

**Zoom Chat Transcript**  
**ATRT3 Plenary #46 | Face to Face Day 2 - AM Session**  
**8 January 2020**

00:34:24 Vanda Scartezini: hi everyone

00:34:32 Daniel K. Nanghaka: Hi Vanda

00:34:45 Daniel K. Nanghaka: Its a super cool day in Brussels

00:35:33 ly: hi

00:36:18 ly: good afternoon from beijing to everyone

00:38:59 Jennifer Bryce: Here is the Google doc to the homework assignment:  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-RCS\\_NzjGA5lleuC\\_b2fbU47JsqpBU80ln0mnBVurkA/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-RCS_NzjGA5lleuC_b2fbU47JsqpBU80ln0mnBVurkA/edit)

00:39:49 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hi Ly your audio was excellent today when you did your audio check, let's hope the ausio is more stable today, do let us know whenever sound drops...

00:52:34 Vanda Scartezini: Hi Liu

00:57:40 ly: lost

00:57:47 Jennifer Bryce: Sorry about that. We are reconnecting

01:00:56 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Are we back?

01:01:51 Jennifer Bryce: Yes we are back

01:07:38 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: And to be clear I am a supporter of "Reviews" in general and in ICANN in particular...

01:09:07 Daniel K. Nanghaka: I am in strong agreement with Vanda - the process may be available but not documented furthermore the processes may also vary

01:12:44 Daniel K. Nanghaka: This is a situation and if we could have it cleared explained in the report when it comes to this specific recommendation

01:13:24 ly: some ideas for reference : 1. any summary or introduction on how to make implementation of reviews for ATRT2, CCTRT, etc., so that we can take our plan with balance the budget and efforts;2. it is better for the implementation consistent with 5-year strategic plan to strengthen the model of decision making;3.it would be helpful for A&T of

ICANN to enhance A&T indicators for yearly-review like our suggestion to board and GAC close loop and/or a survey framework like IANA service survey

01:19:06 Daniel K. Nanghaka: Word of the day "Review Factory"

01:23:32 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Lue do you wish to read out your points? I will put you in the que

01:28:25 Tola: Audio still On & Off

01:28:50 Tola: Perhaps I just type out my contributions here.

01:29:28 Tola: Good morning all, by the way and kudos for the great work so far.

01:29:54 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Vanda I am not so sure about the status of reviews vs other aspects of volunteer time as an issue you raised works for me... There are a number of other ways to "solve" the issues (not always successful from ICANN's experience I note) but in \*my\* view Reviews are important but they are not static nor unchanging they need (in ICANN) a new focus and design to be more fit for current purpose with efficiencies and effectiveness being the primary drivers...

01:33:49 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Excellent point @Demi

01:34:17 Tola: @Demi: +1

01:35:55 Vanda Scartezini: my point was reviews as Wolfgang is saying now. an instrument not the main focus

01:38:02 Tola: @Wolfgang: Yes, I remember this argument in Singapore but thought that was modified by@Sebastien's proposal.

02:31:51 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I suspect SeB will be saying the same thing I am tempted to add to Léons intervention We shall see

02:34:35 Vanda Scartezini: agree with Sebastien

03:07:31 Jennifer Bryce: Here is the link to the scratchpad doc on screen:

<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-report-atrt3-16dec19-en.pdf>

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQLZ-](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQLZ-iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit)

[iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dNyhSQLZ-iYOzp841xp9kZwqz30pqzpQHsmebqPxIKs/edit)

03:15:07 Jaap Akkerhuis: Don't use AI short tem, before yo know people will associate tat with the latest marketing hype about AI and ML