04:34:41   Jennifer Bryce: Here is the link to the Google document that is on screen: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQlZ-iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit

04:54:49   negar.farzinnia: Progress report on Open Data Program (ODP) is included in the latest ICANN Annual Report: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/annual-report-2019-en.pdf

04:59:03   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks Negar

05:03:35   avri doria: just to show remote folk can comment, should they wish.

05:03:53   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks Avri :-)

05:05:42   Jennifer Bryce: The analysis of public comment spreadsheet that we are working on is here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DEzRYW5DqQKI1HW93INDb_ILERDOOvc2Qy1fhfZAG-c/edit#gid=1969175471

05:52:51   Pat Kane (VRSN):   triage

06:11:08   avri doria: no I am engaged. not quite now. but perhaps come back to it.

06:11:51   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thx Avri sorry to put you on the spot ;-)

06:16:37   Jennifer Bryce: Regarding the question of what is included in the 300+ recommendations, this from the Board's public comment on the ATRT3 draft report: "as of November 2019, there were over 300 recommendations issued by specific reviews (not including ATRT3 and SSR2 recommendations), organizational reviews and the CCWG-Accountability's WS2, that were either pending consideration by the Board, or awaiting implementation following Board action."

06:32:24   Vanda Scartezini: thank you Avri for be with us also

06:32:38   Sebastien Bachollet: Thanks Avri

06:33:51   Jennifer Bryce: Here is the link to the document on the screen: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQlZ-iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit

06:55:09   avri doria: btw, for anyone tracking, it seems the audio outages last between 1-2 minutes and it is almost predictable. will try to log.

06:59:49   Jennifer Bryce: Thanks Avri, we have a tech in the room who is having to manually reconnect us each time we drop

07:04:38   avri doria: he does it quickly.

07:04:58   avri doria: btw, a voice from the remote land - NO wrist slashing.

07:05:51   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But it is so tempting some times to just imagine :-)

07:27:27   Pat Kane (VRSN):   not all so/ac are part of the EC

07:28:10   Daniel K. Nanghaka: I agree, the roles of the EC vary

07:28:49   Daniel K. Nanghaka: Some decisions can not be done by the EC and they take a different path based on the requirements

07:28:54   Daniel K. Nanghaka: and the respective processes

07:31:02   León: behind the mic there's a lot of laughter right now

07:31:06   León: we're still here

07:47:22   Kimberly Claffy: i've only been here 10 minutes but what is the 'special nature' being referred to here?

07:47:37   Daniel K. Nanghaka: Hi KC

07:47:53   Daniel K. Nanghaka: We are working on the recommendation of Prioritisation text

07:48:29   Kimberly Claffy: I see that but has anyone actually read this sentence? You have "any prioritization exercise" in here twice.

07:48:45   Kimberly Claffy: and it's not clear what 'special nature' you mean..

07:49:16   Kimberly Claffy: I have not had morning coffee yet so maybe I'm just still not awake

07:49:27   Pat Kane (VRSN):   Part of our earlier conversation, KC, was that WS2 recommendations would not be subject to retirement in the triage process that we have discussed for the 300+ current review team recommendations

07:49:28   Kimberly Claffy: lost audio

07:49:37   Jaap Akkerhuis: working on it

07:50:06   Kimberly Claffy: audio back

07:51:39   Vanda Scartezini: special nature is the specific approval of board

07:52:51   Kimberly Claffy: But what's special about that? All recommendations previous to transition were approved by board and many still not implemented. Sees flaw w that logic

07:58:26   avri doria: It is a pending part of the transition.

08:02:10   Kimberly Claffy: Avri's 5 words help immensely — if the transition is not even complete yet until these recommendations are implemented, this text should be *in* the bullet.

08:02:50   Kimberly Claffy: I did not even know that and many readers will not either. The footnote should explain what happens if these recommendations are not implemented by when, and point to the document where this is explained in more detail.

08:03:42   Kimberly Claffy: and why aren't we saying these are thus the highest priority recommendations, based on what you have said thus far?

08:04:20   Kimberly Claffy: (And where is the web page that lists these recommendations and their implementation status thus far? This is the biggest accountability issue I've heard thus far..)

08:08:07   Kimberly Claffy: So the first bullet is still not unambiguous

08:08:22   Kimberly Claffy: What counts as the transition being completed?

08:08:37   León: I guess having all of WS2 recs implemented KC

08:08:53   Kimberly Claffy: Who decides that the recs are implemented? I NTIA? Based on what information?

08:09:14   León: The Empowered Community?

08:09:26   Kimberly Claffy: Given that every time ICANN says recs are implemented, the subsequent review team disagrees, we seem to have an accountability problem w completing the transition. Is there actually any process written down?

08:09:30   León: there is an implementation review team

08:09:53   Kimberly Claffy: Who is on it? What was the last thing they said? Have they met? Have we talked to them?

08:10:08   León: there is a website with all the information KC

08:10:12   León: let me try to find it for you

08:10:20   Kimberly Claffy: Thanks ;)

08:10:45   León: https://www.icann.org/stewardship-implementation

08:14:05   avri doria: but we have been working with the Implementation team.

08:14:30   Kimberly Claffy: Why can't the board post the implementation plan?

08:14:55   avri doria: I think it has been posted.

08:15:00   León: I think so too

08:15:12   León: everything is public KC

08:15:16   Kimberly Claffy: Then that should be the footnote we link to hear.

08:15:24   León: we just don't happen to have everything at our fingertips

08:15:55   Pat Kane (VRSN):   KC - here is the link to the Montreal approval of WS2
https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-11-07-en#2.c

08:16:05   León: thanks Pat

08:16:46   Kimberly Claffy: I suggest this bullet change to "The prioritization process should take into account that implementation of the WS2 recommendations (footnote to them), as judged by the Empowered Community (footnote to process), is required in order to complete the IANA transition.

08:17:37   avri doria: https://features.icann.org/ccwg-accountability-ws2-%E2%80%93-final-report

08:18:05   Kimberly Claffy: Doesn't that seem like an accountability issue, to this team?

08:18:33   avri doria: it does seem like something worth tracking.

08:18:46   León: It is indeed KC

08:18:54   Kimberly Claffy: Then remove those 5 words, and put this point somewhere else in the report.

08:19:15   Kimberly Claffy: The prioritization process should take into account that implementation of the WS2 recommendations (footnote to them) is required in order to complete the IANA transition.

08:19:28   León: I like that wording KC. Thanks

08:19:39   León: Let's see what others think

08:19:54   Kimberly Claffy: Lost audio

08:20:12   Kimberly Claffy: tnx león, i'm aiming for maximum clarity in minimum words

08:33:55   Kimberly Claffy: Can someone post the URL we are working on?

08:34:19   Kimberly Claffy: tnx

08:34:23   Jennifer Bryce: Here is the link KC:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQlZ-iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit

08:34:39   Jennifer Bryce: last page

08:37:53   Kimberly Claffy: what's the 'backlog" referred to here? Which of the 350+ recommendations outstanding count as backlog? All of them?

08:37:56   Osvaldo Novoa: "this prioritization process"?

08:39:06   Kimberly Claffy: I agree w Demi

08:39:12   Vanda Scartezini: backlog - the 300 recommendations including the WS2 recomendations

08:39:36   Tola: @Demi, +1

08:50:55   Pat Kane (VRSN):   Tola we lost you

08:51:18   Pat Kane (VRSN):   the room has lost the audio are you hearing each other in zoom?

08:51:19   León: I just forwarded the WS2 implementation assessment to the mailing list. Thanks to Avri for making it available

08:51:35   Vanda Scartezini: thanks Avri

08:51:51   Kimberly Claffy: i will admit being flummoxed by this continued conversation of how to prioritize 300+ items with no reference to where I can see all those items in one place, much less their status, or some analysis of their overlap or contradictions among them. I've already asked for this collation 3 times but it's obviously not a priority. It seems like yet another central accountability issue. ICANN is either not taking the reviews seriously or is reluctant to be transparent about the abject failure they represent.

08:53:55   Pat Kane (VRSN):   Those are just counts, not what the recommendations are

08:54:17   Cheryl Langdon-Orr: OK but we did ask staff to source this material

08:54:34   León: I agree it is an issue KC but I don't share the view that reviews are not being taken seriously nor that ICANN is being reluctant to be transparent. I know that

ICANN is working on it and has a full plate. Is it far from perfect? Yes, absolutely. It is work in progress

08:57:14   Kimberly Claffy: I predict that when this report is published, it will be a huge issue that this basic collation is still a "work in progress".

08:57:40   Kimberly Claffy: Negar: thank you!

08:59:35   Kimberly Claffy: Pat: it's well noted in the report? I did not see it.

09:01:16   Pat Kane (VRSN):   it is not noted in the report and we should add it, I was focused on here today and even before we have clearly called it out

09:01:49   Pat Kane (VRSN):   that was missing some punctuation to make perfect sense, sorry

09:02:13   Kimberly Claffy: Cheryl: No, I disagree.

09:02:23   Kimberly Claffy: What I've been asking for exists, that's the ponit.

09:07:57   Sebastien Bachollet: Why we need to ask so many time and didn't get that few months ago? - SAD

09:11:39   Kimberly Claffy: pat: exactly. When analyzing data, the data actually matters. ;)

09:12:36   Kimberly Claffy: To Leon's point: when developing a methodology to analyze data, the data *still* actually matters..

09:12:48   Kimberly Claffy: (So I disagree w Leon..)

09:13:05   León: Thanks KC, we can agree to disagree :-)

09:13:18   Daniel K. Nanghaka: The Data Is important to run an analysis

09:13:33   León: The point is that it is not up to us to do that data analysis KC. At least from my POV

09:13:48   Daniel K. Nanghaka: and if the information was availed we could have run an analysis of the implementation of the recommendations

09:14:17   León: Indeed Daniel. But we are where we are. Let's fix it and move on

09:14:34   Jacques Blanc (RrSG):   @Leon : +1

09:15:18   Kimberly Claffy: Leon, we agree on that part. But we have no way to validate the method we come up with, even conceptually, without the data we plan to execute the method on. It's just an abstract conversation, which is why we are struggling so much to make it concrete here.

09:19:19   Kimberly Claffy: I agree w Pat, but also feel that sentence will remove the need for the 2nd sentence he just read ("Also..")

09:22:01   Kimberly Claffy: Lost audio?

09:22:37   León: they're looking into it KC

09:22:42   León: it should be back shortly

09:23:12   Kimberly Claffy: back

09:23:22   León: good. Thanks

09:30:35   Kimberly Claffy: Somehow this text broke again. Can we go back to what I suggested earlier "The prioritization process should take into account that implementation of the WS2 recommendations (footnote to them) is required in order to complete the IANA transition. Retirement of these recommendations is not an option."

09:31:11   Kimberly Claffy: [Though I admit I don't understand why these recommendations can't be retired, since there is no process to disapprove of whatever happens to them, nor to approve of their implementation..]

09:31:52   Kimberly Claffy: And this is where it would be good for me to be able to Look At These Recommendations on a web page ;)

09:31:56   Daniel K. Nanghaka: I am in agreement to the original text

09:32:38   Kimberly Claffy: Because those are 5 year old recommendations and may look absolutely insane to the SO/AC "jury" we are recommending be established.

09:35:27   Kimberly Claffy: Sigh "recommendations are required" is not meaningful..

09:35:36   Kimberly Claffy: We already *have* the recommendations..

09:36:49   Pat Kane (VRSN):   WS2 recommendations are to be prioritized and not retired as they are required to complete the IANA transition...

09:36:52   Kimberly Claffy: We certainly seem to have gotten to the crux of the accountability problems here. ;)

09:37:21   Kimberly Claffy: Pat: I think that text is perfectly clear, I just don't hear consensus that people agree w it.

09:39:24   Kimberly Claffy: I think we are back to the point I made earlier which is the fact that there is no process for assessment of WS2 implementation is a central accountability problem that is now blocking our process of developing a methodology for

prioritization of recommendations that might be "required for the transition to complete" but "not make any sense to SO/ACs anymore".

09:39:41   Pat Kane (VRSN):   WS2 recommendations are subject to prioritization and not retirement as they are required to complete the IANA transition.

09:41:24   Jaap Akkerhuis: Brussel tradition, stop te clock :-)

09:41:56   Vanda Scartezini: jaap just do not look at it is easy

09:42:05   Daniel K. Nanghaka: @Jaap indeed the clock stopped at 18:00

09:43:08   Pat Kane (VRSN):   KC - please have a look at this https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-RCS_NzjGA5IleuC_b2fbU47JsqpbU80ln0mnBVurkA/edit

09:43:36   Pat Kane (VRSN):   We tried to put together some thoughts from last nights homework assignment. Thanks

09:44:41   Kimberly Claffy: wolfgang: I cannot agree or disagree with the text now without READING the WS2 recommendations and the implementation plan!

09:45:33   León: KC, being realistic, under that basis, agreement will not be possible

09:45:58   León: my feeling is that there is consensus. If not full, at least rough

09:48:04   Kimberly Claffy: Leon: it seems quite an underinformed consensus, but I'll defer my objections to later point. I think I have made them clear..

09:50:47   avri doria: Bye, good luck tomorrow. Have appreciated the opportunity to observe and even contribute a bit. ATRT is dear to my heart.

09:50:57   Kimberly Claffy: thanks all.