## Zoom Chat Transcript ATRT3 Plenary #41 – 1 December 2019

00:11:38	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	Just starting now welcome KC
00:11:51	León: Hello everyone	
00:11:53	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Welcome Leon	
00:12:01	León: Thanks Cheryl	
00:14:52	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	Can we have the text secton Up please
00:15:20	Jennifer Bryce:	Let me just navigate to the section
00:17:51	Demi Getschko:	Agree with León
00:18:14	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	As (obviously based on my previous ststmens re all
this) do I		
00:19:28	Vanda Scartezini:	it is not mandatory
00:19:54	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	I also thought he was arguing for the more general
Diversity to so	ome form of affirmati	ve action on Gender or other diversity issues
00:21:25	Vanda Scartezini:	Cheryl not what I understood
00:22:14	Vanda Scartezini:	he was worrying about constrain the AC SOs in their
chosen		
00:23:32	Bernard Turcotte:	
As such ATRT3 suggests that SOs and ACs which nominate voting Board members		
voluntarily accept to alternate their nominations based on diversity, among which the		
gender criteria should be given particular attention.		
00:23:40	Bernard Turcotte:	was Jacques suggestion
00:24:22	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	Thanks @Bernie I wanted that in our meeting record
00:24:45	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	note for the record I can support his text CLO
personal capa	ncity	
00:25:18	Sebastien Bachollet:	@Vanda where and when is that happening?
00:25:33	Cheryl Langdon-Orr:	Yes Vanda that is indeed the great concern that was
brought out from the paper that the EC presented us with		

00:26:03 León: As such ATRT3 suggests that SOs and ACs which nominate voting Board members voluntarily consider alternating their nominations based on diversity, among which the gender criteria should be given particular attention.

00:26:10 León: Here's another take on the text
00:26:55 León: the change is voluntarily accept to voluntarily consider
00:27:08 Sebastien Bachollet: Why all the proposals are not on the screen?
00:27:46 Bernard Turcotte: Given the Bylaws specify how voting Board members are selected (SO/ACs nominated and EC confirmed and NomCom [SBT: Nomcom selectees are also confirmed by EC]) it would be difficult for ATRT3 to recommend modifying this delicate balance without launching a major process to formally study this.

As such ATRT3 suggests that SOs and ACs which nominate 2 voting Board members voluntarily accept to alternate their nominations based on gender [(and in taking also into account the mandatory geographic diversity and any other diversities)].

Additionally ATRT3 notes that the Empowered Community should consider [take into account] the Bylaws requirements on diversity when considering the confirmation of Board members.

00:27:59	Vanda Scartezini:	i like this one
00:28:07	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: we all read the emails I assume
00:28:08	Bernard Turcotte:	was Sebastien's proposal
00:28:41	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: happy to have all of them in the chat OR the screen
00:30:27	León: I think Sebast	tien has a point in regard to ACs
00:31:13	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: fir the AC with 1 seat alternate would mean switching
appointments	s mandaatry each teri	m
00:31:53	Vanda Scartezini:	buLeon ai agree but iit is not mandatory and they also
can contribute to diversity if they want too.		
00:31:54	León: I don't see it the same way Cheryl. Subject to Bernie's nuance, I would	
agree		
00:33:10	Jennifer Bryce:	I dropped them into the Google doc
00:33:27	Jennifer Bryce:	You should see them on the screen now

00:33:28	Vanda Scartezini: we will vote with the green?	
00:34:31	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: thanks Jennifer most helpful perhaps highlight the	
	eking support for each poll.	
00:35:15	León: I will abstain voting on this particular point as I am not sure it's	
	for me to vote on something that may affect me at some point. Is that OK with	
everyone?	or me to vote on comeaning that may arrest me at come point is that our with	
00:35:40	Pat Kane (GNSO - RySG): understood leon	
00:35:48	León: Thanks Pat	
00:36:08	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: SeB the text on screen I think shows those edits of yours	
00:36:16	Vanda Scartezini: Since you have been already elected I do not see any	
conflict but i		
00:37:57	León: Thanks Vanda. My feeling is that the right thing to do is abstain on this	
00:39:21	Vanda Scartezini: leon's is quite similar to Jacques'	
00:40:55	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: SO can Léon accept the removal of the work alternating	
	point very will (noting it was in all other options as well) and in my personal	
	ng it would not alter the intent of his proposal.	
00:41:19	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: should read word 'alternating'	
00:42:36	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Jaap you might wish to drop your green tick	
00:43:21	Vanda Scartezini: as I said it is great fro me	
00:43:34	León: Cheryl, Sebastien, I can agree to changing the word "alternating" I	
used it for the lack of a better word so I would be ok with changing it to one that works		
better		
00:44:00	Vanda Scartezini: secure is really relevant	
00:44:14	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: so add a reference to Sec and stability	
00:44:19	Demi Getschko: Yes. We could include security	
00:44:25	Demi Getschko: and stability	
00:44:35	Vanda Scartezini: yes Cheryl I would support this alternative	
00:44:37	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Sec and Stability would be a good add IMO	
00:44:45	Daniel K. Nanghaka: I agree adding security	
00:46:22	Vanda Scartezini: guess we are just suggesting they keep with the	
mission		

00:50:28	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: The SSR pronciples are essential so happy to add
00:53:19	León: This is a good	d recommendation. The indicators are there but few
people know	where	
00:54:04	Vanda Scartezini:	yes Leon - yes as Seb is saying now same place is
relevant		
00:54:41	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: They aso are not extremely well 'organised' Léon thus
the review s	uggestion	
00:54:50	León: Indeed Chery	rl
00:54:56	León: good suggest	ion
00:55:05	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	ergh lots of typos today people sorry
00:56:36	Vanda Scartezini:	it is zoom's problem I got the message
01:02:29	Vanda Scartezini:	is there a problem from the staff that community was
alerted?		
01:02:36	Vanda Scartezini:	i do not remember
01:04:47	Jennifer Bryce:	Here is the link:
https://docs	.google.com/spreadsł	neets/d/100XgL-
18T2apWhP	Og6htqsFB1JZWAyg_l	KXlFHm5m5-U/edit#gid=283135248
01:07:07	Vanda Scartezini:	thanks cheryl
01:07:49	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: so just a minimalist Salary of execs and Board stipends
is NOT all of those parameters		
01:07:55	Vanda Scartezini:	what is relevant here is that the recommendation was
not really im	plemented right?	
01:07:59	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: exactly @Bernie
01:19:57	Vanda Scartezini:	I am ok with this since last conversation. not to add
01:22:26	Osvaldo Novoa:	I am OK
01:23:30	León: Indeed, two s	separate processes and issues
01:24:02	Osvaldo Novoa:	I have to leave for 5 minutes
01:24:41	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: noted Osvaldo
01:25:53	Vanda Scartezini:	sebastien is raising his r=hand. give him a chance to talk
01:26:47	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: this as we have said many times is not a publication
document KC only a discussion one for our use		

01:32:15	Osvaldo Novoa: I ar	n back
01:33:30	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: by	Done we only mean as we stated in the last call
DOne for the	e PC drafting not Done ove	erall
01:34:32	Vanda Scartezini: Yes	Cheryl agree
01:38:53	Vanda Scartezini: let'	s move??
01:39:50	Vanda Scartezini: for	me the text is clear even not an English native
01:39:57	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I th	ink that is very clear now @Bernie thanks Is
anyone else :	still or now confused abou	t Prioritisation?
01:39:58	Daniel K. Nanghaka: we	need to proceed - processes will come in
implementat	tion	
01:40:11	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: ind	eed @Daniel
01:40:35	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: a C	ommunity inclusive process however should in my
view at least	be proposed	
01:40:39	Vanda Scartezini: ple	ase YES daniel we need to finish as we agree for so
many times		
01:41:38	Daniel K. Nanghaka: Yes	s, then we as the ATRT3 can propose the process
01:44:07	León: if you look at the	Board's paper on this, you will see that there are
already some principles there put in place Those could be used as a guideline or not		
01:44:42	Vanda Scartezini: YES	SLEON
01:45:45	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: aga	in indeed @Léon many of us note that I beleive
01:45:55	León: My take is that re	quirements are going to be set by the community
based on the overarching principles		
01:46:08	Kimberly Claffy: can	you cut and paste the principles here?
01:46:36	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: wo	rks for me @Léon
01:46:58	Vanda Scartezini: for	me too Leon
01:47:38	León: https://www.ica	nn.org/en/system/files/files/draft-proposal-
resourcing-community-recommendations-29oct19-en.pdf		
01:48:37	Vanda Scartezini: tha	nks leon but we already talked about this document
01:49:19	Daniel K. Nanghaka: Tha	ank you for the document reference @Leon
01:49:40	León: Understood Vand	a. Just mentioning it again as a reference

01:54:07 Daniel K. Nanghaka: Quoting from the document on page 3 starting paragraph "The existence of two current reviews (the second Security, Stability, and Resiliency review

(SSR2) and the third Accountability and Transparency review (ATRT3) as well as implementation

of the Competition and Consumer Trust review (CCT) makes progress all the more urgent and

provides an opportunity to test the proposed effectiveness principles outlined below. "

01:54:35 Daniel K. Nanghaka: Its seems there is already something that is being suggested in the document

01:55:24	Vanda Scartezini: yeah Daniel thanks for posting it	
01:56:13	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks Bernie again *very clear* to me at least	
01:57:27	León: 1. Community driven	
01:57:37	León: 2. Budget considered	
01:57:48	León: 3. Difficulty to implement	
01:58:02	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Representative of the MS Community of ICANN and yes	
to Léons 1 & 2 above		

01:58:04	León: 4. Dependencies with other recommendations	
01:58:28	Vanda Scartezini: I agree Leon good suggestions	
01:58:33	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: argh 1-3 above and now add his #4	
01:58:35	León: 5. Complexity and time for implementation	
01:58:56	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Suitably experienced in the ICANN Reviews Process	
02:00:47	Cheryl Langdon-Orr: the term effective" would be a nice add from my POV as	
well but still OPEN and transparent etc.,		

02:00:48 Sebastien Bachollet: Inputs from Staff maybe someone from Finance rep (as member?)

02:01:02 Daniel K. Nanghaka: I think the community process can be deliberated upon in the implementation of the recommendations - with reference to the document there is already a starting point

02:02:06	Vanda Scartezini:	guess as we discussed before , members indicated by
the AC/SO a	nd after their conclus	ion open up for all comments - those 100 participants
will never we	ork well in a timefram	ne
02:04:46	Vanda Scartezini:	specific requisites needs to be added to allow members
to join the gr	oup already running	
02:04:59	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: thus my reference to 'effectiveness' Vanda
02:05:13	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	and Yes I like professional facilitation as a tool in the kit
02:05:22	Vanda Scartezini:	yeah just remembering last conversations
02:06:07	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: Note I am trying to typr rather than speak to allow more
voices to be	heard (but we have re	ally heard from a small number of those present today)
02:06:26	Vanda Scartezini:	in LACRALO we use one that really helped it is a
question of r	ight specification	
02:07:00	Vanda Scartezini:	guess Bernie has the info he needs to finalize the text
02:08:46	Sebastien Bachollet:	Not to much choice;)
02:08:50	Vanda Scartezini:	ok for me
02:09:01	Osvaldo Novoa:	OK
02:09:48	León: And reiterate	e that I am ok with dropping the word alternating
02:09:55	Bernard Turcotte:	k
02:10:18	Vanda Scartezini:	no in Wednesday right?
02:10:53	Vanda Scartezini:	thanks
02:11:19	León: Thanks all. G	ood call
02:11:32	Demi Getschko:	Very interesting meeting. Thanks!
02:11:45	Daniel K. Nanghaka:	THANK YOU ALL
02:11:46	Bernard Turcotte:	bye all
02:11:47	Cheryl Langdon-Orr	: Great Thanks everyoie! bye for now
02:11:48	Sebastien Bachollet:	Thanks Jennifer
02:11:48	Vanda Scartezini:	thanks to all good luck Bernie with your work Will back
to you as soon as I get Manal feedback		
02:11:49	Daniel K. Nanghaka:	Bye
02:11:51	Sebastien Bachollet:	And all