

Chat Transcript – NomComRIWG – Thursday, 30 January 2020 @ 1300 UTC – Meeting #28

04:56:26 From Kristina Hakobyan : hello, everyone)
04:59:27 From Tom Barrett : hello
04:59:34 From Lars Hoffmann : Hello everyone!
04:59:44 From Vanda Scartezini : hi everyone...
04:59:55 From Nadira Al Araj : Hi Everyone
05:00:29 From Yvette Guigneaux : Hi All - Welcome to Meeting #28 - NomCom Review Implementation Working Group (NomComRIWG) - Thursday, 30 January 2020 @ 13:00 UTC
05:00:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : yup

05:01:37 From Yvette Guigneaux :
Proposed Draft Agenda
Welcome
Roll Call + SOI Updates
NomCom Timeline 1: Recommendation 7
Outreach Letters: Status Update
Budget Requests
Next Meeting
A.O.B.

Action Items: <https://community.icann.org/x/g4OGBg>
SOI blank form: <https://community.icann.org/x/bhplBg>
Standard Rules of Behavior: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/expected-standards-2016-06-28-en>

05:01:49 From Yvette Guigneaux :
Apologies: Leah Symekher

05:08:51 From Vanda Scartezini : clearer Nadira, thanks
05:11:57 From Vanda Scartezini : i am ok with this
05:26:02 From Vanda Scartezini : agree Lars
05:26:33 From Nadira Al Araj : it is much easier and well structured
05:27:44 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : That is separate to the use of the term "seated"
which is an important point I support
05:28:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : In a way it is up to the appointing body
05:34:54 From Vanda Scartezini : phase yes

05:35:23 From Vanda Scartezini : for instance in May would be too late to someone join the process at NOMCOM

05:35:42 From Nadira Al Araj : yes, we leave that up to the appointing body

05:36:21 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : It depends too on the experience of the new appointee

05:36:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : a newbie vs say someone who had served as a Leaders in a nother NC

05:36:47 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : big difference

05:36:55 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : but up to the appointing body

05:37:38 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : yup

05:37:53 From Vanda Scartezini : certainly depends on the knowledge but we can not guarantee the person appointed would be expedient enough or this must be into the recommendation that I believe is not the best solution

05:39:17 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes the clean slate also got support fro most of us attending the last call

05:39:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : so advice from legal makes sense to me

05:40:00 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : that sort of transition system is common enough in such circumstances

05:40:00 From Nadira Al Araj : +1 to the legal advice

05:40:08 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : kinda the clean slate trade off

05:40:14 From Vanda Scartezini : transition rule

05:42:14 From Vanda Scartezini : Nadira I could not understand?

05:42:31 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : No that is the matter of serv specific By law

05:43:05 From Vanda Scartezini : ok understood

05:45:24 From Vanda Scartezini : better to check the bylaws

05:46:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : usually the Bylaw is only enacted *if* a complaint occurs ... need to check

05:47:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : with ICANN Legal an 'refractory periods to effect simultaneous service on NC and in an appointing body / receiving body

05:48:31 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Is that not what we just discussed re ICANN Legal Advice

05:49:10 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : with ref to 3 lifetime is life time

05:49:26 From Vanda Scartezini : last year for instance in LACRALO we decide to start with 2 years for the member selected aso we gave another year for the same member

05:50:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Let the AC/SO's decide again here

05:50:36 From Vanda Scartezini : yes like we did last year

05:50:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : especially with the phas in we just agreed to

05:52:46 From Nadira Al Araj : some of them are ending the 2 years

05:54:11 From Nadira Al Araj : +1 Cheryl because they might not performed well

05:54:18 From Vanda Scartezini : yesNAdira, as we decided to follow the new rule before it was totally approved to avoid future problem . so the Ac/Sos are the ones to decide IMO

05:58:45 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : We mat need to look to rebalenceing before finalising this aspect of Rec 7

05:59:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : it's a;; we can do re éxtension'requests

06:00:21 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : BTW I am aware that ALAC is preparing their response (was asked a few points from the staff prepong it)

06:01:37 From Vanda Scartezini : i agree with you nadira

06:01:53 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : it may in fact clarify the role of an Associate Chair :-)

06:02:19 From Vanda Scartezini : yes me too but looks like will work

06:02:27 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Bye

06:02:28 From Nadira Al Araj : thank you

06:02:29 From Kristina Hakobyan : bye, all