At-Large Areas of Concern

The full list of SubPro areas or topics, with corresponding concern levels to At-Large, is as follows:

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS/TOPICS	Sub-Areas/Related Areas
	CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES	
High	1. DNS Abuse Mitigation	Contractual ComplianceBase Registry Agreement
High	2. CCT Recommendations Prerequisite and High Priority Level Recommendations relevant to SubPro PDP WG's work contained in the Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust Review Final Report dated 8 September 2018	Consumer TrustDNS Abuse
TBD	3. Geographic Names at the Top Level	 Definition of geographic names, geographic indicators etc Geographic Names Panel Preventative versus Curative protections Translations Non-AGB Terms
	OVERARCHING ISSUES	
High	4. Cost vs Benefit of New gTLD Program – Continuing Subsequent Procedures [2.2.1]	 Metrics Types of TLDs [2.2.4] Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal)
Medium	5. Predictability [2.2.2] / Clarity of Application Process [2.2.2.2]	 Predictability Framework [NEW] Standing Predictability Implementation Review Team (SPIRT)
Medium	6. Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3]	•
Medium	7. Types of TLDs [2.2.4]	•
Low to none	8. Applications Submission Limits [2.2.5]	•
Low	9. Accreditation Programs [2.2.6]	•
	FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES	
High	10. Public Interest Commitments & Other Safeguards [Global Public Interest, 2.3.2]	Mandatory PICsVoluntary PICsVerified TLDs
Low to none	11. Applicant Freedom of Expression [2.3.3]	•
High	12. Universal Acceptance (UA) [2.3.4]	•
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS/TOPICS	Sub-Areas/Related Areas
	PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVITIES	
Low to none	13. Applicant Guidebook [2.4.1]	•
Medium	14. Communications [2.4.2]	Outreach to Middle/Global South candidates
None	15. Systems [2.4.3]	•
	APPLICATION SUBMISSION	
High	16. Applicant Support Program (ASP) [2.5.4]	 Funding source Outreach Criteria - Metrics Accreditation Programs [2.2.6] Variable Fees [2.5.2]
Low	17. Application Fees [2.5.1]	Cost Recovery
Low	18. Variable Fees [2.5.2]	•
Low	19. Application Submission Period [2.5.3]	•
	APPLICATION PROCESSING	
Medium	20. Applicant Change Requests [S2.4]	•
Low	21. Application Queueing [2.6.1]	•
	APPLICATION EVALUATION/CRITERIA	
High	22. Reserved Names [2.7.1]	•
High	23. Closed Generics [2.7.3]	Single registrant / Brand TLDs
High	24. String Similarity [2.7.4]	•
High	25. Internationalized Domain Names (IDN) [2.7.5]	IDN Variant FrameworkLGRsRisk of DNS Abuse
High	26. Security and Stability [2.7.6]	Delegation Rates
High	27. Names Collision [2.7.8]	NCAP Study 1 (Studies 2 and 3?)
Medium	28. Registrant Protections [2.7.2]	
Low to none	29. Applicant Reviews: Technical/ Operational, Financial and Registry Services [2.7.7]	•
Medium	30. Role of Application Comment [S2.3]	•
	DISPUTE PROCEEDINGS	
High	31. Objections [2.8.1]	Community ObjectionsPublic Interest Objections
High	32. Accountability Mechanism [2.8.2]	• Accountability Framework [NEW] ➤ Appeals
	STRING CONTENTION RESOLUTION	
High	33. Community Applications [2.9.1]	Community Priority Evaluation (CPE)

PRIORITY	SUBSEQUENT PROCEDURES AREAS/TOPICS	Sub-Areas/Related Areas
		Community Objections distinct from CPE, Appeals
		 Application Assessed in Rounds [2.2.3] (including Neustar's proposal)
High	34. Auctions as Mechanism of Last Resort, Private Resolution of Contention Sets (incl. Private Auctions) [S2.1, S2.2]	 String Contention Mechanism of Last Resort [NEW] Private resolution Sealed bid auction
	CONTRACTING	
High	35. Base Registry Agreement [2.10.1]	DNS Abuse mitigation
None	36. Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry / Registrar Standardization [2.10.2]	
None	37. Registrar Support for New gTLDs [2.5]	•
	PRE-DELEGATION	
None	38. Registry System Testing [2.11.1]	•
	POST-DELEGATION	
None	39. TLD Rollout [2.12.1]	•
TBD	40. Second Level Rights Protection Mechanisms [2.12.2]	
High	41. Contractual Compliance [2.12.3]	DNS Abuse mitigation

Status of Scorecard

This Scorecard is updated from time to time, as and when new information becomes available.