CLAUDIA RUIZ:	Good morning, good afternoon and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the ATLAS III Reporting Working Group call on Tuesday, the 4th of February, 2020 at 18:00 UTC. On the call today we have Eduardo Diaz, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, Joanna Kulesza, Nadira Al-Araj and Maureen Hilyard.
	We have received apologies from Cheryl Langdon-Orr; from staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber, and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management. And before we begin, I would like to remind everyone to please state their name before speaking for the transcription purposes. Thank you very much and with this, I turn the call over to you, Eduardo.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Thank you so much, and thank you everyone for taking the time and being today in this meeting. I want to try to be within the time frame, this is a 60-minute meeting. So let's jump into it. Claudia, if it's possible for me to share my screen so I can go faster.
CLAUDIA RUIZ:	Okay, I stopped sharing, go ahead, can you --
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Okay, let me get back and find mine. Let's see if it's this one. So the Agenda, I'm moving it up and down?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yeah, we can see it.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, so let's start with Item 1, I just wanted to put this meeting in perspective, and please correct me or stop me anytime you have a question. Basically, I believe this is going to be a [inaudible] Working Group. We're going to do this work in February, and the reason for this I want to have the final report ready and the multi-data and recommendations ready by February, so I don't know if one [inaudible]. And Maureen, let me know if that report will have to be approved by ALAC or what the next step [inaudible], so we can take that in the mailing list.

So that's why I have there that maybe ICANN will have [inaudible], so I just put it in there. And we're going to talk about the scope of our activities, so we are in sync as to what is expected from the working group. I have looked at the information, I tried to make some sense of it and how are we going to [inaudible]. This is just against my way of doing this work, but I'm open to changes and recommendations, this is just to get the discussion going. There is a noise [inaudible]. [CROSSTALK]

I'm sorry? I'm sorry, I didn't get that. Hello, can you hear me?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yes, Eduardo, we can hear you. I believe it was Maureen's line, she's on mute now.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you so much, it's okay. And the scope of activities, we have a section that we have to look at the data that we received and somehow we have to get the [inaudible] data put in some kind of charter [inaudible] charts makes more sense [inaudible] different point of view.

From the data analyses, we can pick up the interest of the participants. They have [inaudible] the surveys where they want to be, what are the main interests, so that will help us allocate the participants in their division interest on this At-Large journey. And as part of the data analysis, we need to reach some conclusions about whatever; the meeting was very good, when it was taken, all these things that we can get [inaudible] come up with survey [inaudible].

Then obviously, we have to come up with recommendations, which I believe there are two types of recommendations; general for all participants as a whole, and specific to the stepping stones that are located in the At-Large journey. Maybe their accommodation are specific for [inaudible]. There may be recommendations that are for all of them. So [inaudible] them to those two categories.

And then as part of the [inaudible], and I think I'm discussing basically from this document, are you seeing this? Post-ATLAS feedback, Eduardo Diaz. I need to have some kind of feedback here. Are you seeing this?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Eduardo, we are seeing the post-ATLAS feedback.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, thank you so much.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: You're welcome.

EDUARDO DIAZ: These are the tasks for the group; basically some of them are [inaudible], some of them are [inaudible] I have a question about specifically on the capacity building [inaudible] the plan, but we're going to have that discussion [inaudible]. Like I said, I'm proposing to have the report due by the 29th of February, and I have some suggested weekly meetings to do this just to make sure that the work is in progress and answering questions and ensure that everything's in sync so we can get this done.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Eduardo, this is Heidi. Are you on speaker phone?

EDUARDO DIAZ: No, no.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I can barely hear you, you sound rather far away.

EDUARDO DIAZ: You know what, it might be my line here, hold a second.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm sorry to interrupt but I really can't follow you with the crackling line, I'm sorry.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, well it's not only that, it's following me and my action, so let me [inaudible], hold on a second. Can you hear me better now?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah it's better, but we still have that crackling line that I think is being worked on.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Is it better now? Hello, is it better now?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, your voice is but we still have a crackling line, which I don't know what the source of that is.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Oh.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: I'm not hearing a crackling, it might be your line I'll have some dialogue to you again.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Does everybody else hear me okay?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Heidi, I'm going to have him dial-up to you again, I'm not hearing any crackling.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Maybe it's my line. I hear you loud and clear. I can hear you clear, Eduardo.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Me too, I can hear you clear, Eduardo.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Okay, let me dial back.

HEIDI ULLRICH:	Okay, I'm sorry about that.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Maybe she got some termites in her line, I don't know. Right, shall we wait for Heidi, right? Have you been able to follow what I have been saying already since the introductory remarks?
MAUREEN HILYARD:	Yes.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Okay, this is just to put this meeting in perspective so we can, you know, move fast with the -- because really I want to get to topic number 2, which is what I think we should do and the things that we should be doing for this Working Group, and I have some fine lines and the scope, and you know, how I see the work being distributed within all this group.
	This is a small group, we are only five and I tried to get one person from each region so we get different perspectives on this work from every region around the world, so five people in the group I find it's a good size to do this. I didn't have an open call because I get 40 people and only three end up doing the whole work so, that's what I have seen. Anyhow, should I continue?
CLAUDIA RUIZ:	Yes please, Heidi's on the call now.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay, so now I'm going to see if we can see this PDF, I think you are seeing this, and basically, this is what we're doing. This is looking at what we're doing from [inaudible] or a similar idea, and basically we have four sets of data that we are going to use to develop this work over here.

Basically, we have the survey data which is already there. We have interviews made by Alp and Glenn, and we also have brainstorming ideas that came from the ATLAS III event. Those are the core commitments there but they really bring some ideas that can help us guide in the recommendations that we're going to develop.

And also there are final reports from the participants that I understand they are in the process of being translated but I'm going to suggest that whatever report is out there, to give us the link so people can start reading them; we can always wait for the translation, but in the meantime, use Google Translate and get an idea of what people are saying, you can do that.

It's important that everybody reads all the data, because if you don't read all these reports, surveys and things like that, you will not be as effective as if you read only one part of all this data, because if we start talking about final reports or a survey, you will be kind of lost if you haven't read that.

So the output from this will be how we allocate people in the At-Large journey, and some conclusions of the data. The [inaudible] will be recommendations and the capacity building strategy for At-Large. What

I mentioned in the beginning in the agenda, over here, is that I'm not sure that -- like I said, we got this from this task that says, "Develop a large academic strategic plan that fits into both ATLAS III activities. Joanna to lead a small group to develop a proposal."

Well, my question is, what is that different, this capacity-building strategy for At-Large, from the group that is going to do the capacity building, and I meant this one here. Where is it? This one, what is the difference between that task and this one? Maureen, you developed this. So, can you tell us -- and I think you did that in another meeting. What's the difference between...

MAUREEN HILYARD: I actually made that -- I suppose it's me making the noises. I made it that one up only because one of the things that I found when we were at the ATLAS III meeting, were the number of people who are actually working in universities and working with students and promoting ICANN work within the communities of students that they were working with.

So although this is not anything to do with actual capacity building as such, I just like felt that we were in an ideal opportunity to do some research, to sort of like look at ways in which we can use the information that we're collecting from within this particular activity for example, or elsewhere, to really sort of like provide some data on what it is that we're doing and how we're doing it, and just something that we could actually expand on the work.

It's an add-on at the moment and I'm not expecting it to be sort of like too much part of the work that you're going to be doing, which is

analyzing the feedback that actually came back from the ATLAS III participants, and try to extract what it is was important to them that we need to include, either in our capacity building, either in our policy or in our outreach, like policy development, what are some ways they've suggested might be a good way of getting policy out to the communities and to do their outreach.

So I wouldn't spend too much time on this particular one, just yet. I think that the academic engagement will come down the track and after we've selected a lot of analysis of what it is that we can actually pull out and make some recommendations to policy outreach and communication, to those three areas, and that's really what we need to focus on.

And that means that we're getting the feed, we're actually getting it from -- it's a bottom-up process. They're the ones who are telling us what they need, and that's what we've got to do. Okay, so I hope that that clarified the academic engagement section anyway. Thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Eduardo, if you're speaking, you're on mute.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I wasn't speaking, yes and I was muted. Anyhow, I said -- who is this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, this is Heidi, I just wanted to let you know that Joanna has her hand raised.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes, yes. That's what I was going to say, that I quite understood Maureen up to a point, but I'm going to let Joanne explain her point of view and see if we can make some sense of this. You see I'm asking this because I just wanted to know if this is going to be part of the output of our work here, basically that's why I'm bringing this issue up. Joanna, please.

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you, Eduardo, this is Joanna for the record. Just to reflect on the conversations we've had with the ICANN org communications team; I understand there is an academic engagement plan that is in the works. I must admit, I'm personally looking forward to conclude to discuss this closer with the Sally's and with Adam Peake; I have been in conversation about this previously.

On one hand, I am certain that At-Large is the perfect environment to start this work with the community. I think this could be part of our ATLAS III outcomes, but in terms of tangible items you could put on the list of those items, I think we might need to hold back until there are more details coming from the org because I strongly believe it's unnecessary to double the efforts.

I would caution us to set up our own plan in terms of academic engagement and I take it upon myself to make sure that whatever we do in those terms, follows the lead of ICANN org or at least is in sync with what ICANN org has planned for the entire community when it comes to academic engagement.

I appreciate Heidi's input and getting me in touch with Sally and with Adam. I have been in touch with Adam already, and as I already said, I'm looking forward to conclude. So in that sense, I think it would be easier for us to have tangible outcomes of this exercise around Cancun shortly before or for more likely after, I don't know if that's not to remote of a timeline for us, but I would caution us to have a separate list of items or a separate plan that would not reflect what ICANN org has in place for academic engagement.

So that's my three cents. I'm happy to take on board coordinating those efforts and that was just something I wanted to ask us that question. Thank you, guys.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you so much, Joanna. So this is what I propose -- thank you, Maureen and Joanna for clarifying this. This is what I propose. I propose that as far as the recommendations that come out of this group, one of them will be doing exactly what it says there, that the capacity building should lead a small group to develop a proposal on a large academic strategic plan and let the capacity building or Joanna or whoever to follow up that recommendation, which is what you were recommending to do, Joanna, it makes a lot of sense.

JOANNA KULESZA: That is exactly my plan, thank you, Eduardo. [CROSSTALK], take this work and reflect what is been done in the org, thank you very much.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Joanna. Are there any questions about this, this proposed thing? Because that means that basically we have one recommendation already there, because of what Maureen said and Joanna mentioned.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: This is Nadira, I'm just asking because how does that fall into the work of the capacity building? And it's a correction because I could see from Joanna's input, it's the same things they're doing, but in this case, we want to see exactly what is the needs of the ATLAS participants to fall into the capacity building program, that Working Group. That's my point. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Nadira. Joanna, did you have your hand up?

JOANNA KULESZA: I'm happy to pick this one up. I know that Nadira has been following the closest plans of the capacity building working group, and as you might have noticed, we are trying to focus on short term tangible results. So that would be the ICANN Learn course. That would be webinars, that would be the onboarding [inaudible], that's something we have on our short term agenda.

And my understanding is that forming those recommendations would be one of the items that is on the list for us to do, but not tangible enough for us yet to form specific task force or recommendations. So it's already said, I would look forward to the conversations we will likely have with the communications team and with Adam Peake, who is our

outreach officer, so to speak, on what can be done and what the overall plans are.

And I understand that the recommendations for At-Large academic engagement would be our next to-do item once we know more from the Org. I'm not sure that makes sense, but that's something that I would have in my mind in terms of At-Large academic engagement. So for now, we're trying to focus on [inaudible] the low hanging fruit, if you will, on short term outcomes that we can get through, and then once we know more, we will try to fit our academic engagement plans in the line for that set out for the entire community.

I hope that answers your question and I hope that's more or less clear, but to me at least, there isn't as much concern so I think that is something that we could easily do and try to follow up on once we move closer to that target. Thank you. I see Nadira's hand up, so I'm going to stop for now.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Yeah, sorry, it's just that I want to respond to or ask, because this is the plan we are doing but how we want to take the feedback from the survey, the final report and put it into the coming implementation plan. So that's what we are going as a capacity building strategy now or the small group here just wants to collect all this information and give it to you at the end. That's what my understanding of this stuff as well. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Nadira, this is Eduardo, let me try to explain that. I believe we're going to take the data from all these sources over here, survey, interviews and final reports, and from that, there will be some information about ideas, what we will need that we can identify in relation to capacity and increase our capacity of knowledge and [inaudible] that, and one of the recommendations we can come up with is this strategic plan that was already identified by Maureen.

Now, we can make the recommendations based on the information that we got from the survey. So we are supporting -- all the recommendations that should come out of this group should be supported by the data that we're gaining somehow; and one thing is basically will be this strategic plan that will be done by the capacity building, but it has to be in synchronization with the ICANN org and all that but we don't have to come up with the plan now, we just make the recommendation and give it to Joanna, and she will take it, which she's already doing that. Is that clear?

Okay, so there is a statement by Heidi that says the ATLAS III reporting, this group will develop recommendations, are focused on the At-Large post-ATLAS III activities. It will focus on enhancement on ICANN At-Large activities for At-Large ambassadors rather than develop new activities. Heidi, when you say develop new activities, can you give me an example of why you think it might happen?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, sorry, I'm just trying to capture that action item, but I'm hearing -- I think I heard that this group is not going to develop any new projects;

where current projects within ICANN or within At-Large exists, you will enhance those, expand those to fit; some of the outcomes or recommendations that you were seeing from the reports in the survey. You're not going to create new groups or activities when there's already something existing. That's what I was trying to state. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Maureen, please, go ahead. Thank you, Heidi.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Thank you, Eduardo and Heidi. I agree, we don't want you to do be doing too much more than what they are already doing. It's really just what is the feedback and recommendations that are actually coming from the meeting that actually enhances what was already got in mind to do? But it may be a recommendation that is related to what is already being planned, but it may be a recommendation which may enhance it.

So that's the sort of information that I'd really like to be seeing as coming from the bottom up and that will actually make the work that's being done again, and policy outreach, engagement, and communications, that will actually enhance the work that is actually being done within those and it's up to the leaders, the leads, it's Jonathan, Joanna and Daniel and myself as to how we use those recommendations and incorporate them.

So all you have got to do is analyze and make those recommendations and then pass them over. Yeah, not much more than that. It's just two

that I want people to know and those who actually spent the time putting those ideas down, that their ideas, and their contributions to the surveys and the reports have actually added some meaning to what it is that we're actually doing within At-Large.

So I just agree, I don't want you to do more work than you have to do, because even doing this analysis and extracting the information that we need is going to be work enough. Thanks.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Maureen. Remember, this work that we're doing is very interactive and the idea here is that within all of us make sure that we don't come out with recommendations that will create interactivity. So we recommend something that has already been done or is going to be too overwhelming for everyone and that's why we need the input from all of you. So we make recommendations and make sense based on the data that we got.

That's why when I saw the comments that came up from the brainstorming, I said, "Wow, we're going to be very busy here," but those are ideas, ideas from people when they were doing the brainstorming on ATLAS III, we should look at them, maybe we can click and take from that based on the feedback that we got from that part and the other areas, like surveys and interviews and so forth.

If there are no more questions, thank you for the clarifications on the capacity building strategy for At-Large, I'm going to take that out of this part, we are not going to forget about it, but this is not part of the

output that we're doing now. Thank you so much for that clarification, Joanna and Maureen and everyone else.

So, if you look at these items here, I mean these headings At-Large Journey, recommendations and post-ATLAS III reporting document. That's what I did, I just got deep into these headings, and basically this At-Large Journey thing I'm looking at here, I think that's the part that we should be doing. Also like I said, as part of the survey people have said, "I like policy, I like to be in communications, I like capacity building."

So, one of the things that we can do is we can take the stepping stones and allocate people to whatever interest they have, because that's the way it should be to start with. I mean maybe they will be an ambassador and be working in the Comms group and maybe they would like to move into policy, and some people in policy they want to move into something else, but getting the feedback from ATLAS III, we should use that information and categorize it and allocate people using these stepping stones.

Also from this At-Large Journey section, we should get the data in some visual form, so we can make sense out of it and as part of this work in the At-Large journey, we need to get some kind of conclusions from the survey data and the other data, some kind of conclusions; for example, was the ATLAS III meeting well taken, what percentage -- was it well prepared, I don't know, I'm just thinking examples of these. That's the type of the conclusions that I would like to see coming from that.

Please stop me if you have any questions or if you don't understand what I'm trying to do here, but basically like I said, I wanted to show you

what the next steps are and you will see how I'm distributing this work, which I think will help moving this forward really effectively and fast.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev, maybe I misunderstood, but you mentioned the Comms group. Is that another working group?

EDUARDO DIAZ: No, no, these are the stepping stones -- let me see if I have them here. No.   The stepping stones; I got this 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 communications capacity-building, coaching teams, policy and leadership.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, I got it now.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, That's where this thing came from. So participants said, "I would like to be here, I like to be there." So that's easy to do. Now chartering the data and looking at the data and come up with some conclusions about it, that's part of what I'm looking forward here.   So in the recommendations part, like I mentioned before, the recommendations might be generic, in the sense it encompasses all of them, all these stepping stones, or specific to a stepping stone or task.

An example that we already just talked about is the academic strategic capacity building plan. Most probably a recommendation will come out at the end of this work that will say, you know, "We recommend that

the capacity building takes up on doing x, this strategic plan," and then Joanna just reiterated thinking of doing something about it, so that's what I meant when it's specific to a stepping stone. Generic can be, I don't know, everybody has to participate in working groups; I don't know, I'm making that up, but that's what I meant with general.

This one we're going to skip because we talked about the academy capacity building plan so it's not there, and then the post-ATLAS III reporting document which is the final document that is going to come up from this group; it will contain all the preamble data sets, findings, recommendations and final remarks, that's mostly headings that I thought about including in this document. Again, this is not set in stone. This idea here is to have some kind of a framework for this document. Are there any comments or questions up to this point?

Okay. So, this is how I would like to distribute the work in doing this, what we have to do. I see David and Dev working on the At-Large Journey part, remember? We go back here, At-Large Journey part, these are the outputs of that work, these three things here. And I think for recommendations, I see Cheryl, Nadira, and Joanna, working mostly in getting these recommendations based on the information that they get from David and Dev.

And again, everyone has access to all this data, so that you can go and read all of it. There is a Wiki that was prepared by Alp where we're trying to get everything in one place so here are the names [inaudible] and references and surveys and the interviews by Alp and Glenn, and we need the link, please. Heidi or anyone of the staff who can get us

the link to the raw data from the reports, even though it's not translated at this point, so we can look at it and we can read it.

I received an email from Ops, there's some kind of security issue which was not explained to me but whatever is this, somehow we need access to those reports. Even if we don't read the names or anything like that. I have a feeling it has to do with --

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Hi Eduardo, this is Claudia. No, what happened is that they're not ready yet because there was a problem for the translations team accessing the links, but I can go ahead and the English ones are available, we have I think 3 in Spanish and about 8 in French, those are the ones that we are waiting, but I can go ahead and try to link them.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Well, if you're going to put the link in this wiki page, since this is what we're using, then when we need to come and see the data, we can come to this Wiki page and the links will be there.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Okay, I'll work on it.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I also added the brainstorming comments and ideas, so instead of going to the document, I put them here, they're about 19 of them. Just so you have the information, or here, in any case. So I see Joanna, Nadira and

Cheryl working on the recommendations based on the database of the information that they get from the At-Large Journey. I will start working on the final report. I will put a draft document out there, just to start the conversation and start compiling the data and making sure that everything looks correct.

And by the way, the fact that the work is being distributed this way doesn't mean that you just do that part, please. We are here to contribute to do the work of the working group as a whole, it is just that by dividing this in this way, it will make it easier for people to concentrate in different areas, but comments are welcome for any part of it, especially in the final report. So are we okay with this allocation? Are there any questions? Do you feel like you want to be somewhere else?

Well, if you change your mind later, then do it, but again, we're all working in all of it. So don't think that if you are not doing the final report, I cannot comment on the other stuff.

The last thing is a timeline, a proposed timeline. I think we should have weekly meetings. They don't have to be 60 minutes, they have to be less than that because really what I see in the weekly meetings is just to get a report from the three different working groups on the chartered data, initial [inaudible] recommendations and forget about the plan for the capacity building.

Then we just want to have three meetings, if we do it weekly, and basically then by next week, and I'm proposing here to have the same

thing, same day, same time. I think that's like every Tuesday at this time. I believe it can work out, but we can talk about that later. Yes?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, Eduardo, this is Heidi. Just have a couple of points; one is that Alp is to be leaving this working group from the staff side and as you know, he works with us part-time and he just received his new schedule at his university and he's not available on Tuesdays. So it would be great if we could have these calls either on Monday or Thursday, but we can discuss that later.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes, we're going to have that at the end of the agenda so we can talk about that there, thank you for the information. So I see the first meeting, the next meeting to be a sort of drafty meeting, what have you done? What have you found out? What you've concluded, recommendations, you can start thinking about recommendations based on the data, you can go look at the data yourself, and I will have a report, named version 1.0 with some kind of framework, and what's there, that's a draft document.

Then the next meeting, the following week, will have a version 2 of the report with information from whatever happens in the first meeting. All the data should be final, the charter data, conclusions and the allocation of participants should be there.

Recommendations, we can have another draft recommendations, continue working on that. I will pick up information from the first week

after this weekend and we'll add them into the report, so we'll call it version 2.0. And then the final week of the 25th, we should have our mostly final document and recommendations, almost ready to go.

The deadline that I'm proposing is that we have this final report by the 29th of February, and I think that's doable and the final report will be the final output of this working group work. If we have to reconvene after the 29th, then we do that, but basically, when this final report goes out, that's our final output. So that's that, and for next week we need some kind of reaction to the input data. Are there any questions about this proposed way of doing things, proposals moving forward with this work?

If not, that is what we will use as a guide to this work. This is just data that is there, you can go ahead. The topic number 3, you can go ahead and look at the data, I have links to some of the data and when we get the final link to the final reports, you can read that too. So let's talk about what Heidi mentioned. Dev, you have your hand up?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So coming to surveys, I know it's only view only, is it that we're going to have editing access to this spreadsheet? The survey which is the first link in Topic Number 3.

EDUARDO DIZA: This one?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah, we only have view access to it. So is it that I'll have editing access to the survey or do I make a copy of it?

EDUARDO DIAZ: This is the raw data from the surveys; if you want to play with it, I think you should copy it and then do whatever you want to do with it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, all right.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Because I don't think there is a way to change this.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Understood.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah, okay, but yeah, copy and play with it anyway you want.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay.

EDUARDO DIAZ: You and David are very good at looking at data and it's a different way of looking at it, which not that many people have that ability. I don't have that ability.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, so Mondays or Thursdays? Any projections from anyone?

Monday, Joanna. Monday, okay. I don't have a problem with either day. You are [inaudible] Thursday, it has to be Monday. Does anyone have a problem having these meetings Monday?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dave. I know Monday is the ALS group with Alan.

EDUARDO DIAZ: [CROSSTALK] session, that's at 2pm, Eastern Standard Time. That's what, 1800 UTC.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yeah, Eduardo, given that Alp supports both of those calls, they will not overlap.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:	So, Monday would be ideal as well because then Alp can support both of those calls.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Okay, so we do this at 17:00 UTC or after the [inaudible] one? That's 19:00 UTC.
DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:	That'll be at one o'clock. Earlier one just before because it's not anticipated this call would go beyond an hour.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Okay.
DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:	Whereas potentially, Alan's Working Group, we have gone over quite a few times.
EDUARDO DIAZ:	Now [Inaudible 44:20] after? Can you do it before at 17:00?
NADIRA AL-ARAJ:	It is difficult for me to do it before because it's supper time for Dad and I do [inaudible].

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, can you do it at 19:00?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: 19:00? Okay. All right, sure.

EDUARDO DIAZ: That's 3pm our time So, Joanna are you okay?

JOANNA KULESZA: What's the exact time again we're talking about?

EDUARDO DIAZ: 19:00 UTC.

JOANNA KULESZA: Alright, that's good. I think I can make that. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, and well then I don't have Daniel here or missing Daniel from the call, but anyhow, that's the majority of the people I guess, can be from 19:00. Go ahead, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, thank you. I think we have that time set, that's fantastic. So, my next question is, does this working group need or like a public presence on the At-Large Working Group portal? Obviously, we cannot have the

the raw data, with private information posted, but we could [CROSSTALK]

EDUARDO DIAZ: You mean on the calendar?

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, on the portal, would you like this to be under, on the -- here, let me show you where I'm talking about.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Actually, let me tell you, let me stop to share with this item, I don't need this anymore and you can pick it up.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, so Claudia, could you share that portal? It's basically where we have all of our workspaces for the At-Large working groups, and you'll see that under organizational types of workgroups, there are ATLAS III working groups. So it would make sense that this group be part of that ATLAS III working group.

You'll see there's sort of a subsection, and we can post the calls if you'd like. I don't know if you want new calls to be open? I'm not sure. Do you want the recording to be public? Do you want anything to be public until you produce the recommendations? Just curious.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I don't know, what do the other people think? I mean, the data is not public. It doesn't make sense to have this public and let people have access to data? I don't know what the others think.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Well, I would have stated against it given that we will be discussing the contents of the survey results and so on; whether have you developed the recommendations and mapping and whatnot. So, I would say no to have it public; and in any event, the final report will be the one that will be public.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Right.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So…

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, this is Heidi again, I'm seeing [inaudible]; what we could do then, is in the reporting that all of the leads for the post ATLAS material areas need to present on the [inaudible] ALT Plus and the ALAC. We could just put a summary, Eduardo. This [CROSSTALK] we're doing, is that better? Let me do that.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Sure.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, that might work then.

EDUARDO DIAZ: No problem.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, perfect, thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: So it says closed membership, closed membership. They're all the groups there, they are not open.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Oh, so you're saying that you do want this? Because I thought that we were not going to have anything. Do you want it posted and just closed, because this is a closed membership, it doesn't necessarily mean closed pages.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Oh okay.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, so here's the post-ATLAS; thank you, Claudia. So here, right there. Right back up. Yeah. This is Eduardo, that's your area; so you could just update this and that box is actually on the agenda for the ALT Plus and

the ALAC meeting, just to update everyone. So that might be the best thing going forward, is you just update this on a periodic basis.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah, in fact, I copied part of that table in the agenda; if you look down it's there, I'm using that as my timeline progress so I can go on and put it there. You see what I'm saying, I'm seeing the outcome, you will get the agenda at the end? The working group timeline progress.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. [CROSSTALK]

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Yeah, thank you. In fact, I was thinking I'm for open data; if we just have the raw data, removing the personal information from it and make it anonymous, and then post it and then it could be accessible by everybody. What do you think about this?

EDUARDO DIAZ: I don't have any answer to that.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Certainly we can anonymize the survey data, but I think in the sense of -- and that's beginning to process it. I think we need to understand what region people are coming from in terms of what recommendations there are and if there are any special considerations and so forth. And I think [inaudible] anonymize it. It just delays our final report. I will say

we can anonymize it as part of the final report, but I don't know, I think trying to anonymize it and then try to work on anonymous data is going to delay it.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: No, no, it's not for us, I'm talking about to keep the information available to anybody, from ATLAS participants to visit this page and have access. Maybe they have their own analysis. They can use this data for their own sake or from research they are doing. According to Maureen, she said there's some of them, they are just academics.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Nadira, this is Eduardo, all that data will be published in the final report.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Oh, yeah, yeah.

EDUARDO DIAZ: So it will be public at that point. So it's the work that we're doing so we can do it fast, and we have to look into the data and see what can be public or not. It is better to do it closed, do the work and then publish the whole thing.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Okay.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Which is the way it is going to happen and then people can look at the data and do whatever kind of research they want. If they want the raw data, then somebody can provide it, the person needs to be anonymous.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Well noted, thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. Is that okay, Nadira?

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Yes, yes, well noted, yes.

EDUARDO DIAZ: So it's not that we want to [inaudible] want to work and do this. Joanna, please.

JOANNA KULESZA: Thank you, I just wanted to raise the question I put in the chat. I'm wondering if there is any transparency concerns or is there a risk of us being criticized for doing the report undercover and not having a website that displays the members of the group, etc., etc. I'm just trying to think ahead here.

So I'm happy not to have an additional Wiki page that will provide more work. I'm happy to just produce the results. That will be the report.

My Initial concern would be for the sake of transparency. I'm wondering if anyone shares that concern, but again, you guys have more experience. I'm happy to follow your lead here, thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: To me, we can open the Wiki page; it's just that when people link to any of these links, it's going to be on an access basis. And I don't have a problem with the Wiki being open. I mean, I don't know what everyone thinks about this?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: The only risk, of course, is that if somebody links or uploads data that's not meant to be public. That's only the risk. I mean, certainly you can't have a public page describing what's the rule and what you're trying to do, etc. and just have that, but yeah, you just have to take that risk into account.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: If somebody makes a meeting audio where we're discussing what [inaudible] said and what they meant or whether we agree or disagree with them and so on, we would not want that to be public, either. So, that's all.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah. No, I follow you, is the issue between transparency and what data you want people to look because there is data that comes from people, they have their names next to it.

DEV ANAD TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Well, I really don't know. To me, the output of this group will come out at the end in a report which is going to be available for anyone; the data is not going to be available for everyone because it has names, except if it gets anonymized and you want to see the raw data here, you just simply have to anonymize it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: That being said, it be will hard to anonymize the data fully. I mean, if you see a server response from Trinidad and Tobago, you kind of know who the person is.

EDUARDO DIAZ: If I say we're doing San Juan...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah. So if I see a server response from Puerto Rico, chances are it's one of two persons.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I don't want us to focus our efforts on anonymizing any data, so we keep it close. And if somebody comes with an issue of transparency and looks, the data that we're looking at it has names, and that's what we have a reduced group, but the final document is going to be there and the data can be available if it gets anonymized, but will be done after we finish.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah, we will cross that bridge when we get to it.

EDUARDO DIAZ: You're okay with that, Joanna? Is that a good story to say?

JOANNA KULESZA: Yes, I think we can do that. I don't want to compile problems here. So let's just move on. I think it's for the purpose of producing a report and if anyone have any issues, we'll try to address them as they come forward. I'm happy with the discussions we've had. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: And please, don't feel like you don't want to bring more problems, I think you have a very good question. I assume you've got an issue that can come up and it's easier to talk about it now than later.

JOANNA KULESZA: Okay, so I'm just thinking that this process of recruiting members that you indicated having everyone from one region, I could imagine

someone from some regions standing up and asking, "Why it is this specific representative representing that region in this group?" I hope this doesn't happen, but that would be something that my limited experience within At-Large might indicate that.

EDUARDO DIAZ: This time I called people and I selected them. I didn't make it an open call or anything like that, because I wanted to do this in one month.

JOANNA KULESZA: I know where you're coming from, Eduardo, I'm just trying to think ahead and we'll tackle those problems, if they come up; let's assume they do not and let's just move on with the work. So I'm fully on board here and thank you for having me.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah, yeah, thank you. It was really not about [inaudible], but I wanted to have representatives from all the areas and some people that I called, they didn't want to, period; they didn't have the time, because I tried reaching people that are less knowledgeable in At-Large. I want to engage people to do things in working groups but they didn't have the time.

So are there any other questions? Okay, so that's it, so we hear you next week, Monday and see how it goes. So thank you so much for your time and inputs, and hear you next week. Thank you, this meeting is adjourned.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I put the action items into the chat. Thank you,

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Heidi. Thank you.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ: Thank you everyone, bye.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]