13:51:42 From Vanda Scartezini: hi all
13:57:48 From Brenda Brewer: Good day all! Welcome to the ATRT3 Webinar
Presentation of Draft Report for Public Consultation. This is Webinar #1 taking place on 13 January 2020 at 20:00 UTC. This presentation is recorded. Participant lines should remain muted during the webinar. Questions will be taken at the end of the presentation. To ask a question, raise your virtual hand and when called upon, kindly state your name. then proceed to ask your question. Also, You may enter question in the Zoom Group Chat box.
Thank you!
14:00:33 From Vanda Scartezini: hi jacques.. happy 2020
14:01:21 From herb.waye: greetings everyone
14:01:32 From Vanda Scartezini: hi herb
14:01:53 From Danko Jevtovic: Hi *.*
14:07:21 From humbertocarrasco: Hi everybody
14:07:50 From Anne Aikman-Scalese: Hello Humberto...
14:08:34 From Brenda Brewer: When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>
14:12:23 From Anne Aikman-Scalese: Sorry - who is speaking?
14:12:34 From Bernard Turcotte: Pat Kane Co-chair
14:12:45 From Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thank you.
14:20:32 From Susan Payne: [QUESTION] - why do you identify the EPDP on slide 19 but not other PDP work? Participation into EPDP was highly limited to named individuals
14:27:34 From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): [QUESTION] - slide 27 - for the workshop style, would the RT have to meet via email ahead of time to start collecting data? That’s the time consuming part and I don’t think you could figure out what was needed, request the data, and get the data in 3-5 days.
did the RT consider that this suggestion may result in uninformed public comments (if the commentor can only see a summary they may not be competent to respond)

did the RT consider that this suggestion may result in uninformed public comments (if the commentor can only see a summary they may not be competent to respond)

as to whether something is a recommendation or a suggestion after the public comment period do you plan to put your "proposed final report" out for further comment?

you do explain that the assessment of non-implementation of ATRT2 recommendation includes such recommendations which were dealt with in another way, have passed to another workstream or have been superseded by events. Nevertheless, the assessment you make could be interpreted by those who don't dig into the detail as a "failure of implementation". Would you consider in your final report including a second set of stats that make this clearer - ie wherever there is a total failure versus where this has been/is being dealt with in some other way?

As well as decisions on Specific Scope for these reviews it will need proper *preparation* as you have noted @ Kristine

and an ATRT only is required to undertake a single PC interaction within its work plan @Susan... noting the strict 12 months limitation on such work
From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: @Susan as you state "...Can we assume that participation in a normal PDP would be qualifying? " Active participation certainly would, note again this is just an example (etc.,) list not an all inclusive one...

From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): <Question/comment> I see you working in good faith to finish in 12 months, but if that means the community has inadequate time to respond, I’m concerned about the quality of responses. Why can't this RT go past 12 months (to, say 12.5 months)? I don't understand the hard deadline. We're all volunteers already.... :) <End Question/Comment>

From Susan Payne: @Sebastien - thanks that's helpful, but in which case there's a distinction surely between not having been dealt with at all and still being a work in progress

From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Kristine were bylaw bound to the 1 year time limit

From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): Thanks Pat and Cheryl
From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): and al
From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): all
From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: @Kristine also the ATRT’s are the only one bound by this limitation... another advantage of the new model(s) proposed would be to change some of these issues

From Anne Aikman-Scalese: Many thanks to the team for your time commitment to this effort.

From Susan Payne: thanks so much

From Brenda Brewer: Please mute when not speaking. Thank you!

From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry): Thanks Pat!! that would help us a lot...

From Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Kristine please check the appendixes as well
From Susan Payne: could you add it to that spreadsheet to the public comment page please Pat (well not you personally obviously :)]) so other groups also have it. Thanks so much
14:53:13 From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry) : @Cheryl, thanks I have reviewed them, but it's still a puzzle to put together.
14:53:20 From Kristine Dorrain (Amazon Registry) : Thanks Vanda!
14:53:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and Yes what @Vanda is saying now inturms of influences on us is essential to note... we were not just affirming ATRT2 work
14:54:00 From Susan Payne : Thanks so much to the RT
14:54:01 From jennifer.bryce : ATRT3’s assessment of ATRT2 implementation can be found here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1O0XgL-18T2apWhPOg6htqSB1JZWAwg_KXIFHm5m5-U/edit#gid=283135248 it may be helpfull
14:54:02 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC) : Thanks Pat and Cheryl and the team for this great webinar
14:54:07 From Danko Jevtovic : thank you the Team, ***
14:54:09 From Vanda Scartezini : thank you
14:54:10 From Viviane Vinagre : Thank you
14:54:11 From jaap : Bye all
14:54:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks so Much