder: If you would like to ask a question or make a comment in English, please type it in the chat by starting and ending your sentence with <QUESTION> or <COMMENT> (please keep them short). French questions will be translated into English and read aloud, during the session, by the Remote Participation Manager.

14:21:43 From claudia.ruiz : Rappel: Si vous souhaitez poser une question ou faire un commentaire en français, veuillez le taper dans le chat en commençant et en terminant votre phrase par: <QUESTION> ou <COMMENT> (veuillez faire des commentaires brefs seulement). Les questions posées en français seront traduites en anglais et lues à haute voix par le responsable de la participation à distance.

14:21:52 From claudia.ruiz : Recordatorio: Si desea hacer una pregunta o compartir un comentario en español, por favor escríbalo en el chat incluyendo <QUESTION> o <COMMENT> antes y después de su comentario o pregunta (por favor sea breve). Las preguntas y los comentarios en español serán traducidos al inglés y leídos durante la sesión por la persona a cargo de Participación Remota.

14:21:55 From Maxim Alzoba : GAC is telecom ministries mostly ... if Foreign affairs offices of countries come to GAC , we all will regret

14:22:45 From Louise Marie Hurel : OEWG - also had a multistakeholder meeting in Dec (open to civil society and technical community) aside from their two substantive sessions

14:23:19 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : (though the discussion on spam is not the focus of this session) Before tighter measures (to control spam) are devised and implemented, sufficient safeguards against false positives, malicious flags and swift de-spam processes need

to be deliberated, designed and implemented as globally adopted good practices. That would safeguard the innocent before punishing the guilty.

14:24:32 From Joanna Kulesza : background on the GGE and OEWG

<https://www.un.org/disarmament/ict-security/>

14:24:56 From Zakir : Thank you @Joanna

14:25:18 From Joanna Kulesza : the current trend is to have cyberambassadors and oftentimes they welcome ICANN CB

14:25:20 From davekissoondoyal : @Joanne Many Thanks

14:25:47 From Imran Hossen (EyHost) : < question> I am new in this type meeting in ICANN. I donot have any idea about IX policy. So I have a question about IX.

There is many IX is developing from private organizations and community. As like BDIX , equinix ix and more . I see those IX is going to popular in business community and users. I need to know is there any regulation or control or policy from ICANN for those IX?

If there is no control on those IX, I think there is thread for Internet. If there is no policy for IX what you think on this? < question>

14:27:04 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : <Comment> & <Question to anybody that will take it>I see quite a lot of ALAC collaboration with GAC. That is probably generally a good thing. We just had a good meeting with GAC. Lots of joint postures and agreements. Actually some ALAC members (including myself) have worked for governments in the past, so those conversations seem natural and easy. But what are the dangers -if any- of that ALAC/GAC closeness when it comes to ICANN geopolitics, specifically, the central issue of keeping DNS & IP governance under the sovereignty of "We the People of the Internet" & not under the power of governments (specially non-liberal ones) or the ITU. And shouldn't ALAC -which stands for individuals, not governments- always be at the front lines of the defense of the non-governmental model of IG?

14:27:22 From Joanna Kulesza : yes, please, our team is handling the slides

14:27:24 From vandascartezini : thanks Veni quite interesting

14:27:34 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Please all READ THIS BOOK.

14:27:42 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Here is a link to the ebooks of the three presentation

14:27:42 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : <https://fliphtml5.com/bookcase/fjasd>

14:28:30 From Rubens Kuhl : Fragmentation of the fragmentation

14:28:55 From yrjolansipuro : +1 to Veni: to reach the Foreign Ministry people involved in cyber affairs you have to meet them in the capitals. A job for ALS'es, if they are fully part of their national internet governance scene.

14:29:00 From Maxim Alzoba : assumption that data is global is naive

14:29:12 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : There should be ICANN Shirts with a new motto: "Lets Keep The Internet Unifragged!"

14:29:23 From Joanna Kulesza : here's the "fragmentation" Milton mentions: <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-12985082>

14:29:40 From Zakir : @Milton <Question> How about alternative roots. Fragmentation? And is the recent test by Russia #Runet Fragmentation?<Question> THank you.

14:31:51 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Internet Fragmentation has always been an issue - looking at the time when Russia disconnected itself from the world

14:31:55 From veni markovski : @Maxim, there have been Foreign ministry folks at ICANN meetings, and they usually show great interest in the discussions. For them this is a totally unknown world. But this is a bi-directional educational effort: ICANN's community also needs to better understand what happens at the UN/IGOs, and how it might touch on ICANN's remit. We will continue with other papers, as Goran mentioned, and I hope that there will be some more, explaining how the different UN General Assembly committees are working, and how the ALAC (and other SO/ACs) can contribute.

14:32:47 From Rubens Kuhl : I read the Russia test totally different, as an exercise of what would be needed if the world cut off from them, not they wanting to cut off.

14:32:54 From Maxim Alzoba : Russian tests were about - what do we do if they disconnect us from the internet ... read the documents, not the reviews of those

14:32:55 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Internet fragmentation has a relationship to internet censorship

14:33:14 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Some regions have certain websites blocked

14:33:14 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : @Milton, Data localization implies an intent for intra-boder control, an assertion of data sovereignty, which does lead to fragmentation. Even if, as you argue, localized data is made available globally, physical and legal control is local, and could imply that at some future point the global availability could become threatened.

14:33:40 From Maxim Alzoba : Internet is a bunch of ISP networks, and each ISP has jurisdiction where they have HQ, so it is all in the legal frameworks

14:34:46 From Evin Erdoğan : If anyone has questions for Milton, please enter them now.

14:34:51 From skcyber : technical standards case is alarming

14:34:56 From skcyber : to work on

14:35:11 From Rubens Kuhl : There are some countries with National Internet Registries for IP addressing, like Brazil, Mexico, Japan and some other Asian countries. And all of them want to keep things global, even though their service region is a nation.

14:35:15 From Vittorio Bertola : Not on content. Content is increasingly hosted outside of the national borders by foreign entities, and thanks to encryption national ISPs have less and less technical options for enforcing national laws onto international traffic.

14:35:20 From Imran Hossen (EyHost) : < question> I am new in this type meeting in ICANN. I donot have any idea about IX policy. So I have a question about IX. There is many IX is developing from private organizations and community. As like BDIX , equinix ix and more . I see those IX is going to popular in business community and users. I need to know is there any regulation or control or policy from ICANN for those IX? If there is no control on those IX, I think there is thread for Internet. If there is no policy for IX what you think on this? < question>

14:35:30 From Maxim Alzoba : also some companies have global networks not relaying on Internet ... so almost nobody knows what is brewed there (CDNs e.t.c.)

14:35:55 From farzaneh B : who is gonna talk about DOH? I hope it is not one sided.

14:36:11 From Evin Erdoğan : Thank you Imran, noted - as well as questions from Zakir and Javier.

14:36:13 From Maxim Alzoba : +1 Farzaneh
14:36:15 From Joanna Kulesza : Farzi it's Partik
14:36:19 From Gisella Gruber : @Imran - question noted that you! Will be responding to these at the end of the presentations
14:36:42 From Joanna Kulesza : making sure PAF get's full 15 mins to discuss it
14:36:45 From MAEMURA Akinori : Thank you to Rubens: National Internet Registries are not necessarily government own and fairly oriented to the global.
14:36:47 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : <Comment> Some illiberal states have threatened with building national internets and alternative DNSs. But Prof Mueller has proven that the "network benefits", the benefits of staying in the global Internet, make such threats ring quite hollow and have not happened. China wants you to buy its goods in eBay. And China knows eBay works because the DNS/IP system works.
14:36:55 From Maxim Alzoba : exciting session, pity it overlaps with council meeting
14:37:45 From Maxim Alzoba : ops, approving fight against sovernity in radical way is punishable worldwide
14:37:50 From Bill Jouris : Isn't the impact of GDPR the same kind of national preemption of the way the Internet is run?
14:37:55 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Internet Nation!!!
14:38:04 From Holly Raiche : Love the radical...
14:38:11 From Joanna Kulesza : As impossible as cybersovereignty might be, it's still happening: http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/global/2019-10/22/content_37517441.htm
14:38:13 From Julf Helsingius : Milton channelling John Perry Barlow?
14:38:15 From Rubens Kuhl : Farzi, DoH is software developers picking their threat models and imposing on people. Each one of us might have a different threat actor as their worst enemy: governments, big tech companies, ISPs, hackers in the same cybercafé... but until DoH, each one took its own threat model to identify their worst fears.
14:38:28 From Maxim Alzoba : hardware and it's configuration and heads of those who configure it ... are in governments hands around the world
14:38:36 From Sebastien Bachollet : « Prolétaire de tous les pays unissez-vous »
14:38:38 From Vittorio Bertola : Hey, is it 1995 again? :-O
14:38:39 From Joanna Kulesza : @Julf +1 for JPB! #TeamJPB
14:38:41 From Louise Marie Hurel : Hum... @julf
14:38:51 From Judith Hellerstein : @farzi check out the session we had yesterday on DOH
14:38:52 From Louise Marie Hurel : :)
14:38:53 From Holly Raiche : We are all grateful that the IANA transition happened under Obama
14:38:59 From Sebastien Bachollet : « Utilisateurs de tous les pays unissez-vous »
14:39:04 From Greg Shatan : @Julf, I don't think these slides will work as Grateful Dead lyrics....
14:39:25 From Maxim Alzoba : curently it is US :)
14:40:05 From Maxim Alzoba : California Attorney General office have powers over ICANN
14:40:20 From Edmon : right i was typing the same as maxim... how do you see that issue
14:40:23 From Maxim Alzoba : also IRS e.t.c.

14:40:28 From yrjolansipuro : Séb +1

14:40:44 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Count me in into the Multistakeholder Army!!!!

14:41:12 From Julf Helsingius : <https://www.eff.org/cyberspace-independence>

14:41:14 From Maxim Alzoba : EU can punish with all contracts broken , all bank transfers redirected to cover the fee

14:41:23 From vandascartezini : good Milton!

14:41:26 From Holly Raiche : Javier +1

14:41:27 From davekissoondoyal : Thanks @milton

14:41:28 From Marita Moll : This is a radical experiment and that is what makes it so exciting!

14:41:31 From Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong (IGF CHAD) : most political leaders don't understand how internet works , for them internet is for their people only .:-)

14:41:41 From Vittorio Bertola : By now, the “independence of cyberspace” basically means that a few huge private companies from a single area of the world make rules for everyone. I don't think that's better than electing governments.

14:41:45 From Maxim Alzoba : @Milton , !!! exciting presentation

14:42:06 From Bruna Santos (NCUC) : Indeed amazing, @milton !

14:42:12 From Edmon : i suppose that (,org/AG-CA) is a test? on how well we really can fend off sovereign intervention

14:42:32 From Bill Jouris : Well, most political leaders don't understand how global trade works either. And that;s been around for centuries. So we best not hope for them to get educated any time soon.

14:42:32 From Theo Geurts : indeed another great presentation

14:43:29 From Maxim Alzoba : @Bill, they have people in charge of taking care of those topics , who sometimes understand :)

14:43:43 From Andrey Kolesnikov : Russia is online at 100mbps from country side 🤪 the recent law about keeping infrastructure in case of external disconnect. not internal

14:44:16 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Milton made an awesome presentation

14:44:31 From Polo : @milton your interesting wager with Robert Knake on alternate roots? :-)

14:45:08 From Gangesh : Could someone please share a pdf link to Milton's ppt?

14:45:09 From Maxim Alzoba : if country insists , nobody has choice internally

14:45:15 From Andrey Kolesnikov : ru root = icann root 🤪

14:45:48 From Jaewon Son : <https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/At-Large+Meetings+-Wednesday%2C+11+March+2020?preview=/124847130/126428624/icann67-Mueller.pptx>

14:45:59 From Gangesh : Thank you!

14:46:17 From Zakir : Thank you @Milton.

14:46:32 From Andrey Kolesnikov : thanks Milton, great one

14:46:41 From Maxim Alzoba : there is no dangers in properly informed GAC reps

14:47:01 From Maxim Alzoba : the better they understand what is going on, the more relevant ideas they have

14:47:01 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : Goran Marby mentioned in a session earlier that the European Parliament has irrevocably tabled a proposal to (examine, debate

and) insist on the availability of whois. The legislation says "...recognised that intellectual property protection calls for effective access". Wrong reasons, but right proposals from the good Parliament. Would ICANN consider what is tabled now as an Amendment to GDPR legislation, and prompt EPDP to give precedence to the (proposed) amendment over the legislation per se? Considering the fact that the European legislation in this area is globally respected, such a decision by ICANN would be in the interest of the global public interest of One Internet.

14:47:14 From vgreimann : In Putins Russia, root revolves you!
14:47:18 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Yessss!!!!
14:47:25 From vgreimann : resolves
14:47:30 From Maxim Alzoba : @volker, come and check :)
14:47:49 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : -)))
14:48:04 From davekissoondoyal : +1 Milton
14:48:23 From Andrey Kolesnikov : Chuck Norris resolves the universe
14:48:32 From skcyber : Milton is comprehensively presenting that's good
14:48:36 From Leon Sanchez : +1 Andrei
14:48:40 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : @ Milton +1 on your positive comment on At Large
14:48:42 From Leon Sanchez : Andrey
14:48:45 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : -)) Andrey-))
14:48:51 From Leon Sanchez : Sorry for misspelling
14:48:55 From MAEMURA Akinori : If IX stays being facility provider and switching fabric, then there is little room to be regulated.
14:49:24 From Maxim Alzoba : @Akinory, it depends on what is allowed, and what is required about BGP tables
14:49:27 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : Thank you very much @Milton
14:49:50 From yrjolansipuro : Milton, ALAC is not being co-opted by the GAC. We cooperate on issues where our interests coincide, as end-users and citizens sometimes discover themselves to be the same people
14:50:01 From Holly Raiche : Thank you Milton - very provocative
14:50:15 From Gangesh : <Question> How far does the proposal for popular sovereignty align with the concept of global commons in international law , or a common heritage principle? Do you see potential for framing it through these or similar principles under international law<question>
14:50:19 From Rubens Kuhl : Although there are remote connections to IX'es, most of their traffic is local. So they already fall into that IX jurisdiction.
14:50:34 From Maxim Alzoba : really good session, see you somewhere else - GNSO Council meeting comes
14:50:37 From Vittorio Bertola : In general, ALAC and GAC are two different channels for "the people" to exert their sovereignty over the Internet
14:51:04 From MAEMURA Akinori : so, @max if only with floor and switch. there's many ways for IX companies to serve more.
14:51:26 From Evin Erdoğan : Thank you Gangesh, your question is noted.

14:51:26 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : @MiltonM's "Will the Internet Fragment?" Should me made obligatory reading to everyone at ICANN, and then we should all be tested.

14:51:46 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : (excuse typos)

14:51:49 From Matthias M. Hudobnik : yrjo +1

14:52:19 From Jonathan Zuck : Speaking personally, NOT for the ALAC, I'm a huge fan of the idea of popular sovereignty and you would hope that the public would operate in a kind of public interest and play a consumer protection role but the experience online has been pretty different. I feel like that's the primary issue that stands in the way of such a radical suggestion.

14:53:15 From Holly Raiche : @ JZ - topic for the next CPWG?

14:53:35 From Rubens Kuhl : Lasagnas are better than pipes.

14:53:54 From farzaneh B : sovereignty has been abused by governments and people. some old fashioned arguments here. any fresh ideas around?

14:54:05 From Evin Erdoğan : We'll take the questions from the chat first in the Q&A session. Staff are taking these down. Please indicate who your question is for. Thank you.

14:54:30 From Julf Helsingius : Apologies, have to switch to GNSO council session...

14:54:32 From miltonmueller : pipette rigate combines the best of pipes and lasagna

14:55:04 From Roberto : Please stop, it's dinner time over here and I am getting increasingly hungry

14:55:15 From Jonathan Zuck : @Holly, OMG no! We're buried at the moment. The community needs to be a much more effective regulator, on our behalf, before we just overthrow everything else, no?

14:55:36 From Rubens Kuhl : Julf, bring some lasagna to the Council.

14:55:51 From miltonmueller : Ha ha Roberto.

14:56:01 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Is there a Keto version of this dish?

14:56:10 From Holly Raiche : @ JZ - maybe an issue that is in the background - and a topic for dinner at the next ICANN meeting

14:56:14 From Vittorio Bertola : They need to be rigate, though. Here in Italy, a picture of a pasta shelf in a supermarket, completely plundered except for a bunch of penne lisce, has become a meme. Even in an emergency, people would rather starve than eat penne lisce. They don't pick up the sauce well.

14:56:14 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : (on the comments posted in this chat on GAC + ALAC :) GAC and ALAC are distinctly different, but share the same concerns in global public interest...

14:56:21 From Lori Schulman : Popular sovereignty works with popular knowledge. How do we scale up for what Milton suggests? That's the real challenge. The theory is sound. How do we implement the practice? ICANN is very much inside baseball. The capacity building that is required is massive. We see very few resources devoted to it. It's the same problem at IGF.

14:56:28 From Jonathan Zuck : Sounds like it's devolving into dinner right here!

14:57:03 From miltonmueller : GAC deals with national interest, not public interest.

14:57:08 From Lori Schulman : And how do we build capacity when we still have connectivity issues?

14:57:14 From Andrey Kolesnikov : <Question> do you see the increase in IX traffic due to remote workers (covid)<question>

14:57:51 From Vittorio Bertola : Yes, we do. <https://www.mix-it.net/en/statistiche/>

14:58:05 From Evin Erdoğan : Thank you Andrey, noted.

14:58:15 From Rubens Kuhl : Andrey, game launches are still triggering much more IX traffic than COVID. Each time a game vendor releases a new version or game, IX'es all over the world peak.

14:58:58 From Jonathan Zuck : @Milton, is that entirely fair? There are a lot of institutions of government that are devoted to the public interest, no?

14:58:59 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : In this damn Keto diet I'm on I haven't had a decent lasagna in 7 months. And Keto pasta is simply a big lie. Please stop.

14:59:01 From farzaneh B : lets remind ourselves that this means ISP can snoop on traffic.

14:59:31 From Lutz Donnerhacke : If you can't trust you ISP, you are lost anyway.

14:59:34 From vgreimann : I knew it! The internet is a series of tubes ;-)

14:59:39 From Lori Schulman : +1 to Farzaneh

14:59:55 From Joanna Kulesza : @Jon <Milton has left the build.. chat>

15:00:08 From farzaneh B : did I spell my own name wrong again?

15:00:15 From Vittorio Bertola : Also, there are cases in which ISPs intercept traffic for positive purposes, from malware filtering to law enforcement. There's no one size fits all on this.

15:00:17 From Rubens Kuhl : Not trusting ISP is a possible threat model. And sometimes it's the worst actor, just not for everyone.

15:00:22 From Lutz Donnerhacke : To protect against a malicious ISP, you need to switch to an overlay Network like onion routing

15:00:44 From Vladimer : Many thanks for interesting presentations and discussion. Thanks @Joanna, good job!

15:00:54 From farzaneh B : no Lutz! no. that js not an argument . some nation states make your ISP not very trustworthy!

15:00:59 From Andrey Kolesnikov : the best ISP is a remote one via vpn

15:01:12 From Lori Schulman : My apologies Farzaneh. Maybe I will stick with "Farzi"

15:01:34 From Lutz Donnerhacke : Then you Need to walk away from the plain IP your ISP is offering and switch to VPN and Overlays

15:01:37 From Joanna Kulesza : AtLarge - building bridges over ages old divides :)

15:02:06 From farzaneh B : oh no Lori, I sometimes had it mistakenly spelled as Farzaneh. it's on me! was wondering if i made the mistake here too

15:02:12 From Lutz Donnerhacke : But for the vast majority of users, DNS resolvers at ISP Level provide a much better protection and privacy, than anything else

15:02:26 From Holly Raiche : Great question

15:02:26 From Matthias M. Hudobnik : + joana :-)

15:02:27 From Andrey Kolesnikov : T9 is evil

15:03:20 From Harald Alvestrand : lutz, define "vast majority"

15:03:33 From Harald Alvestrand : and "anything else"

15:03:40 From Harald Alvestrand : besides, protection from what?

15:03:52 From Rubens Kuhl : Lutz, in the US I wouldn't trust the ISP-level resolvers that much.

15:03:54 From Lutz Donnerhacke : The most evil idea is to Hand over *all* DNS queries (the details, which pages are visited) to a single central Party (like cloudflare) under the Patriot act

15:03:55 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : @ Patrik Isn't there a technical way of masking even the IP addresses that the traffic flows between? For example, by substituting 1.1.1.1 or something new in the header in place of the specific NS record of the specific site that the user visits?

15:04:06 From vgreimann : not just legislation. Jurisprudence can throw a wrench too

15:04:10 From Rubens Kuhl : In Europe, I would be more comfortable using ISP DNS.

15:04:31 From Jaewon Son : Yes plz!

15:04:32 From Andrey Kolesnikov : Europe rules

15:04:35 From Sarah Kiden : Most definitely would be of interest

15:04:52 From Lutz Donnerhacke : We as an ISP offer real privacy (and dnssec validating) resolvers under 10.10.10.10 and 100.100.100.100

15:05:35 From Bruna Santos (NCUC) : Congratulations to At-Large and all the speakers for such interesting interactions and Panel!

15:05:36 From Holly Raiche : Patrick made very clear the implications for DoH/DoT against a national obligation to block content

15:05:47 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : @ Patrik DoH + IP masking in a good and fair DNS space would curtail illegitimate DNS snooping

15:05:48 From Rubens Kuhl : In my country, 2 of the large ISPs can be trusted DNS-wise. 1 of them sells data to however pays more, and the other is hacked every month.

15:06:01 From Rubens Kuhl : (4 large in total)

15:06:11 From Lutz Donnerhacke : Please note, that even DoH does not hide your identity ... Quite contrary, they redistribute the Client IP as an EDNS Extension to the other Servers for geolocation of CDNs

15:06:57 From Lutz Donnerhacke : If you really are interested in privacy, set up your own resolver and let it copy the root zone

15:07:39 From Holly Raiche : QUESTION: How should a national entity deal with the need to block content - or can it - once DoH/DoT is in place? QUESTION

15:08:08 From Lutz Donnerhacke : Ruben: Do you really feel better, when handing over your DNS profile to the centralized third Party?

15:08:10 From wkumari : Note that "alternate root" does not mean that www.ebay.com wouldn't resolve. Many / most alternate roots are proposed as augmented roots - they copy in the IANA root zone and then augments it (and, potentially remove it)

15:08:12 From Evin Erdoğan : Thank you Holly, noted.

15:08:30 From farzaneh B : block content? includes censorship of course. have a great day I gotta leave.

15:08:39 From Lutz Donnerhacke : Holly: They block the resolved IP.

15:08:42 From Lutz Donnerhacke : BTDT.

15:09:03 From Rubens Kuhl : Lutz, no, I prefer using my own recursive DNS... but I can't recommend that to everyone.

15:09:04 From Edmon : well... not all tap water around the world is drinkable as in sweden...

15:09:43 From Lutz Donnerhacke : Rubens: Please do not advocate for a new centralized Monopoly in DNS resolving

15:10:07 From Rubens Kuhl : I don't know where I suggested that.

15:10:25 From paf : @Edmon: That was exactly my point. We should work with making clean and usable electricity, water AND internet available everywhere.

15:10:32 From Lutz Donnerhacke : DoH as implemented and deployed by Mozilla and Chrome are doing so

15:11:05 From Edmon : @paf :-D yes but as you said its a lasagna, maybe one of the layers could but when it combines prob not as easy

15:11:53 From Vittorio Bertola : The hard issue is that the same technology can be used by authoritarian regimes to censor political content and by democratic governments to block child abuse, hate speech and malware. So even the technologies need to fragment and be implemented differently depending on the country and situation.

15:12:21 From Sivasubramanian Muthusamy : coordination between jurisdictions could happen more expeditiously if Member States empower the formal Multistaker process

15:12:24 From skcyber : Thanks for the anser

15:12:26 From skcyber : answer

15:12:33 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : Thank you so much to Joanna, Leon, Veni, Milton and Patrick and everyone who has contributed to the chat, for such an interesting and informative session. This has been such a helpful learning opportunity for many of our At-Large participants. Thank you all for your contribution to this.

15:12:36 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : This is one of the best ICANN sessions I have ever participated in.

15:12:50 From Leon Sanchez : This has been a very good session

15:13:02 From Jonathan Zuck : Great job Joanna!

15:13:02 From Leon Sanchez : Thanks everyone for a very fruitful discussion

15:13:04 From vandascartezini : thanks Patrik wonderful presentation and quite clear !

15:13:10 From Judith Hellerstein : yes a good session, but i liked yesterday's better

15:13:11 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Indeed the side chat was equally good

15:13:23 From laurin : very good session, thanks to everyone!

15:13:28 From Vittorio Bertola : In these sessions, the chat seems to be where the collective discussion really happens :-)

15:13:29 From Ricardo Holmquist : Thanks Joanna, very good capacity building!, very good panel

15:13:38 From vandascartezini : Joanna congratulations it was great sessions at all !!

15:13:45 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : It was a carb-packed chat.

15:13:47 From Lito Ibarra : Thank you for organizing this interesting topic with so many different perspectives. Great work

15:13:50 From Judith Hellerstein : yes thanks Joanna and Holy for excellent sessions

15:14:01 From Roberto : +1 Javier - best session so far in ICANN 67

15:14:07 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : Presentatons as ebooks

15:14:08 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities :
<https://fliphtml5.com/bookcase/fjasd>

15:14:12 From Jaewon Son : +1 Javier

15:14:19 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : I agree Roberto one of the best

15:14:20 From Roberto : And there's no GEMS, so it has chances to remain the best

15:14:36 From davekissoondoyal : Very interesting and informative session. Thanks @Joanna and all panelists

15:14:39 From Judith Hellerstein : +1

15:14:42 From Samridh Kudesia : Hi everyone,
I am a newcomer to ICANN, and I wanted to thank the panelists for excellent presentations, and the people on the chat for some very lively discussions. I learnt so much from this session :)

15:14:47 From Holly Raiche : Thanks Patrick

15:14:55 From Jaewon Son : Thank you so much for the wonderful session! Hope this discussion will be continued f2f in upcoming meetings as well

15:15:00 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : I need to provide links to the videos I shot of Gems

15:15:09 From Glenn McKnight, Foundation for Building Sustainable Communities : bye all

15:15:14 From Sarah Kiden : Thank you! Great session!

15:15:15 From Ricardo Holmquist : @paf, your expectation was not fulfilled, only 5 leave out of the 100 ;-)

15:15:17 From nigel hickson : Thank you all; this was a great session.

15:15:18 From Zakir : Thank you all. Bye.

15:15:18 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Another excellent and informative session... Thanks for arranging this @Joanna and ALAC... hope this leads to more mutualism being explored by us all in this matter... Bye for now and remember you're welcome to join the (started 15+ minutes back at top of the hour) GNSO Council meeting on now ...

15:15:19 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : @Roberto - difficult to compare, I think.. GEMS vs Geopolitics...

15:15:20 From davekissoondoyal : Thanks and bye to all

15:15:20 From Filina Natalia (Euralo Secretary) : THANK YOU SO MUCH for the best session

15:15:20 From martinsutton : thank you

15:15:21 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : We should do a GEMS jam session dedicated to popular sovereignty @ the Internet. Then it would be unbeatable!!!!

15:15:22 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Thank you

15:15:22 From Lori Schulman : Very good panel. Thank you.

15:15:24 From skcyber : thanks

15:15:27 From yrjolansipuro : Thank you Joanna and all presenters!

15:15:27 From Judith Hellerstein : bye all

15:15:28 From paf : Good questions, good discussion! Thanks everyone!

15:15:28 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : It has been a great session

15:15:32 From Adam Peake : Thank you Joanna, thanks panelists.

15:15:33 From Joan Kerr : Great session
15:15:34 From veni markovski : Thanks, Joanna, for putting this together!
15:15:35 From Joan Kerr : Thanks you
15:15:37 From Marita Moll : Great session -- congrats!!! Let's keep it going
15:15:37 From skcyber : nice one, more to work on this area together
15:15:39 From Udeep Baral : thank you
15:15:40 From Jaewon Son : Thank you so much!
15:15:41 From Maarten Botterman : Excellent. Thanks for organizing this - thanks for contributing to this!
15:15:42 From Joan Kerr : Good moderator
15:15:43 From Vittorio Bertola : Thanks everyone!
15:15:43 From Gangesh : Thank you very much everyone. This was a fun session! :)
15:15:43 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Its key action for both At-Large
15:15:44 From Javier Rúa-Jovet : Ciao. awesome
15:15:44 From andreyvorobyev : Thanks you
15:15:45 From Lori Schulman : Is the lasagna related to the calzone model?
15:15:45 From Daniel K. Nanghaka : Bye
15:15:48 From Udeep Baral : bye
15:15:49 From Evin Erdoğan : Thank you all
15:15:53 From Eric Mwobobia (ICANN 67 Fellow) : Thank you bye
15:15:56 From avri doria : thanks, great session.
15:15:57 From silvia.vivanco : By all
15:15:57 From Svitlana Tkachenko : thank all.
15:15:58 From Vadim Mikhaylov : bye
15:16:03 From Malick : bye