## **At-Large Areas of Concern**

The full list of SubPro areas or topics, with corresponding concern levels to At-Large, is as follows:

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
	CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES	
High	1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	<ul><li>Contractual Compliance</li><li>Base Registry Agreement</li></ul>
High	2. CCT Recommendations Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's work contained in the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust Review Final Report dated 8 September 2018	<ul><li>Consumer Trust</li><li>DNS Abuse</li></ul>
TBD	3. Geographic Names at the Top Level [WT5]	<ul> <li>Definition of geographic names, geographic indicators etc</li> <li>Geographic Names Panel</li> <li>Preventative versus Curative protections</li> <li>Translations</li> <li>Non-AGB Terms</li> </ul>
	OVERARCHING ISSUES	
High	Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program –     Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1]	<ul> <li>Metrics</li> <li>Types of TLDs [2.2.4]</li> <li>Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal)</li> </ul>
Medium	5. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	<ul> <li>Predictability Framework [NEW]</li> <li>Standing Predictability</li> <li>Implementation Review</li> <li>Team (SPIRT)</li> </ul>
Medium	6. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	Community Applications [2.9.1]
Medium	7. Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	•
Low to none	8. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]	•
Low	9. Accreditation Programs [2.2.6]	Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]
	FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES	
High	10. Public Interest Commitments & Other Safeguards [Global Public Interest, 2.3.2]	<ul> <li>Mandatory PICs</li> <li>Voluntary PICs – Systems [2.4.3]</li> <li>Verified TLDs</li> </ul>
Low to none	11. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]	•
High	12. Universal Acceptance (UA) [2.3.4]	• Systems [2.4.3]
	PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES	

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
Low	13. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	Translations, timing of release vs program communication/outreach
Low	14. Communications [2.4.2]	Outreach to Middle/Global South candidates – Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]
Low	15. Systems [2.4.3]	<ul> <li>Implementation of PICs submission – Global Public Interest [2.3.2]</li> </ul>
	APPLICATION SUBMISSION	
High	16. Applicant Support Program (ASP) [2.5.4]	<ul> <li>Funding source</li> <li>Outreach – Communication [2.4.2]</li> <li>Criteria – Metrics</li> <li>Accreditation Programs [2.2.6]</li> <li>Application Fees [2.5.1]</li> <li>Appeals – Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> </ul>
Medium	17. Application Fees [2.5.1] 18. Variable Fees [2.5.2]	<ul><li>Cost Recovery Principle</li><li>Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]</li></ul>
Low	19. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]	•
Low	20. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]	<ul><li>Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li><li>Names Collision [2.7.8]</li></ul>
	APPLICATION PROCESSING	
Medium	21. Applicant Change Requests [S2.4]	•
Low	22. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	•
	APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA	
High	23. Reserved Names [2.7.1]	•
High	24. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	Single registrant / Brand TLDs
High	25. String Similarity [2.7.4]	<ul> <li>String Similarity Review</li> <li>String Confusion Objection (under Objection [2.8.1]</li> <li>Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> </ul>
High	26. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5]	<ul><li>IDN Variant TLD Implementation</li><li>RZ-LGRs</li><li>Risk of DNS Abuse</li></ul>
High	27. Security and Stability [2.7.6]	<ul> <li>Delegation Rates</li> <li>Banning of emojis as TLDs</li> <li>DNS Abuse mitigation</li> <li>Algorithmic checking - Systems [2.4.3]</li> </ul>
High	28. Name Collisions [2.7.8]	NCAP Study 1 (Studies 2 and 3?)
Medium	29. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	EBERO, COI

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
		Applicant background screening
Low to none	30. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	•
Medium	31. Role of Application Comment [S2.3]	•
	DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	
High	32. Objections [2.8.1]	<ul><li>Community Objections</li><li>Public Interest Objections</li><li>Independent Objector</li></ul>
High	33. Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]	<ul> <li>Accountability Framework [NEW]</li> <li>Appeals against objection/ evaluation determinations</li> </ul>
	STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	
High	34. Community Applications [2.9.1]  35. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort,	<ul> <li>Community Priority Evaluation (CPE)</li> <li>Community Objections distinct from CPE – Objections [2.8.1]</li> <li>Appeals – Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]</li> <li>Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal)</li> <li>String Contention Mechanism of</li> </ul>
5	Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]  CONTRACTING	Last Resort [NEW]  > Private resolution > Sealed bid auction
High	36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	DNS Abuse mitigation
None	37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]	•
None	38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]	•
	PRE-DELEGATION	
None	39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]	•
	POST-DELEGATION	
None	40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	•
TBD	41. Second Level Rights Protection Mechanisms [2.12.2]	•
High	42. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	DNS Abuse mitigation

## **Status of Scorecard**

This Scorecard is updated from time to time, as and when new information becomes available.