Appendix C:

ICANN At-Large Scorecard on Subsequent Procedures PDP

At-Large Small Team on Subsequent Procedures

Justine Chew	
Holly Raiche	Alan Greenberg
Marita Moll	Christopher Wilkinson

Date: 11 February 2020



I CANN AT-LARGE

Page 1

Introduction to Scorecard

This Appendix C: ICANN AT-LARGE SCORECARD ON SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS is an appendix to the AT-LARGE WHITEPAPER ON SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES dated 11 February 2020 ("the Whitepaper").

Purpose of Scorecard

This Scorecard contains the At-Large Community's assessment of topics or areas of policy development undertaken by the GNSO-initiated New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process Work Group ("SubPro PDP WG") since February 2016 and up to Q1, 2020.

In particular, it sets out At-Large's positions on expected draft recommendations relating to policy areas which the SubPro PDP WG is working on and which we believe affect the interests of Internet end-users.

Sources of Reference

This Scorecard has been developed with reference to SubPro PDP WG's deliberations of inputs from sources available to it, including but not limited to:

- 1. Comments to preliminary recommendations and/or questions presented in:
 - a. <u>Initial Report on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP (Overarching Issues & Work</u> <u>Tracks 1-4) dated 3 July 2018</u> [https://www.icann.org/public-comments/gtldsubsequent-procedures-initial-2018-07-03-en]
 - b. <u>Supplemental Initial Report on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP (Overarching Issues & Work Tracks 1-4) dated 30 October 2018</u> [https://www.icann.org/public-comments/new-gtld-subsequent-procedures-supp-initial-2018-10-30-en]
 - c. <u>Work Track 5 on Geographic Names at the Top Level Supplemental Initial Report of the</u> <u>New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP dated 5 December 2018</u> [https://www.icann.org/public-comments/geo-names-wt5-initial-2018-12-05-en]
- Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's work (i.e. Annexure A to the Whitepaper)¹ contained in the <u>Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer</u> <u>Trust Review Final Report dated 8 September 2018</u> [https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/cct-final-08sep18-en.pdf]
- ICANN Board Action on Final CCT Recommendations dated 1 March 2019 [https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-final-cct-recs-scorecard-01mar19en.pdf]
- 4. Work Track 5 Final Report to the SubPro PDP WG dated 22 October 2019²
- 5. <u>SubPro PDP WG Summary Working Documents 2019</u> [https://community.icann.org/display/NGSPP/Summary+Working+Documents]

¹ [https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/111390697/Annexure%20A%20-

^{%20}CCTRT%20Prerequisite%20and%20High%20Priority%20Level%20Recommendations.pdf?version=1&modificati onDate=1565047487000&api=v2]

²[https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/111390697/Work%20Track%205%20Final%20Report%20t o%20the%20New%20gTLD%20SubPro%20PDP%20WG%20-

^{%2022%20}October%202019%5B1%5D.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1576497110000&api=v2]

At-Large Areas of Concern

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
	CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES	
High	1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	Contractual ComplianceBase Registry Agreement
High	2. CCT Recommendations Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's work contained in the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust Review Final Report dated 8 September 2018	Consumer TrustDNS Abuse
TBD	3. Geographic Names at the Top Level [WT5]	 Definition of geographic names, geographic indicators etc Geographic Names Panel Preventative versus Curative protections Translations Non-AGB Terms
	OVERARCHING ISSUES	
High	 Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program – Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1] 	 Metrics Types of TLDs [2.2.4] Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal)
Medium	 Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2] 	 Predictability Framework [NEW] Standing Predictability Implementation Review Team (SPIRT)
Medium	6. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	Community Applications [2.9.1]
Medium	7. Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	•
Low to none	8. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]	•
Low	9. Accreditation Programs [2.2.6]	• Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]
	FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES	
High	10. Public Interest Commitments & Other Safeguards [Global Public Interest, 2.3.2]	 Mandatory PICs Voluntary PICs – Systems [2.4.3] Verified TLDs
Low to none	11. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]	•
High	12. Universal Acceptance (UA) [2.3.4]	• Systems [2.4.3]
	PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES	

The full list of SubPro areas or topics, with corresponding concern levels to At-Large, is as follows:

<u>PRIORITY</u>	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
Low	13. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	Translations, timing of release vs program communication/outreach
Low	14. Communications [2.4.2]	• Outreach to Middle/Global South candidates – Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]
Low	15. Systems [2.4.3]	Implementation of PICs submission – Global Public Interest [2.3.2]
	APPLICATION SUBMISSION	
High	16. Applicant Support Program (ASP) [2.5.4]	 Funding source Outreach – Communication [2.4.2] Criteria – Metrics Accreditation Programs [2.2.6] Application Fees [2.5.1] Appeals – Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]
Medium	17. Application Fees [2.5.1]	Cost Recovery Principle
	18. Variable Fees [2.5.2]	Applicant Support Program [2.5.4]
Low	19. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]	•
Low	20. Terms & Conditions [2.5.5]	Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]Names Collision [2.7.8]
	APPLICATION PROCESSING	
Medium	21. Applicant Change Requests [S2.4]	•
Low	22. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	•
	APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA	
High	23. Reserved Names [2.7.1]	•
High	24. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	• Single registrant / Brand TLDs
High	25. String Similarity [2.7.4]	 String Similarity Review String Confusion Objection (under Objection [2.8.1] Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]
High	26. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5]	IDN Variant TLD ImplementationRZ-LGRsRisk of DNS Abuse
High	27. Security and Stability [2.7.6]	 Delegation Rates Banning of emojis as TLDs DNS Abuse mitigation Algorithmic checking - Systems [2.4.3]
High	28. Name Collisions [2.7.8]	• NCAP Study 1 (Studies 2 and 3?)
Medium	29. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	EBERO, COI

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS / TOPICS	Sub-Areas / Related Areas
		Applicant background screening
Low to none	30. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	•
Medium	31. Role of Application Comment [S2.3]	•
	DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	
High	32. Objections [2.8.1]	Community ObjectionsPublic Interest ObjectionsIndependent Objector
High	33. Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]	 Accountability Framework [NEW] > Appeals against objection/ evaluation determinations
	STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	
High High	 34. Community Applications [2.9.1] 35. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Drivete Austione) [52,1, 52,2] 	 Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Community Objections distinct from CPE – Objections [2.8.1] Appeals – Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2] Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal) String Contention Mechanism of Last Resort [NEW]
	Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]	 Private resolution Sealed bid auction
	CONTRACTING	
High	36. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	DNS Abuse mitigation
None	37. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]	•
None	38. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]	•
	PRE-DELEGATION	
None	39. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]	•
	POST-DELEGATION	
None	40. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	•
TBD	41. Second Level Rights Protection Mechanisms [2.12.2]	•
High	42. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	DNS Abuse mitigation

Status of Scorecard

This Scorecard is updated from time to time, as and when new information becomes available.